Describe the population(s) for which the test is appropriate ... (Statement 1).

Describe the process of test development. Explain how the content and skills to be tested were selected. (Statement 4)

It appears that the current practice of UK examination boards does not always meet such standards.

Checklist

Since specifications vary according to their uses, not all the points in the following checklist will need to be covered in all specifications. Above all, specifications writers must first decide who their audience is, and provide the appropriate information.

Test specifications should include all or most of the following:

The test's purpose Description of the test taker

Test level

Construct (theoretical framework for test)

Description of suitable language course or textbook

Number of sections/papers

Time for each section/paper

Weighting for each section/paper

Target language situation

Text-types

Text length

Language skills to be tested

Language elements to be tested

Test tasks

Test methods

Rubrics

Criteria for marking

Descriptions of typical performance at each level

Description of what candidates at each level can do in the real

world

Sample papers

Samples of students' performance on tasks

Bibliography

Alderson, J.C. 1988b. New Procedures for Validating Proficiency Tests of ESP? Theory and Practice. Language Testing 5(2): 220-232.

Alderson, J.C. and C.M. Clapham. 1992a. Applied Linguistics and Language Testing: a Case Study of the ELTS Test. Applied Linguistics 13: 149-167.

Alderson, J.C. and C.M. Clapham. 1992b. Examining the ELTS Test: An Account of the First Stage of the ELTS Revision Project. IELTS Research Report 2. Cambridge: The British Council, University of Cambridge Local Examinations Syndicate and International Development Program of Australian Universities and Colleges.

Bachman, L.F. 1990. Fundamental Considerations in Language Testing.

Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Bachman, L.F., A. Kunnan, S. Vanniariajan and B. Lynch. 1988. Task and Ability Analysis as a Basis for Examining Content and Construct Comparability in Two EFL Proficiency Test Batteries. Language Testing 5: 128-160.

Clapham, C.M. and J.C. Alderson (forthcoming). Constructing and Trialling the IELTS Test. IELTS Research Report 3. Cambridge: The British Council, University of Cambridge Local Examinations Syndicate and International Development Program of Australian Universities and Colleges.

Criper, C. and A. Davies. 1988. ELTS Validation Project Report, Research Report 1(i), London and Cambridge: The British Council and the University of Cambridge Local Examinations Syndicate.

Davidson, F. and B. Lynch. 1993. Criterion-Referenced Language Test Development. A Prologomenon. In A. Huhta, K. Sajavaara and S. Takala (eds.), Language Testing: New Openings. Institute for Educational Research, University of Jyvaskyla, Finland.

Hughes, A. 1988. Achievement and Proficiency: The Missing Link. In A. Hughes (ed.), Testing for University Study, ELT Documents 127. London: Modern English Publications.

Hutchinson, T. and A. Waters. 1987. English for Specific Purposes: A Learner Centred Approach. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Hymes, D.H. 1972. On Communicative Competence. In J.B. Pride and J. Holmes, (eds.), Sociolinguistics. Harmondsworth: Penguin.

Munby, J. 1978. Communicative Syllabus Design. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Oller, J. 1979. Language Tests at School. London: Longman. Robinson, P. 1980. ESP (English for Specific Purposes). Oxford: Pergamon.

Swales, J. 1985. Episodes in ESP. Oxford: Pergamon.

Weir, C.J. 1983. Identifying the Language Problems of Overseas Students in Tertiary Education in the United Kingdom. Ph.D. thesis, University of London