GRAVIMETRY

pro^f Viktor Kanický, Analytická chemie I ¹

Gravimetry

- in.
Ma ■ Basic method, classical
- Constituent to be determined is transferred from a given, precise amount of a sample into a defined chemical individuum, whose mass determined by weighing is a measure of a content of the constituent in the sample
- in.
Ma **Analytical weighing balances (scales) in principle** isosceles lever + pendulum
	- $\overline{}$ sensitivity
	- \Box accuracy

 \Box \Box 1) accuracy – the same length of both scale beams (Gauss method of double weighing) Buoyancy force (reduction of weighing at vacuum) $\left(\begin{array}{ccc} 2 & 2 \ 2 & 2 \end{array} \right)$ $Z_1 \cdot Z_2 \cong \frac{Z_1 + Z_2}{2}$ Z_1+Z $m_{x} = \sqrt{Z_1 \cdot Z_2}$ + $=\sqrt{Z_1\cdot Z_2} \cong$ $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ P) $m_{\mathsf{x}}-$ real mass z – weight of the weight $\mathsf{S}_\mathsf{x},\,\mathsf{S}_\mathsf{z}$ $\mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{x}} = z \left[1 + \sigma \left(\frac{1}{s_{\mathbf{x}}} - \frac{1}{s_{\mathbf{z}}} \right) \right]$ $\begin{array}{c} z - \text{weight of the weight} \\ \text{s}_{\mathbf{x}}, \text{ } s_{\mathbf{z}} - \text{specific mass of } \text{m}_{\mathbf{x}}, \text{ } z \end{array}$ $m_x = z \left[1 + \sigma \left(\frac{1}{s_x} - \frac{1}{s_z} \right) \right]$ s_x , s_z – specific mass of air $\Sigma = 0,0012$ g.cm⁻³, s_z = 8,4 g.cm⁻³ (brass) \rightarrow for z = 1 $\left[1+\sigma\left(\frac{1}{S_x}-\frac{1}{S_z}\right)\right]$ 0,5 g of subst. $≈$ buoyancy 0,08 mg k $k = 1,2\left(\frac{1}{s} - \frac{1}{8}\right)$ [mg] x $2\left(\frac{1}{s_x}-\frac{1}{8}\right)$

Despending Contactors: Other influencing factors:

- \textsf{u} air humidity: adsorption of $\textsf{H}_{2}\textsf{O},$ time factor
- \Box finger prints: tweezers, laboratory tongs
- n hygroscopic substances: ground-glass weighing bottles
- □ electric charge: powdered non-conductive substances on dry glass
- □ weights (relative calibration calbration standards)
- □ weighing procedure: to lock balances, opening... no overloding !!!

Capacity: The larges weight the balance is capable of weighing Accuracy: the extent to which a given measurement agrees with the standard value for the measurement. (i.e. given weight is 100.000 g, weighed 100.002 g, accuracy is 2 mg.

- □ Calibration: to determine, check or rectify the graduations of balance. It is the comparison between the output of a scale or balance against a standard value.
- **Example 2 Reproducibility:** ability of scales to return to the same numeric result with repeated application of the same weight. (hysteresis)

- T Hysteresis: property of load cells, and other weighing systems dependant on elastic materials, such as spring balances, resulting in different indication at the same load, depending upon the direction of approach to that load, i.e. whether it is approached by increasing the load or decreasing the load.Hysteresis error refers to the condition of repeatedly weighing the same object, but obtaining different readings on the numeric readout.
- E Drift: is a progressive (continuously upward or continuously downward) change in the number displayed on the digital readout. The weight readings does not stabilize, or unstable readings with no weight applied. All analytical balances show some uncertainty.

- Precision: The extent to which a given set of measurements of the same sample agree with their mean. Amount of agreement between repeated measurements of the same quantity. Also know as repeatability. A scale can be extremely precise, but not necessarily be accurate.
- P) Repeatability: refers to an instrument's ability to consistently deliver the same weight reading for a given object, and to return to a zero reading after each weighing cycle. Test this by repeatedly weighing the same object.
- P) Count (digit): The smallest increment of weight which the digital display resolves. Also called "division."
- Divisions: The amount of increments a scale offers.

