**Topic 5: The complex sentences - Handout**

A complex sentence consists of only **one main/matrix clause** and **one or more subordinate/dependent clauses** functioning as an element of the sentence.

Unlike a **simple sentence**, in which **all sentence elements are expressed by phrases**, a complex sentence comprises at least one sentence element expressed by a clause.

Compare: *He came here yesterday*. x *He came here after he finished all his work*.

Unlike a **compound sentence**, which comprises **at least two matrix clauses** (and any number of subordinate clauses), a complex sentence consists of only one matrix clause and any number of subordinate clauses (at least one).

The matrix clause is the superordinate clause minus its subordinate clause.

Example: *I’ll give you some money if you help me*.

**subordination** x **coordination**

Example: *He came here to help me*. x *He came here and helped me*.

Note: A subordinate clause may function as a constituent of a phrase, e.g. a relative clause as a postmodifier in a noun phrase. The result is not a complex sentence, since the subordinate clause does not function as a constituent of the sentence.

Example: *The boy we met yesterday is my best friend’s son*.

There are **three main structural classes of clauses**:

1. **finite clauses** (with a finite VP): *I’ll come as soon as I finish my work*.

2. **nonfinite clauses** (with a nonfinite VP): *I’ll come after finishing my work*.

3. **verbless clauses** (with no VP): *I’ll come as soon as possible*.

Note: One structural type of clause may be embedded within another:

*Too nervous to reply after other speakers had praised her devotion to duty, Margaret indicated that she would speak later*. (The matrix clause is underlined, the remaining clauses are subordinate.)

**Nonfinite clauses** (four structural subclasses):

(1) ***to-*infinitive -** without subject: *The best thing will be to tell everybody*.

 - with subject: *The best thing will be for you to tell everybody*.

(2) **bare infinitive** - without subject: *All I did was finish the work myself*.

 - with subject: *Rather than you finish the work, I’d prefer to finish it myself*.

(3) ***-ing* participle** - without subject: *Leaving the room, he tripped over the mat*.

 - with subject: *Mary having left the room, I told John the news*.

(4) ***-ed* participle** - without subject: *Covered with confusion, they left in a hurry*.

 - with subject: *The discussion completed, they left in a hurry*.

Subclasses (1) and (3) are used most frequently, especially (3) without subject, subclass (2) being relatively rare. The auxiliary *have* used in *to-*infinitive or *-ing* participle clauses indicate **anteriority in time** (see (3) with subject above).

**Nonfinite clauses** (lacking tense markers and modal auxiliaries, and frequently also a subject and a subordinating conjunction) are a valuable means of **syntactic compression**, used especially in written language.

**Verbless clauses**

With verbless clauses it is usually possible to postulate a missing form of the verb *be* and to recover the subject, when omitted, from the context:

*Whether right or wrong, he always answers last*. (‘*Whether he is right or wrong’*)

The subject is often introduced by *with* or *without: With the children at school, we can go on holiday whenever we like*.

**Formal indicators of subordination**

subordination is generally marked by a signal in the subordinating clause:

**subordinators** (subordinating conjunctions)

(1) **single-word subordinators** (e.g. *before, after, because, although, as, since, for, if, though, lest, till, once, that, until, unless, when, where, whenever, whereas, while*)

(2) **multiword subordinators** (e.g. (a) ending with *that*: *in order that, in the event that*; (b) ending with *as:* *as far as, as soon as, as long as*; others: *as if/though, in case*)

(3) **correlative subordinators**, combining two markers of subordination (e.g. *so … that, such … that*, *the … the*, *whether/if … or*, *as … so, less/more* (/*-er*) *… than*, *if … then*, *even if … yet*, *although … nevertheless*, *because … therefore*)

**marginal subordinators** (three types of borderline cases)

(1) a subordinator and a following/preceding adverb: e.g. *even if, if only*;

(2) temporal noun phrases: e.g. *the moment (that*), *every time (that*);

(3) prepositional phrases: e.g. *because of the fact that*, *in spite of the fact that*;

**other indicators of subordination**

(1) *wh-*elements in subordinate interrogative clauses and subordinate exclamative clauses, *wh-*elements in *wh-*relative clauses, and in conditional-concessive clauses;

(2) the relative pronoun *that* in restrictive relative clauses;

(3) subject-operator inversion, especially in conditional clauses, typically in literary or elevated style; operators permitting inversion being *had, were, should*, sometimes *could* and *might*, e.g. *Were she here, she would help us*.

(4) the absence of a finite verb since nonfinite and verbless clauses are generally subordinate;

There are **three types of subordinate clauses** that have **no clear indicator of subordination**:

(1) nominal *that-*clauses, e.g. *I suppose (that) he can use your phone*.

(2) zero relative clauses, e.g. *I’ve lost the book you sent me*.

