Experimental Humanities II (HUMB002) 2016 STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Lecture 3

# STATISTICAL INFERENCE CONFIDENCE INTERVALS







- Percentiles to z -scores :  $=NORM.S.INV(p)$
- $\bullet$ Z -scores to percentiles (probability of a z -score):  $=NORM.S.DIST(z;1)$

### From description to inference



- Data description, parameters estimation
- Statistical inference (cz: usuzování, inference, indukce)
- Random sampling
	- every subject has the same probability of being included in the sample
	- If we don't have random sampling, how is our sample different?

### Statistics vs. parameters

- From sample (data) we compute statistics
- The value of a statistics in whole population is called parameter
	- For parameters we used Greek letters
	- e.g. mean: statistics  $m$ , parameter  $\mu$ , correlation: statistics r, parameter  $\rho$ , standard deviation: statistics  $s$ , parameter  $\sigma$

#### • Statistics are parameter **estimates**

- They are always burdened with error sampling error (cz: výběrová chyba)
- Random error (cz: náhodné chyby) we can compute them, if we know sampling distribution (cz: výběrové rozložení)
- Systematic errors biased measurement, bad sampling and other methodological problems
- How good are our estimates?

# Sampling distribution and standard error

- If we compute the same statistics on many independent samples from a population, we get many different parameter estimates
- These estimates have some distribution **sampling distribution (cz: výběrové rozložení)**
- **[http://onlinestatbook.com/stat\\_sim/sampling\\_dist/index.html](http://onlinestatbook.com/stat_sim/sampling_dist/index.html)**
- Sampling distribution can be described by:
	- Mean sampling distribution mean is close to parameter
	- Standard deviation in sampling distribution called **standard error (cz: směrodatná chyba,** také střední chyba či výběrová chyba)
	- The higher is the number of samples (statistics estimates), the lower is the standard error

# Sampling distribution of mean (estimate)

- Mean estimate has approximately **normal distribution**
	- With mean  $\mu$  and standard error:
	- This applies even when the distribution is not normal – thanks to **central limit theorem**
	- The problem is that we usually don't know  $\sigma$
- If we don't know  $\sigma$ , we have to use s
	- Mean is still  $\mu$  and standard error is now:
	- The sampling distribution is not normal, but Student's t-distribution

$$
S_x = \frac{S}{\sqrt{N}}
$$



## Student's t-distribution

- Like normal distribution, but with "heavier ends" (cz: Studentovo t-rozložení)
- *t* in Student's distribution is the same as *z* in normal distribution
- It has different shapes for different N:
	- It is characterized by degrees of freedom:  $df = N-1$  (also  $v m\acute{y}$ ) (cz: stupně volnosti)
- The higher is N, the more t-distribution approximates normal distribution

### Student's t-distribution



# Sampling distribution of other statistics

- For every statistics we need to know its theoretical sampling distribution
	- Relative frequencies: approximately normal distribution
	- Pearson's r after Fisher transformation normal distribution

### Statistics estimation quality



## Point vs. Interval estimates

- Parameter can be estimated by:
	- Point estimate that we're trying to estimate the parameter value itself (e.g. mean)
	- Interval estimate estimating an interval in which the parameter lies with certain probability
		- The result is **confidence interval (cz: interval spolehlivosti), CI**
		- Confidence interval can be computed from point estimate and its sampling distribution (point  $\pm$  deviation)
		- Interval estimate is better we have more information



- $\alpha$  error probability,  $(1 \alpha)$  is **confidence level (cz: hladina spolehlivosti)**
- We typically use 95% or 99% confidence level, then it means that the parameter lies in the confidence interval with 95% probability (where  $\alpha$  is 0.05 = 5% error probability,  $(1 - \alpha) = (1 - 0.05)$ )