Mechanically the sensor is a simple lever &

fulcrum. One end of the lever holds the

weighing pan where an unknown weight is placed. On the opposite end of the lever is a FORCE COIL suspended in a magnetic field (much in the same way a speaker operates). The displacement detector, and power amplifier produce an appropriate current to hold the lever balanced in the null position for any weight placed on the pan. The amount of current required to do this is proportional to the weight on the pan.

> The MICROPROCESSOR monitors the current produced in response to the applied load to determine the magnitude of the weight. Software contained in memory allows the user through the front panel, keybord or one of the optional interfaces to perform such tasks as converting units of weight and parts counting. In addition the user can change filter parameters to suit most environments or gather statistical data form groups of weights. Calibration coefficients and user developed constants are stored in a non volatile memory.

Precipitation

и. classical separation technique for gravimetry

и. preparation of pure compounds

- \Box insolubility of precipitate x loss (< 0,1 mg)
- \Box solubility of precipitate \approx concentration of saturated solution above the precipitate
- □ Low soluble salts ≈ strong electrolytes ≈ <u>complete dissociation</u>

$$
(M_{m}B_{n})_{s} \leftrightarrow mM^{n+} + nB^{m-}
$$
\n
$$
K = \frac{a_{M}^{m} \cdot a_{B}^{n}}{a_{M_{m}B_{n}}} \leftarrow \text{unit activity of the solid phase is included in the constant}
$$
\n
$$
\Rightarrow (K_{s})_{T} = a_{M}^{m} \cdot a_{B}^{n} = \text{solubility product (thermodynamic value)}
$$
\n
$$
a_{M} = [M] \cdot \gamma_{M}, a_{B} = [B] \cdot \gamma_{B} \Rightarrow (K_{s})_{T} = K_{s} \cdot \gamma_{\perp}^{m+n}
$$
\n
$$
K_{s} = [M^{n+}]^{m} \cdot [B^{m-}]^{n}
$$

Calculation of solubility of pure compounds

uni-univalent electrolyte $c_{\scriptscriptstyle M}^{} \langle 10^{-3}$ mol · I^{-1} \Rightarrow $\gamma_{\scriptscriptstyle +}^{\scriptscriptstyle m+n}$ $\;\to$ 1 \Rightarrow a \to c n $_{M}$ $\langle10^{-5}$ mol · $^{1-1}$ \Rightarrow γ $^{m+1}$ ⋅ \Rightarrow \vee \Rightarrow + $10^{-3}\,$ mol·l $^{-1} \Rightarrow$ y $_+^{m+n} \rightarrow$ 1 \Rightarrow a \rightarrow

$$
c_{MB} = [M] = [B] = c \Rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{s} = [M] \cdot [B] = c^{2} \Rightarrow c = \sqrt{\mathcal{K}_{s}}
$$

 \Box It is valid in distilled water in the \Box It is valid in distilled water in the absence of other ions

$$
M_{m}B_{n} \Rightarrow K_{s} = [M^{n+}]^{m} \cdot [B^{m-}]^{n} = (m \cdot c)^{m} \cdot (n \cdot c)^{n}
$$

$$
\Rightarrow c = m+n \frac{K_{s}}{m^{m} \cdot n^{n}}
$$

Calculation of solubility of pure compounds

 \mathbb{R}^3 ■ example: How many grams of AgCl is contained in 1 l of saturated solution of AgCl, M (AgCl) = 143,32 g.mol--1 + $AgCl \leftrightarrow Ag^+ + Cl^-$ [
[]**.**
[]−−−−

