

**Parties :** Liebeck v. McDonald's restaurant

**The facts of the case** are as follows : after Mrs. Liebeck spilled cup of mcdonald's coffee on herself and suffered the third degree burns , she sued the restaurant for her injuries. Reason of this suit was that cup of the coffee was unusualy dangerously hot. Liebecks many efforts to settle was refused by McDonald's resturant. Court decides in favor of the plaintiff and McDonald's restaurant was found guilty in December 1994.

**The question** raised by this case is whether Is the Mcdonalds restaurant responsible for negligence, when danger of scalding customers was known and could be remedied.

**Procedural history :** The jury held that McDonald's is 80% responsible and awarded Liebeck compensatory and punite damages, which judge reduce to lower price. Parties settled out of the court.

**Reasoning of the court** was that coffe was unreasonably hot and even if there was a warning, it wasn't big enough so McDonald's restaurant has to pay damages.