

DOI: 10.1126/science.1197349 Science **331**, 76 (2011); Jae Bok Heo, et al. **Noncoding RNA Vernalization-Mediated Epigenetic Silencing by a Long Intronic**

This copy is for your personal, non-commercial use only.

colleagues, clients, or customers by [clicking here.](http://www.sciencemag.org/about/permissions.dtl) **If you wish to distribute this article to others**, you can order high-quality copies for your

following the guidelines [here.](http://www.sciencemag.org/about/permissions.dtl) **Permission to republish or repurpose articles or portions of articles** can be obtained by

www.sciencemag.org (this infomation is current as of January 16, 2011): The following resources related to this article are available online at

<http://www.sciencemag.org/content/331/6013/76.full.html> version of this article at: **Updated information and services,** including high-resolution figures, can be found in the online

<http://www.sciencemag.org/content/suppl/2010/12/01/science.1197349.DC1.html> **Supporting Online Material can be found at:**

<http://www.sciencemag.org/content/331/6013/76.full.html#related> found at: A list of selected additional articles on the Science Web sites **related to this article** can be

<http://www.sciencemag.org/content/331/6013/76.full.html#ref-list-1> This article **cites 26 articles**, 9 of which can be accessed free:

<http://www.sciencemag.org/content/331/6013/76.full.html#related-urls> This article has been **cited by** 1 articles hosted by HighWire Press; see:

<http://www.sciencemag.org/cgi/collection/botany> **Botany** This article appears in the following **subject collections:**

registered trademark of AAAS. 2011 by the American Association for the Advancement of Science; all rights reserved. The title Science is a American Association for the Advancement of Science, 1200 New York Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20005. Copyright Science (print ISSN 0036-8075; online ISSN 1095-9203) is published weekly, except the last week in December, by the

Vernalization-Mediated Epigenetic Silencing by a Long Intronic Noncoding RNA

Jae Bok Heo 1 and $\,$ Sibum Sung 1*

Vernalization is an environmentally-induced epigenetic switch in which winter cold triggers epigenetic silencing of floral repressors and thus provides competence to flower in spring. In Arabidopsis, winter cold triggers enrichment of tri-methylated histone H3 Lys²⁷ at chromatin of the floral repressor, FLOWERING LOCUS C (FLC), and results in epigenetically stable repression of FLC. This epigenetic change is mediated by an evolutionarily conserved repressive complex, polycomb repressive complex 2 (PRC2). Here, we show that a long intronic noncoding RNA [termed COLD ASSISTED INTRONIC NONCODING RNA (COLDAIR)] is required for the vernalization-mediated epigenetic repression of FLC. COLDAIR physically associates with a component of PRC2 and targets PRC2 to FLC. Our results show that COLDAIR is required for establishing stable repressive chromatin at FLC through its interaction with PRC2.

DEVELOPMENTAL fates of cells are deter-
mined by innate genetic programs and
interactions with their environments. A
major environmental cue that plants sense to monmined by innate genetic programs and major environmental cue that plants sense to monitor seasonal change is winter cold, as exemplified by vernalization (1) . Vernalization is the process by which certain plants acquire competence to flower rapidly in spring by sensing prolonged exposure to winter cold (1). In Arabidopsis, the stability of the vernalized state results from the stable repression of a potent floral repressor, FLOWERING LOCUS C (FLC) (2). A prolonged exposure to cold induces a plant homeo domain (PHD) finger–containing protein, VERNALI-ZATION INSENSITIVE 3 (VIN3) (3), and VIN3 becomes associated with an evolutionarily conserved repressive complex, polycomb repressive complex 2 (PRC2) (4, 5). PRC2 mediates histone H3 Lys²⁷ trimethylation (H3K27me3) through its core component, a histone methyltransferase, Enhancer of Zeste $[E(z)]$ (2, 6). During and after vernalization, PRC2 occupancy at FLC increases and correlates with an increased level of H3K27me3 at FLC chromatin, which is required for the stable maintenance of FLC repression (2, 5, 7, 8). In Arabidopsis, there are three homologs of E(z). These include CURLY LEAF (CLF), SWINGER (SWN), and MEDEA, which are involved in several developmental programs in Arabidopsis (6). However, the molecular determinants for the increased recruitment of PRC2 and subsequent establishment of H3K27me3 enriched repressive chromatin at FLC by vernalization are not known.We identified a long intronic, noncoding transcript that plays role in the vernalization-mediated epigenetic silencing of FLC through the recruitment of PRC2 to FLC locus.