 $\mathbb{1}^+$ $\lfloor C l^- \rfloor = 1,78 \cdot 10^{-10} \Rightarrow c = \sqrt{K_s} = \sqrt{1,78 \cdot 10^{-10}} = 1,33 \cdot 10^{-5}$ mol $\cdot l^{-1}$ $K_s = [Ag^+][Cl^-] = 1,78 \cdot 10^{-10} \Rightarrow c = \sqrt{K_s} = \sqrt{1,78 \cdot 10^{-10}} = 1,33 \cdot 10^{-5}$ mol $\cdot l$ $= 1,33 \cdot 10^{-5} \cdot 143,32 = 1,91 \cdot 10^{-3} AgCl g \cdot l^{-1}$ \Rightarrow mass conc. = 1,33 $\cdot 10^{-5} \cdot 143,32 = 1,91 \cdot 10^{-3}$ AgCl g $\cdot l$

Example: What is the solubility of Ag₂CrO₄ $+$ Ω_{ν} Ω - V Ω_{ν} + Ω Ω_{ν} Ω - Ω Ω Ω $_4$ in H₂O? − \leftrightarrow 2AQ + CrO. $4\Rightarrow$ c $(Ag$ $_{2}$ CrO $_{4}$ $)=$ $^{3}\!\!/\!1,12\cdot 10^{-12}$ / 4 $=$ 6,6 \cdot 10 $^{-5}$ mol \cdot l $^{-1}$ $Ag_2CrO_4 \leftrightarrow 2Ag^+ + CrO_4^{2-}$ $K_s = [Ag^+]^2 \cdot [CrO_4^{2-}] = 1.12 \cdot 10^{-12}$ **.**
[]**.**
[] $+$ \int \cdot $\left[C r O_4^{2-} \right] = 1,12 \cdot 10$ $K_{s}=$ $[Ag^{+}$ \int \cdot $[CrO_{4}^{2-}$ $]=$ 1,12 \cdot 10 \int $_{2}$ CrO₄ \leftrightarrow ZAG + CrO₄ N_{s} = [AG] \cdot [CrO₄] = 1,12 \cdot 2 ^{$\mathsf{u}\mathsf{v}_4$} 3 $\sqrt[3]{K_s}$ / 4 \Rightarrow $c(Ag_2CrO_4) = \sqrt[3]{1,12 \cdot 10^{-12}}$ / 4 $= 6,6 \cdot 10$ $c=\sqrt[3]{K_s}$ / $4 \Rightarrow c(Ag_{2}CrO_{4})=\sqrt[3]{1,12 \cdot 10^{-12}}$ / $4=6,6 \cdot 10^{-5}$ mol \cdot / \mathcal{L}^+ $\Big|$ = 2 \cdot c $\big(Ag_{_2}CrO_{_4}\big)$ = 1,32 \cdot 10⁻⁴ mol \cdot l⁻¹ = $_{s}$ / 4 \Rightarrow $c(Ag_{2}CrO_{4}) = \sqrt[3]{1,12 \cdot 10^{-12}}$ / 4 $= 6,6 \cdot 10^{-5}$ mol \cdot l |
|
|] $\left[Ag^{+}\right]=2\cdot c\left(Ag_{2}CrO_{4}\right)=1,32\cdot10^{-4}\,mol\cdot l^{-1}$ $= 1,32 \cdot 10^{-4}$ mol \cdot l

Solubility

side reactions

Exectors influencing solubility

- \Box \Box own ions
- \Box □ pH

 \Box □ complexation

 \Box temperature

- \Box solvent
- \Box **□** particle size
- \Box $\textcolor{orange}\blacksquare$ ionic strength

