Topic P09: Diagnostics of spirochetal infections

Lyme borreliosis

Common table for Task 1, 2 and 3.

| Commi | ☐ ☐ Short clinical ELISA (Task 1) W. bl. | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------|--|------|---------|---------|-------|--------|-------|-------|-----------------------|
| Patient Letter | Short clinical description | | ELISA (| Task 1) | | W. blo | | | |
| | | IgM | | IgG | | IgM | IgG | (T3) | final interpretation, |
| n E | (1–3 words | | _ | | | (+/-) | (+/-) | (+/-) | recommendation |
| | characterizing the | Abs. | (+/-) | Abs. | (+/-) | (') | | | for event. therapy |
| | situation | | | | | | | | |
| J | | | | | | | | | |
| K | | | | | | | | | |
| L | | | | | | | | | |
| M | | | | | | | | | |
| N | | | | | | | | | |

Task 1: Proof of antibodies to Borrelia garinii using ELISA

Read the results of patients with suspect Lyme borreliosis. Both IgG and IgM antibodies are assessed. In A1 field (corresponding to A1 well of the microtitration plate) you can see CAL level (borderline level; all absorbance levels above CAL are positive, all absorbance levels beneath CAL are negative). There are controls in B1 and C1. Patients J to N are in fields with coloured squares.

Write CAL level here, check, whether negative control is really negative and positive control really positive. Then read and interprete ELISA results for patients J, K, L, M, N (do not write them here, use main table above).

| CAL level (well A1): | K+ absorbance level (well B1): | ☐ K+ is OK ☐ K+ is not OK | + |
|----------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|
| IgM | K– absorbance level (well C1): | ☐ K− is OK ☐ K− is not OK | tick what is correct |
| CAL level (well A1): | K+ absorbance level (well B1): | ☐ K+ is OK ☐ K+ is not OK | ← |
| IgG | K- absorbance level (well C1): | ☐ K− is OK ☐ K− is not OK | tick what is correct |

Task 2: Proof of antibodies to *Borrelia garinii* using Western blotting

In patients diagnosed in the task No.1, the serum samples or CSF were performed by Western blotting. Read results according to instructions. Use the given pattern for evaluation of the rection. A diagnostic scheme is always the same – ELISA is used for screening, whereas Western blotting is performed as a confirmation of ELISA results. Read the Western blot results of patients J to N and write the results to the main table.

Task 3: Diagnostics of Lyme borreliosis using polymerase chain reaction (PCR)

According to the given photos of PCR product on the agarose gel, draw and record which of the tested samples is positive. Remark, that with regard to anamnesis, PCR reaction was performed only in two of our five patients. After that, interprete finally the total of all three examinations and write down a conclusion.

Syphilis

Task 4: Direct proof of syphilis

Direct proof of syphilis is only possible if suitable samples are sent to the laboratory. In some stages of the disease it is not possible to take anything for this purpose.



a) Rabbit infectivity testing – RIT

Write down the name of the rabbit used for the test. (It is derived from these islands: $\rightarrow \rightarrow \rightarrow \rightarrow \rightarrow \rightarrow \rightarrow$.) Exsudate from a suspect ulcer uses to be evaluated by darkfield microscopy and inoculated to rabbits testes. The tested animal starts to suffer from orchitis after 10 days after inoculation. Rabbit name:

| Name | Medical Oral Microbiology Date | . 11. 2011 | Page 1 |
|------|--------------------------------|------------|--------|
| | | | |

b) Darkfield microscopy

Look at the microphotography of treponemas taken from a darkfield microscope, draw the principle of darkfield microscopy, and also record your observation.

c) Direct immunofluorescence

Look at the microphotography of treponemas taken from a fluorescent microscope and record your observation.

| 4b) principle | 4b) result | 4c) |
|---------------|------------|-----|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

The causative agent of syphilis, Treponema pallidum, is NOT a culturable microoranism. The diagnostics is dependent on a stage of disease.

Indirect diagnostics of syfilis

Common table for Task 5 and 6.

| Common table for Task 3 and 6. | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|------------------|-----|-----------------------|---------|-----------------|-------|-----------------|-------|----------------|-------------|--------------------------------|
| <u> </u> | 2000 | as | sk 5 | Tas | sk 6 | | | | | | |
| Patient Letter | | cr | creening confirmation | | | | | | | Conclusion: | |
| er | 1 1 2 2 2 A | RRR | | | ELISA | 1 | | | WB | | final interpretation, |
| | | | MHA-TP | FTA-ABS | | IgM | | IgG | Igl | lg(| eventually recommended therapy |
| | | | | BS | 2 | | G, I | | V (+. | IgG (+/-) | 13 |
| | Shor | | | | Al ba | (- | Al ba | (- | -) | (–) | |
| | clinic | | | | Absor- bance | (+/-) | Absor- bance | (+/-) | | | |
| | characterisation | | | | 0 1 | | () I | | | | |
| A | | | | | | | | | | | |
| В | | | | | | | | | | | |
| C | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Е | | | | | | | | | | | |

Task 5: Screening of syphilis – RRR and MHA-TP

Pregnant women and blood donors undergo a screening using rapid reagin reaction (RRR) and *Treponema pallidum* microhemagglutination (MHA-TP). Read results of screening in a given group of persons and assess who of them need to be tested using confirmation tests. Record your results directly into the table. RRR: floculation in the well is positive; MHA-TP: agglutinate formation positive (see practical J07).

Task 6: Confirmation of syphilis – FTA-ABS, ELISA and Western blotting

Evaluate the results of FTA-ABS, ELISA a western blotting (WB) in patients who are suspect of syphilis (see the previous task). In ELISA reaction, count the cut-off and compare K-, + and patient values with it. A1 field (A1 well) represents the blank.

| Cut off level | K– absorbance level | ☐ K− is OK | _ |
|---------------|---------------------|----------------|--------------|
| (C1 + D1) / 2 | (B1 value): | ☐ K− is not OK | |
| IaM | K+ absorbance level | ☐ K+ is OK | tick what is |
| IgM | (E1 value): | ☐ K+ is not OK | correct |
| Cut off level | K– absorbance level | ☐ K− is OK | _ |
| (C1 + D1) / 2 | (B1 value): | ☐ K− is not OK | |
| IaC | K+ absorbance level | ☐ K+ is OK | tick what is |
| IgG | (E1 value): | ☐ K+ is not OK | correct |

| Name | Medical Oral Microbiology Date | . 11. 2011 | Page 2 |
|------|--------------------------------|------------|--------|
| | | | |

Leptospirosis

| | _ | D | c | C T | | • | |
|--------|-----|--------|-------|-------|------|------|-----|
| Lask | '/· | Direct | nroot | ot Le | ntos | การก | sn. |
| I WHIL | | Direct | PIOOI | UI LU | pios | | SP. |

According to a given picture, describe and draw morphology of leptospires cultivated in the liquid Korthoff's medium for 2 weeks. Urine of a patient who is suspect of leptospirosis was used for the test.

Leptospira

Author:
Petr Ondrovčík