Several long noncoding RNAs (ncRNAs) have been shown to target repressive histone-modifying activities and epigenetically silence transcription through a molecular interaction with specific chromatin domains (9, 10). Notably, PRC2-mediated silencing includes the interaction with such ncRNAs (11, 12). A group of related antisense ncRNAs (termed COOLAIR) from FLC have been proposed to be involved in vernalization-mediated FLC repression (13). However, the importance of COOLAIR in the vernalization process has yet to be demonstrated. We independently hypothesized that long ncRNAs may play a role in vernalization-mediated repression of FLC, and we identified a candidate ncRNA from FLC that is distinct from COOLAIR and that we have designated COLD ASSISTED INTRONIC NONCODING RNA (COLDAIR) (Fig. 1A and fig S1A). We found COLDAIR by using a "tiling" reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) approach with more than 100 pairs of oligonucleotide primers to cover the entire FLC genomic region during the course of vernalization (Fig. 1A). From this tiling RT-PCR approach, we observed a contiguous batch of RT-PCR products from the first intron of FLC that transiently appear during the cold exposure (Fig. 1, A and B).

Unlike COOLAIR, COLDAIR is in the sense direction relative to FLC mRNA transcription (Fig. 1A and fig. S1B). COLDAIR contains a 5′ cap structure (fig. S1C), but we did not observe significant levels of COLDAIR in a polyadenylate[poly(A)]-rich fraction of RNA (fig.S1D), suggesting that COLDAIR is not likely to be polyadenylated. Thus, the 3′ ends of COLDAIR were determined by a tiling 5′ rapid amplification of cDNA ends RT-PCR approach (fig S1F). The approximate size of COLDAIR is 1100 bases long (fig. S1, A, F, and G).

The increased level of COLDAIR was observed only during cold exposure with the maximum expression at 20 days of cold, and COLDAIR levels returned to the prevernalized level after more than 30 days of cold (Fig. 1B and fig S2). This transient induction of COLDAIR during cold exposure is similar to that observed for COOLAIR (13); however, the induction of COOLAIR peaked at 10 days of cold exposure, earlier than the COLDAIR peak (Fig. 1B).

Deletion of the vernalization response element (VRE) in the first intron of FLC impairs vernalization-mediated FLC repression without compromising the floral repressor function of FLC (14). The transcription start site of COLDAIR is within the VRE region (Fig. 1A). To test whether the 5' region of the VRE (excluding the COLDAIR transcribed region) is sufficient to mediate coldinducible transcription, we generated transgenic lines in which luciferase is driven by 109 base pairs (bp) of the VRE 5′ region (Fig. 1C). We observed strong luciferase expression after 20 days of cold treatment in multiple transgenic lines (Fig. 1, C and D), confirming the presence of a cryptic promoter in VRE. In addition, luciferase expression remained robust as long as plants were kept in cold (Fig. 1, C and D). Endogenous COLDAIR was induced by \sim 10 to 20 days of cold (Fig. 1, B and D). However, after 20 days, FLC becomes repressed through chromatin changes, and thus COLDAIR promoter regions would become inaccessible to the transcription machinery. Consistent with this interpretation, COLDAIR was expressed well beyond 20 days of cold in vin3-like 1 (vil1)/vernalization 5 (vrn5) and vernalization 1 (vrn1) mutants in which FLC repression by vernalization is impaired (fig. S3).