# Computing confidence interval for mean 1

- In a sample of 100 children with multicolored eyes we computed mean IQ 130 and we know that  $\sigma$  =15.
	- Point parameter estimate  $(\mu)$  is 130
	- Interval parameter estimate:
		- **Sampling distribution of mean is normal**…
		- ...with centre in  $\mu$ . We don't know  $\mu$ , so we use our **point interval m = 130**.
		- …with standard error of mean  $s_m = \sigma / \sqrt{N} = 15/ \sqrt{100} = 1.5$ .
		- We choose our confidence level:  $1-\alpha = 95\%$
		- Then we find **z-score between which lies 1-**a **% of normal distribution**: 95% of normal distribution lies between z-scores -1.96 and 1.96 in other words:  $_{1-\alpha/2}z = 0.975z = 1.96$ Excel: **=NORM.S.INV(0.975)**
		- Confidence interval: **(***m −* **1.96***sm***;** *m* **+ 1,96***sm***)** = (130 1.96\*15; 130 + 1.96\*15) = (127.1 ; 132.9)
		- That is: with 95% probability  $127.1 \leq \mu \leq 132.9$



# Computing confidence interval for mean 2

- In a sample of 100 children with multicolored eyes we computed mean IQ 130 and  $s = 15$ .
	- Point parameter estimate  $(\mu)$  is 130
	- Interval parameter estimate:
		- We don't know  $\sigma$ , so the sampling distribution of mean is not normal, but **Student's t-distribution with df = N-1 = 99**
		- The distribution centre will again be our **point interval m = 130**.
		- **Standard error of mean** is  $s_m = s / \sqrt{n} = 15 / \sqrt{100} = 1.5$
		- We choose our confidence level:  $1-\alpha = 95\%$
		- Then we find **t-score between which lies 1-**a **% of t-distribution**: 95% of t-distribution with df=99 lies between t-scores -1.98 and 1.98 in other words:  $_{1-\alpha/2}t$  (*df*) =  $_{0.975}t$  (99) = 1.98
		- Excel: **=T.INV(p;df), here =T.INV(0.975;99)**
		- Confidence interval: **(***m −* **1.98***sm***;** *m* **+ 1,98***sm***)** = (130 1.98\*15; 130 + 1.98\*15) = (127.0 ; 133.0)
		- That is: with 95% probability  $127.0 \leq \mu \leq 133.0$

### Confidence intervals interpretation

- 95% confidence interval means that in 95% of such interval constructions (measurements) the parameter will fall into this interval, that is in 95% of measurements the parameter estimate will lie in the interval
- We have 95% subjective confidence that the parameter lies in the interval
- But the parameter value doesn't change, only our estimates are always a bit different

# Sampling distribution of relative frequencies *p*

- …is approximately normal with mean  $p$  and standard error  $\sqrt{p(1-p)/n}$
- $(1-\alpha)$ % confidence interval thus is:

$$
(p-z_{1-a2}\sqrt{p(1-p)/n}, p+z_{1-a2}\sqrt{p(1-p)/n})
$$

# Sampling distribution of Pearson's correlation *r*

- We don't know sampling distribution of correlation…
- …but we know sampling distribution of correlation after Fisher transformation: in Excel: *Z* = FISHER(r)
- Sampling distribution of the Fisher *Z* is approximately normal with mean *Z*  and standard error  $s_z = 1/\nu(n-3)$

$$
\cdot \text{ (1--\alpha)}\% \text{ CI for } z: \ \ \big(\angle -Z_{1-\alpha/2}S_{Z}; \ \angle +Z_{1-\alpha/2}S_{Z}\big)
$$

• Then, we need to transform Fisher *Z* back to Pearson's *r:* in Excel: =FISHERINV(z)

*FISHERINV Z*-*z*1-a<sup>2</sup>*sZ*);*FISHERINV Zz*1-a<sup>2</sup>*sZ*))

# Confidence interval for correlation

On a sample of 20 children we found correlation between number of hours spend by reading per week and score in a creativity test r=0.45. Compute 90% confidence interval for the correlation.

- Transform Pearson's correlation to Fisher Z in Excel: =FISHER(0.45) = 0.48
- Sampling distribution of Fisher Z is approximately normal
- Compute standard error for Fisher Z:  $s<sub>z</sub> = 1/v(20-3) = 0.24$
- Compute border z-scores for 90% confidence interval:  $= NORM.S.INV(1-0.1/2) = NORM.S.INV(0.95) = 1.64$
- 90% CI for Fisher Z:  $(0.48 1.64 \cdot 0.24; 0.48 + 1.64 \cdot 0.24) = (0.09; 0.88)$
- Tranform the results back to Pearson's r: 90% CI for Pearson's r: (=FISHERINV(0.09); =FISHERINV(0.88)) = (0.09; 0.71)