- 1) influence of own ions –– excess of precipitatnt
	- **□** M⁺B⁻ a)) precipitant excess: M^+ : $[B]$ = $K_{_S}$ / $\left[M\right]$ $\quad c_{_{MB}}$ = $\left[B\right]$ b) precipitant excess: $\ B^-:$ $\left[M \right] = K_{_S}$ / $\left[B \right]$ $\ \ c_{_{MB}} = \left[M \right]$]]]= $s^{\dagger}: [B] = K_{s}/[M]$ $c_{_{MB}} =$ $f: [B] = K_{s}$]]]= $\bar{ }$: $[M]=K_{s}/[B]$ c_{MB} = $\bar{\ }:\ [M\,]{=K}_{_S}\,/\,$
	- \Box generally $\overline{M}_m B_n \Rightarrow c(M_m B_n) = [B]/n,$ = $[B]/n$, $c(M_mB_n)$]= $_{m}B_{n} \Rightarrow c(M_{m}B_{n})=[B]/n, \quad c(M_{m}B_{n})=[M]/m$]
- **example:** $K_s(AgCl) = [Ag^+] [Cl^-] = 10^{-10}$ []**.**
[]
]
] $K_s(AgCl) = [Ag^+] [Cl^-] = 10$ by precipitating of Ag+ by excess of $:[Cl⁻] = 10⁻⁴ mol \cdot l⁻¹ \Rightarrow [Ag⁺] = 10⁻⁶ mol \cdot l⁻¹$ **.**
[]**[**]
]
] + $NaCl$: $|Cl^{-}|=10^{-4} mol \cdot l^{-1} \Rightarrow |Ag^{+}|=10^{-6} mol \cdot l^{-1}$

 \rightarrow washing the precipitate by diluted solution of precipitant, no water ${\mathbf x}$ large excess \rightarrow (soluble) complexes formation \rightarrow <u>dissolution</u> **/**]
] **[**]
] − $\langle 10^{-5}$ mol $\cdot l^{-1} \Rightarrow AgCl + Cl^{-} \leftrightarrow [AgCl_{2}]$ Cl^{-} \vert \rangle 10⁻³ mol·l⁻¹ \Rightarrow AgCl + Cl⁻ \leftrightarrow [AgCl

- **Example:** calculate concentration of SO_4 ²⁻ necessary for quantitative precipitation of BaSO $_4\,$ (M (BaSO₄) = 233,43g.mol⁻¹; K_s = 1,0 $_{\rm s}$ = 1,08 . 10⁻¹⁰)
	- Condition: m (BaSO₄) in solution < 10⁻⁴ g; V = 300 cm³ $\overline{}$ $_4$) III SURUUTI 11 U U U U U UTI

$$
c(BaSO_4) = [Ba^{2+}] = \frac{10^{-4}}{233,43} \cdot \frac{1000}{300} = 1,43 \cdot 10^{-6} M
$$

\n
$$
[SO_4^{2-}] = K_s / [Ba^{2+}] = 1,08 \cdot 10^{-10} / 1,43 \cdot 10^{-6} = 7,55 \cdot 10^{-5} \approx 10^{-4}
$$

\n
$$
= 10^{-4} M \text{ of solution of } SO_4^{2-}
$$

■ 2) pH and complex formation

- □ side equilibria → soluble complexes (hydroxocomplexes of cations, protonization of anions) $\uparrow + H^+ \leftrightarrow HB$
- □ solid phase dissolution $M^+ + B^- \leftrightarrow MB$ \downarrow −

 \downarrow +OH⁻ \leftrightarrow MOH

□ acids and bases increase solubility of precipitate

223222 CO_3^{2-} , PO_4^{2-} , As O_4^{3-} , CrO $_4^{2-}$, F⁻, S²⁻, C₂O₄²⁻ − ∈ 2+ ∈ ∈ 2− ∈ ∈ 2− + ++− \leftrightarrow Ca $^+$ + C₂O₄ $^-$ C₂O₄ + H $^+$ \leftrightarrow HC₂O₄ 22 \sim 4 22 \sim 4 22 $\text{CaC}_{2}\text{O}_{4}^{2-} \leftrightarrow \text{Ca}^{2+} + \text{C}_{2}\text{O}_{4}^{2-}$ $\quad \text{C}_{2}\text{O}_{4}^{2-} + \text{H}^{+} \leftrightarrow \text{HC}_{2}\text{O}_{4}$ ++™ ↔ HSO4 \leftrightarrow Ba $^+$ + SU, $^+$ SU, $^+$ + H $^+$ \leftrightarrow HSU, 2 42 42 42 $BaSO_4 \leftrightarrow Ba^{2+} + SO_4^{2-}$ $SO_4^{2-} + H^+ \leftrightarrow HSO_4$

