**Parties :** Liebeck v. McDonald´s restaurant

**The facts of the case** are as follows : after Mrs. Liebeck spilled cup of mcdonald´s coffee on herself and suffered the third degree burns , she sued the restaurant for her injuries. Reason of this suit was that cup of the coffee was unusualy dangerously hot. Liebecks many efforts to settle was refused by McDonald´s resturant. Court decides in favor of the plaintiff and McDonald´s restaurant was found guilty in December 1994.

**The question** raised by this case is whether Is the Mcdonalds restaurant responsible for negligence, when danger of scalding customers was known and could be remedied.

**Procedural history :** The jury held that McDonald´s is 80% responsible and awarded Liebeck compensatory and punite damages, which judge reduce to lower price. Parties settled out of the court.

**Reasoning of the court** was that coffe was unreasonably hot and even if there was a warning, it wasn´t big enough so McDonald´s restaurant has to pay damages.