(3) comment clauses have no overt marker of subordination, but they lack an obligatory complementation of the verb, e.g. *He has no money, I suppose*.

**Direct and indirect speech**

**Direct speech** tries to present the exact words that someone utters/has uttered in speech or writing. **Indirect speech**, on the other hand, conveys a report of what has been said or written, but does so in the words of a subsequent reporter:

*David said to me after the meeting, ‘In my opinion, the arguments in favour of radical changes in the curriculum are not convincing.’*

*David said to me after the meeting that in his opinion the arguments in favour of radical changes in the curriculum were not convincing.*

*David told me after the meeting that he remained opposed to any major changes in the curriculum.*

**Direct speech** is usually signalled by being enclosed in quotation marks. The reporting clause may occur before, within, or after the direct speech:

(*John said*) ‘*I wonder*,’ (*John said/he said/said John*) ‘*whether I can borrow your car*.’ When the reporting clause is placed medially or finally, subject-operator inversion may occur if the verb (esp. *say*) is in the simple present or simple past.

**backshift in indirect speech**

**the sequence of tenses** - the resulting relationship of verb forms in the reporting and reported clauses (see the example about David above);

the sequence of tenses is **optional** when the time-reference of the original utterance is valid at the time of reporting: *I didn’t know that our meeting is next Tuesday*.

The reference to persons, time, and place in indirect speech must be appropriate to the situation at the time of reporting. (*now - then, here - there, yesterday - last Tuesday*)

All the **main discourse types** can be converted into indirect speech:

1. **indirect statements** (subordinate *that*-clauses), examples are above

(2) **indirect questions** (subordinate *wh-*clauses or *if-*clauses)

e.g. *John asked whether I was ready yet*. (*yes/no* question)

 *I wondered when the plane would leave*. (*wh-question*)

 *I asked whether or not she was satisfied*. (alternative question)

1. **indirect exclamations** (subordinate *wh-*clauses)

 *‘What a brave boy you are!’ Margaret told him*.

 *Margaret told him what a brave boy he was*.

(4) **indirect directives** (subordinate *that-*clauses or *to-*infinitive clauses)

 *‘Tidy up the room at once,’ I said to Tom*.

*I insisted that Tom tidy/should tidy up the room at once*. (mandative subjunctive or putative *should*);

*I told Tom to tidy up the room*. (*to*-infinitive)

**Free indirect speech -** used to report the stream of thought, especially in fiction:

1. it is basically **a form of indirect speech**, but
2. the **potentialities of direct-speech sentence structure** are retained (the presence of e.g. vocatives, tag questions, interjections, direct questions and exclamations);
3. only **the backshift of the verb**, together with the **equivalent shifts in personal**

**pronouns, demonstratives, and time and space references**, signals the fact that the words are being reported, rather than being in direct speech;

e.g. *So that was their plan,* *was it? He well knew their tricks, and would show them a thing or two before he was finished. Thank goodness he had been alerted, and that there were still a few honest people in the world!*

**Free direct speech -** used in fiction to represent a person’s stream of thought:

(1) it is basically **a form of direct speech**, but

(2) it is **merged with the narration** without any overt indication by a reporting clause of a switch to speech.

(3) it is distinguished from the past time-reference of the narration by its **use of unshifted forms;**

e.g. *I sat on the grass staring at the passers-by. Everybody seemed in a hurry. Why didn’t I stay at home?*

**Transferred negation -** typical of informal style; it is the transfer of the negative from a subordinate clause, where semantically it belongs, to the matrix clause:

*I don’t think it’s a good idea.* (instead of *I think it isn’t a good idea*.)

The matrix **verbs that allow transferred negation** convey notions of **opinion** (e.g. *to believe, expect, imagine, suppose, think*) or **perception** (e.g. *appear, seem, feel as if, look as if, sound as if*) e.g. *I don’t believe I’ve met you before*.

Note: When the subject of the main clause is *I*, the tag question corresponds with the sub. clause: *I don’t imagine he cares, does he*? (*I imagine he doesn’t care, does he*?)

**The verb phrase in subordinate clauses** - for details,see pp. 292-297 SGEL

1. the present tense in adverbial and nominal clauses; 2. the hypothetical past and hypothetical past perfect; 3. the present and past subjunctive; 4. putative *should* used in *that*-clauses to convey notion of a ‘putative’ situation, recognized as possibly existing or coming into existence (e.g. *I’m surprised that he feels lonely*. [it is true] contrasted with *I’m surprised that he should feel lonely*. [questions the loneliness]); 5. the perfect with temporal *since-*clauses (e.g. *She has been talking since she was one year old*. [past simple refers to the beginning of the situation] contrasted with *I’ve had a dog ever since I’ve owned a house*. [present perfect refers to a period of time lasting to the present]; 6. the perfect with other temporal clauses.