A group of long ncRNAs is transcribed by RNA polymerase V (RNAPV) together with RNA polymerase IV (RNAPIV) to mediate the silencing of constitutively silenced loci in Arabidopsis $(15, 16)$. This class of ncRNAs also has a 5' cap but lacks a 3′ poly(A) tail similar to COLDAIR. However, neither RNAPIV nor RNAPV appears to be involved in the transcription of COLDAIR (fig. S4). Instead, we observed that, although the enrichment of RNA polymerase II (RNAPII) diminished at the FLC promoter as plants were exposed to cold, the enrichment of RNAPII at the COLDAIR promoter region transiently increased when expression of COLDAIR peaked at 20 days of cold (Fig. 1E). Thus, it is likely that RNAPII is responsible for the transcription of COLDAIR.

The PRC2 complex, including E(z), interacts with ncRNAs in vitro and in vivo (11, 17). We tested for direct interactions between COLDAIR and the protein components of PRC2 {CURLY LEAF (CLF) and SWINGER (SWN) [E(z) homologs] and VERNALIZATION 2 (VRN2) [Su(z)12 homolog]} recombinant proteins by using in vitro RNA binding assays (Fig. 2, A and B, and fig. S5A). Both E(z) homologs, CLF and SWN, bound to COLDAIR in vitro through the CXC domain (Fig. 2, A and C). However, recombinant CLF protein also bound to antisense COLDAIR (fig. S5B), suggesting non–sequence-specific binding. The non–sequence-specific binding to single-stranded nucleotides (including RNA) by E(z) has been reported previously (18). To address the specificity of the interaction between COLDAIR and native CLF protein, we used nuclear extracts prepared from GFP::CLF-tagged transgenic lines (where GFP is green fluorescent protein)

¹Section of Molecular Cell and Developmental Biology and Institute for Cellular and Molecular Biology, the University of Texas at Austin, TX 78712, USA.

^{*}To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: sbsung@mail.utexas.edu

(8) to perform RNA binding assay. Unlike that observed for recombinant CLF proteins, we detected the CLF association only with the sense strand of COLDAIR (Fig. 2D), confirming the specific interaction of COLDAIR with native PRC2.

We also addressed the specific in vivo association of COLDAIR with PRC2 during the course of vernalization by RNA immunoprecipitation (RIP) assays using the GFP::CLF-tagged lines (Fig. 2E). Indeed, we retrieved COLDAIR from precipitates during the course of vernalization (Fig. 2E). We observed the strongest association of COLDAIR with CLF when COLDAIR expression was maximal at 20 days of cold. However, this is not due to the expression level of COLDAIR, because we normalized fold enrichments to reflect RNA populations in input material (19). Thus, there is an increased association of COLDAIR with PRC2 specifically during the cold exposure. We also examined the enrichment of COOLAIR from the RIP assays and did not observe any significant enrichment of COOL-AIR (Fig. 2E). Thus, COLDAIR, but not COOL-AIR, interacts with PRC2.

To address the biological function of COLD-AIR, we used RNA interference (RNAi) to knock down expression of COLDAIR in the GFP::CLF line (Fig. 3). Many of the RNAiexpressing transgenic lines showed late flowering after vernalization (Fig. 3A), suggesting that COLDAIR knockdown compromises the vernal-

Fig. 1. COLDAIR, an intronic long ncRNA of the FLC. (A) Schematic representation of transcription start sites for COLDAIR and COOLAIR and the location of VRE at the FLC genomic region. (B) Transcript expression patterns of COOLAIR, COLDAIR, VIN3, and FLC during the course of vernalization. Relative levels of mRNA expressions were calculated compared with those of the control, PP2A. Maximum expression for each gene is set as 100%, and relative levels are shown. Mean \pm SD of quantitative RT-PCR data are shown ($n = 3$). NV, nonvernalized. V10, 10 days of vernalization treatment. V20, 20 days of vernalization treatment. V30, 30 days of vernalization treatment. (C) Luciferase expression in COLDAIR-promoter::Luciferase transgenic lines during the course of vernalization. (D) Expression patterns of luciferase (left) and COLDAIR (right) transcripts in two stable representative COLDAIRpromoter::Luciferase transgenic lines (1 and 2) and nontransgenic line (NT). Mean \pm SD of quantitative RT-PCR data compared with the control, PP2A, are shown ($n = 3$). ND, not detectable. (E) Transient increase in RNAPII occupancy at the COLDAIR promoter region. Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) using RNAPII antibody (8WG16). Relative occupancies of RNAPII at FLC regions were calculated by comparison to occupancy of RNAPII at the UBQ10 promoter region. Relative locations of P1 to P3 are shown in (A). Mean \pm SD of quantitative ChIP-PCR data are shown ($n = 3$). V40, 40 days of vernalization treatment; V40T10, 40 days of vernalization