# Confidence interval for correlation

- Let's continue with the exercise from the previous slide. Imagine we measured the same correlation 0.45, but now in sample size N=100. What computation in the confidence interval will change with sample size?
- Only standard error for correlation will change. Will the standard error be higher, or lower than in the sample of N=20?
- It will be lower, because we divide 1 by higher number. Will the confidence interval get wider, or narrower with bigger sample?
- It will get narrower because we have lower standard error. Compute again 90% confidence interval for correlation 0.45 and N=100 and see how the CI changed.
	- $s_z = 1/\sqrt{(100-3)} = 0.10$
	- 90% for Pearson's r: (=FISHERINV(0.48 1.64\*0.10); =FISHERINV(0.48 + 1.64\*0.10))  $= (0.31; 0.57)$
- See that with 5 times bigger sample the confidence interval got substantially narrower (e.g.: more precise interval estimate)

# Confidence interval for relative frequency

A survey on 1000 people discovered that approximately 12% women experienced a depression episode, whereas in men it was 7%. Compute 99% confidence interval for the difference in probability of having depression between woman and men.

- Compute the probability difference:  $p = 0.12 0.07 = 0.05$
- Sampling distribution of relative frequency is approximately normal
- Compute standard error for probability:  $s_p = \sqrt{(0.05*(1-0.05))}/1000$  = 0.007
- Compute border z-scores for 99% confidence interval:  $= NORM.S.INV(1-0.01/2) = NORM.S.INV(0.995) = 2.58$
- 99% CI for p:  $(0.05 2.58 * 0.007; 0.05 + 2.58 * 0.007) = (0.032; 0.068) = (3.2\%; 6.8\%)$
- Compute confidence interval for the same data, but now compute 96% CI. Will the interval be narrower, or wider?
- It will be narrower: =NORM.S.INV(1-0.04/2) =NORM.S.INV(0.98) =  $2.05$
- 96% CI for p:  $(0.05 2.05 * 0.007; 0.05 + 2.05 * 0.007) = (0.036; 0.064) = (3.6\%; 6.4\%)$

## General procedure for computing CIs

#### **1. Determine sampling distribution of given statistics:**

- for mean with known  $\sigma$ : normal distribution (z-scores)
- for mean with unknown  $\sigma$ : Student's t-distribution (t-scores) with df=N-1
- for relative frequency: normal distribution (z-scores)
- for Pearson's correlation: normal after Fisher transformation (then z-scores)

#### **2. If needed, transform the statistics:**

• from the above only for correlation, Excel: =FISHER(r)

#### **3. Determine standard error for given sampling distribution:**

- for mean with known  $\sigma$ :  $s_m = \sigma / \sqrt{N}$
- for mean with unknown  $\sigma$ :  $s_m$  = *s* / $\sqrt{VN}$
- for relative frequency:  $s_p = \sqrt{(p(1-p)/N)}$
- for Fisher Z:  $s_7 = 1 / \sqrt{(N-3)}$

## General procedure for computing CIs

#### **4. Determine point estimate for given sampling distribution:**

 $\cdot$  m, p, r

#### **5. Choose confidence level – typically 95% or 99% (theoretically any):**

• for 95%:  $\alpha$  = 0.05 = 5% error probability  $1 - \alpha = 1 - 0.05 = 0.95 = 95\%$ ,  $1 - \alpha/2 = 1 - 0.025 = 0.975$ 

#### **6. Find boundary scores between which lies**  $(1 - \alpha)$  **% of given distribution:**

- for normal distribution (in Excel): =NORM.S.INV( $1 \alpha/2$ )
- for t-distribution (in Excel): =T.INV(1  $\alpha/2$ ; df)

#### **5. Compute confidence interval:**

- CI = point estimate ± boundary score\*standard error
- normal distribution: CI = point estimate  $\pm$  <sub>1- $\alpha/2$ </sub> r\* standard error
- t-distribution: CI = point estimate  $\pm$  <sub>1- $\alpha/2$ </sub>t(df)\*standard error

# Exercise

- A researcher studies reading efficiency in college students. He measured number of words read in one minute in 6 students: 200, 240, 300, 410, 450, 600.
- Compute mean and standard deviation.
- What sampling distribution will we use for confidence interval construcion? Why?
- Construct 95% confidence interval for mean.
- Will 99% confidence interval for mean be narrower or wider than 95% interval? Construct 99% confidence interval.