Lesson 10 / handout 10a – Pro-forms (Substitution) and Ellipsis

Study the handout and fill in missing letters:

- = the use of **pro-forms** in place of the r p t t on of a linguistic unit
- = a grammatical relation, where one linguistic item _u _sti _u _es for a longer one
- usually, we speak about three main kinds of substitution: 1. _ mi al 2. _ r al 3. _ au al

Anaphora = **anaphoric** reference

- = ___ward pointing; the use of a pro-form as a substitute for a previous linguistic unit when referring back to the thing, person, happening, etc., denoted by the latter
- pronouns and other pro-forms are frequently used anaphorically to a o d repetition:

Old Mother Hubbard went to the cupboard to get her poor dog a bone;

But when she got there, the cupboard was bare, and so the poor dog had none.

Fred bought a radio and a video-recorder; but he returned **the** radio.

Cataphora = **cataphoric** reference

= ___ward pointing; the use of a pronoun or other pro-form to point forward to a later word, phrase, or clause:

What I want to say is this. Please drive carefully.

If you see **him**, will you ask <u>Bob</u> to telephone me?

MI AL SUBSTITUTION:

- involves the substitution of a noun as head of a NP, or of a whole noun phrase
- the most obvious pro-forms for NPs are the 3rd person __onou_s and d__er__iners, eg *she*, *he*, *it*, *they*, *their*, *them*, *her*, *his*, ... but also other items, such as *the same*, *one*, *ones*, *some*, *that*, *those*, ...

the same: can be a pro-form for a NP, but it can also substitute for a PP, or an AdjP:

A: Can I have a cup of black coffee with sugar, please? B: Give me the same, please.

Yesterday I felt <u>under the weather</u> and today I feel <u>the same</u>.

The Denison house is small but comfortable, and ours is just the same.

one: there are 2 pro-forms *one:*

one has the plural some, and the other has the plural ones

Both (some and ones) are always unstressed, and both substitute for phrases with count nouns as heads:

1. *one* / *some* is a substitute for an indefinite NP:

Can you give me a few nails? I need one. I'll get you some soon.

Compare: $I \text{ need } \underline{a \text{ nail}} = I \text{ need } \underline{one}$. $X \text{ } I \text{ need } \underline{some \text{ nails}} = I \text{ need } \underline{some}$.

2. *one* and *ones* are substitutes for a NOMINAL EXPRESSION, a noun phrase head with or without one or more modifiers (NOT the whole NP): *Have you got any knives*? *I need a sharp one*. *I wish I'd bought a few jars of honey. Did you notice the ones they were selling*? The equivalent pro-form for noncount nouns is *some*: *Shall I pass the butter*? *Or have you got some already*?

R AL SUBSTITUTION:

- By means of __ and it substitutes for the l_ _ cal verb, eg Did you see Tom last week? –
 'I did on Thursday' / 'I might have done.'
- _ is a pro-form for the predicate: Martin drives a car, and his sister does, too.
- O By means of *do so* that functions as a pro-form for the _re__cate or _re__ca__on: They planned to reach the top of the mountain, but nobody knows if they *did* (so).

 You can take the train back to Madrid, but I shouldn't (do so) until tomorrow morning.
- o By means of *do it, do that* that function as a pro-form for the _re__cate or _re__ca__on:

 Is Mary still trying to light the stove? 'She should have <u>done</u> it by now.'

 Are you trying to light the stove with a match? 'I wouldn't do <u>that</u>.'
 - *do that* gives more prominence to the object *that* which is stressed. The *it* of *do it*, on the other hand, is always unstressed.

__AU _ AL SUBSTITUTION:

- o By means of **so** and **not** as pro-forms for 'object that- clause'
- A: 'Will Oxford win the next boat race?' B: 'I hope so (= that Oxford will win...) /I hope not (= that Oxford will not win...)
- so substitutes for a __sit__ e clause, not for a __ga __ve one:
- A: 'Is there going to be a snowfall?' B: 'They say so. / They say not.'
- verbs that allow **so** and **not** include: believe, guess, hope, imagine, reckon, suspect, expect, hope, presume, suppose, think
- !!! A) so as pro-form for predication X B) initial so with subject-operator inversion !!!
- A) Initial so can be pro-predication in a construction consisting of so followed by the subject and the operator = So+S+op:
- (1) You asked me to leave, and so I did. = indeed I did. It's starting to snow. 'So it is!'
- so in the sentences above is equivalent to the so in do so:
- (2) You asked me to leave, and I did so.

B) In the construction So+op+S so is not a pro-form at all, but an additive adverb equivalent in meaning to too or also, and the construction is elliptical. So here is parallel to the negative adverbs *neither* and *nor*, which similarly take subject-operator inversion:

You asked him to leave, and **so did I.** = I asked him to leave, too.

The corn is ripening, and so are the apples. = and the apples are ripening, too.

The corn isn't ripening, and neither / nor are the apples (ripening).

Other types of pro-forms:

Pro-forms for adverbials:

here and there can be pro-forms for _la_e adverbials, then for _ me adverbials:

Between London and Oxford there is <u>a famous inn called the George and Dragon</u>. **Here** we stopped for lunch.

If you look in the top drawer, you'll probably find it there.

One morning the captain invited us to the bridge. He told us then about his secret orders.

- *there* is the unmarked place pro-form, whereas *here* specifically denotes closeness to the speaker.

References:

Greenbaum, S. and R. Quirk (1990) *A Student's Grammar of the English Language*. Harlow: Longman. Chapter 12, pp. 247 – 255.