ization response. We chose two representative COLDAIR knockdown lines for further analysis. COLDAIR knockdown lines have greatly reduced levels of COLDAIR during the course of vernalization compared with those of the parental line (fig. S6). Parental lines showed accelerated flowering, whereas COLDAIR knockdown lines exhibited late flowering after vernalization treatment (Fig. 3, A and B). The reduced vernalization responses observed in COLDAIR knockdown lines are consistent with levels of FLC expression during the course of vernalization (Fig. 3C).

Repression of FLC was still observed in COLDAIR knockdown lines during the cold exposure (Fig. 3C). However, after plants were returned to a warm growth temperature, FLC repression was not maintained (Fig. 3C), indicating that COLDAIR is required to establish a silenced state that is stable. The transient repression of FLC in the COLDAIR knockdown lines is similar to that observed in mutations in other components of PRC2 and in other genes that are required for the stable maintenance of repressed FLC by vernalization $(4, 14, 20, 21)$.

After longer vernalization, COLDAIR knockdown lines showed substantially earlier flowering than nonvernalized plants (Fig. 3B), although the level of FLC was significantly higher (Fig. 3, C and D). Other FLC-related floral repressor genes, including FLOWERING LOCUS M (FLM)/ MADS AFFECTING FLOWERING (MAF1), MAF2, and MAF3, are also repressed in response

to vernalization (22). In COLDAIR knockdown lines, repression of FLM/MAF1, MAF2, and MAF3 by vernalization still occured as in the parental lines (Fig. 3D), and thus it is likely that repression of these FLC-related genes causes the earlier flowering in COLDAIR knockdown lines after longer vernalization. This also indicates that COLDAIR knockdown specifically affects FLC expression but not expression of these FLCrelated genes.

COLDAIR knockdown lines exhibited much later flowering than the parental line without vernalization (Fig. 3, A and B), consistent with higher levels of FLC expression in COLDAIR knockdown lines before vernalization treatment (Fig. 3, B and C). It has been reported that levels of FLC mRNA expression increase in *clf* mutants, consistent with the findings that FLC chromatin has a basal level of PRC2 occupancy before vernalization (8, 23). However, late flowering is not observed in clf mutants because the loss of CLF also causes the derepression of floral activators, such as $FLOWERING$ LOCUS $T (FT)$ and AGAMOUS (AG), resulting in early flowering (23). The delay in flowering observed in COLDAIR knockdown lines before vernalization further confirms that COLDAIR is necessary for the activity of PRC2 to be directed to FLC chromatin.

As noted above, there is a basal level of CLF occupancy at FLC before vernalization, and the level of occupancy increases after vernalization

treatment followed by 10 days of normal growth temperature.

on January 16, 2011

www.sciencemag.org

Downloaded from www.sciencemag.org on January 16, 2011

Downloaded from

(Fig. 4, B) (5). In COLDAIR knockdown lines, the enrichment of CLF at FLC chromatin was greatly reduced (Fig. 4B). The relative enrichment of CLF at FLC chromatin was lower before vernalization, and the increased enrichment of CLF by vernalization was not observed in COLDAIR knockdown lines (Fig. 4B). This is consistent with elevated levels of FLC transcripts

in COLDAIR knockdown lines during the course of vernalization, showing that COLDAIR is necessary for the recruitment of CLF to FLC chromatin.

Consistent with the increased occupancy of CLF at FLC chromatin, the levels of H3K27me3 also increased at FLC chromatin as a result of vernalization (Fig. 4C) (7, 8, 24, 25). In COLD-

AIR knockdown lines, however, cold-mediated H3K27me3 enrichment was largely impaired (Fig. 4C). In addition, vernalization results in the stable reduction of the levels of histone H3 $Lys⁴$ trimethylation (H3K4me3), a histone mark associated with active chromatin, at FLC chromatin (Fig. 4 D) (14). In COLDAIR knockdown lines, the levels of H3K4me3 at FLC chromatin

Fig. 2. COLDAIR associates with PRC2 during the cold exposure. (A) Domain structure of CLF protein. (B) In vitro transcribed (IVT) biotinylated COLDAIR RNA retrieves recombinant CLF protein. (C) In vitro RNA binding assays using domains of CLF in isolation. IVT biotinylated COLDAIR RNA retrieves CXC domain. (D) IVT COLDAIR RNA retrieves CLF-containing PRC2 from nuclear extracts. (E) CLF

immunoprecipitation retrieves COLDAIR RNA but not COOLAIR RNA during the course of vernalization. Data (mean \pm SD of quantitative PCR; $n = 3$) are relative to the background level of RNA precipitation (PP2A). +RT, with reverse transcription of precipitates. *–*RT, without reverse transcription of precipitates. T10, 30 days of vernalization treatment followed by 10 days of normal growth temperature.

Fig. 3. COLDAIR is required for proper repression of FLC during the course of vernalization. (A) Flowering behaviors of the parental line and a representative COLDAIR knockdown line. (B) Flowering times are determined by the rosette leaf number at the timing of flowerings of FRI in Ws, the parental line, and two representative COLDAIR knockdown lines (34-1, 36-2). (C) Changes in FLC mRNA during the course of vernalization in the parental line and two representative COLDAIR knockdown lines. Data (fold change; mean \pm SD of

quantitative RT-PCR; $n = 3$) are relative to the FLC mRNA level in the parental line before vernalization. T20, 30 days of vernalization treatment followed by 20 days of normal growth temperature. (D) Changes in FLC, FLM, MAF2, and MAF3 mRNA during the course of vernalization in the parental line and two representative COLDAIR knockdown lines. Data (fold change; mean \pm SD of quantitative RT-PCR; $n = 3$) are relative to the FLC, FLM, MAF2, and MAF3 mRNA levels in the parental line before vernalization.

transiently decreases when plants are kept in cold but return to the prevernalized levels once plants are returned to a warm temperature (Fig. 4D). The transient reduction in the level of H3K4me3 at FLC chromatin is also observed in vernalization mutants that are involved in the maintenance of the repression (14). Thus, the intronic ncRNA COLDAIR mainly plays a role in the recruitment of PRC2 to FLC chromatin to establish the stable silencing of FLC by vernalization (fig. S7).

Transiently increased interaction between COLDAIR and PRC2 during vernalizing cold suggests that COLDAIR mainly acts to recruit PRC2 to FLC during the cold (fig. S7). It remains to be determined whether COLDAIR participates in maintaining the PRC2 association with FLC after vernalization treatment. Alternatively, formation of the PHD-PRC2 complex may contribute to the maintenance after the cold (fig. S7).

In animals, a long intergenic noncoding RNA, HOTAIR, is transcribed from HOXC locus and targets PRC2 to silence HOXD and other loci (11, 12). We observed a similar interaction of a long ncRNA with PRC2 in plants, suggesting that the interaction of long ncRNA with PRC2 appears to be an evolutionarily conserved mechanism. Unlike HOTAIR, COLDAIR is transcribed from an intron of the target gene itself, FLC. FLC harbors a cryptic promoter for COLDAIR ncRNA within its first intron, and this promoter becomes active when FLC is being repressed. Deep RNA sequencing efforts have revealed transcripts that originated from intergenic regions as well as from previously known intronic regions in eu-

Fig. 4. Dynamic changes at FLC chromatin during the course of vernalization. (A) Schematic representation of the FLC genomic region. Relative positions of primer sets used for ChIP assays are shown (P1 to P3). (B) Changes in occupancy of CLF at FLC chromatin during the course of vernalization. (C) Changes in enrichment of H3K27me3 at FLC chromatin during the course of vernalization. (D) Changes in enrichment of H3K4me3 at FLC chromatin during the course of vernalization. Data (mean \pm SD of quantitative ChIP-PCR; $n = 3$) is relative to the occupancy of CLF at AGAMOUS chromatin (B), the level of H3K27me3 enrichment at AGAMOUS chromatin (C), and the level of H3K4me3 enrichment at UBQ10 chromatin (D).

karyotes (26–28). Although some intronic transcripts represent alternative splicing forms (28), our identification of an intronic long ncRNA that plays a regulatory role in gene repression suggests that intronic transcripts can function as a part of targeting mechanisms for transcriptional regulatory machineries in eukaryotes.

References and Notes

- 1. A. Lang, in Physiology of Flower Initiation: Encyclopedia of Plant Physiology, W. Ruhland, Ed. (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1965), pp. 1371*–*1536.
- 2. D. H. Kim, M. R. Doyle, S. Sung, R. M. Amasino, Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol. 25, 277 (2009).
- 3. S. Sung, R. M. Amasino, Nature 427, 159 (2004).
- 4. C. C. Wood et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 103, 14631 (2006).
- 5. F. De Lucia, P. Crevillen, A. M. Jones, T. Greb, C. Dean, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 105, 16831 (2008).
- 6. T. F. Hsieh, O. Hakim, N. Ohad, R. L. Fischer, Trends Plant Sci. 8, 439 (2003).
- 7. M. R. Doyle, R. M. Amasino, Plant Physiol. 151, 1688 (2009).
- 8. D. Schubert et al., EMBO J. 25, 4638 (2006).
- 9. C. P. Ponting, P. L. Oliver, W. Reik, Cell 136, 629 (2009).
- 10. J. T. Lee, Genes Dev. 23, 1831 (2009).
- 11. J. L. Rinn et al., Cell 129, 1311 (2007). 12. M. C. Tsai et al., Science 329, 689 (2010);
- 10.1126/science.1192002. 13. S. Swiezewski, F. Liu, A. Magusin, C. Dean, Nature
- 462, 799 (2009).
- 14. S. Sung et al., Nat. Genet. 38, 706 (2006). 15. A. T. Wierzbicki, J. R. Haag, C. S. Pikaard, Cell 135, 635 (2008).
- 16. C. S. Pikaard, J. R. Haag, T. Ream, A. T. Wierzbicki, Trends Plant Sci. 13, 390 (2008).
- 17. J. Zhao, B. K. Sun, J. A. Erwin, J. J. Song, J. T. Lee, Science 322, 750 (2008).
- 18. W. A. Krajewski, T. Nakamura, A. Mazo, E. Canaani, Mol. Cell. Biol. 25, 1891 (2005).
- 19. Materials and methods are available as supporting material on Science Online.
- 20. A. R. Gendall, Y. Y. Levy, A. Wilson, C. Dean, Cell 107, 525 (2001).
- 21. J. S. Mylne et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 103, 5012 (2006).
- 22. C. C. Sheldon, E. Jean Finnegan, W. James Peacock, E. S. Dennis, Plant J. 59, 488 (2009).
- 23. D. Jiang, Y. Wang, Y. Wang, Y. He, PLoS One 3, e3404 (2008).
- 24. S. Sung, R. J. Schmitz, R. M. Amasino, Genes Dev. 20, 3244 (2006).
- 25. T. Greb et al., Curr. Biol. 17, 73 (2007).
- 26. R. Louro, A. S. Smirnova, S. Verjovski-Almeida, Genomics 93, 291 (2009).
- 27. P. Khaitovich et al., PLoS Genet. 2, e171 (2006).
- 28. H. van Bakel, C. Nislow, B. J. Blencowe, T. R. Hughes, PLoS Biol. 8, e1000371 (2010).
- 29. We thank the members of Sung lab and E. Huq for helpful discussions, C. Pikaard for RNAPIV and RNAPV mutant seeds, and J. Goodrich for GFP::CLF seeds. S.S. thanks R. Amasino for encouragement and mentoring on the vernalization study. This work was supported by the start-up funds from the University of Texas at Austin and in part by the NSF to S.S.

Supporting Online Material

www.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/science.1197349/DC1 Materials and Methods Figs. S1 to S7 Table S1 References

3 September 2010; accepted 16 November 2010 Published online 2 December 2010; 10.1126/science.1197349