□ conditional solubility product

c (M) c (B)
\n
$$
K_s = [M']^m \cdot [B']^n = [M]^m \cdot \alpha_{M(L)}^m \cdot [B]'^n \cdot \alpha_{B(H)}^n = K_s \cdot \alpha_{M(L)}^m \cdot \alpha_{B(H)}^n
$$
\n
$$
\alpha - \text{side reaction coefficient}
$$
\n
$$
\alpha_{M(L)} = \frac{[M']}{[M]} = \frac{1}{[M]} \{ [M] + [ML] + [ML_2] + ...\} = 1 + \beta_{ML} [L] + \beta_{ML_2} [L^2].
$$
\n
$$
\alpha \ge 1
$$

$$
\beta_{\rm ML} = \frac{[ML]}{[M][L]} \quad \beta_{\rm ML_2} = \frac{[ML_2]}{[M][L]^2} \quad \text{overall formation constant}
$$

и, ■ example: diprotic acid:

$$
H_2B \leftrightarrow HB^- + H^+ \quad K_1 = \left[H^+ \cdot \frac{[HB^-]}{[H_2B]} \right]
$$

\n
$$
HB^- \leftrightarrow B^{2-} + H^+ \quad K_2 = \left[H^+ \cdot \frac{[B^{2-}]}{[HB^-]} \right]
$$

\n
$$
\alpha_{B(H)} = \frac{1}{[B]} \{ [B] + [HB] + [H_2B] \} = \frac{1}{[B]} \{ [B] + [B] \cdot [H] \cdot \frac{1}{K_2} + [HB] \cdot [H] \cdot \frac{1}{K_1} \} =
$$

\n
$$
= \frac{1}{[B]} \{ [B] + [B] \cdot [H] \cdot \frac{1}{K_2} + [B] \cdot [H]^2 \cdot \frac{1}{K_1K_2} \} = 1 + \frac{[H]}{K_2} + \frac{[H]^2}{K_1K_2}
$$

 \blacksquare example: what is solubility of CaF $_2$ $_2$ in 0,01 M – HCl?

$$
K_{HF} = 6 \cdot 10^{-4}, K_s (CaF_2) = 4 \cdot 10^{-11},
$$

\n
$$
F^- + H^+ \leftrightarrow HF \Rightarrow \alpha_{F^-} = \left\{ 1 + \frac{[H^+]}{K_{HF}} \right\}, \alpha_F = 1 + \left(6 \cdot 10^{-4} \right)^{-1} \cdot \left[10^{-2} \right] \approx
$$

\n
$$
\approx 8, c(CaF_2) = \sqrt[3]{K_s / 4} = \sqrt[3]{K_s \cdot \alpha_F^2 / 4} = \sqrt[3]{K_s / 4} \cdot \sqrt[2]{\alpha_F^2} =
$$

\n
$$
= 2,15 \cdot 10^{-4} \cdot 312^{\frac{1}{3}} = 2,15 \cdot 10^{-4} \cdot 6,78 = 0,0015 M
$$

Example: calculate solubility of AgI v 0,01 M NH₃ (AgI) [
|
|- $\frac{Ag(NH_3)^{+}}{A\sigma^{+}}\Big|_{N\text{I}}=10^{3,4}\,\left(\log \beta_1=3,4\right)$ $\overline{}$ −16 ∩ IL**I**≍UULL2 I $= 1,00 \cdot 10^{-16}$ β_1 == $\beta_{\text{\tiny{l}}}$ = $\left[\overline{\text{Ag}^{+}} \right]$ [NH₃]⁼ $K_s(AgI)=[Ag^+].$ [I]] $K_s(Agl) = 1,00 \cdot 10^{-16}$ $\beta_1 = \frac{14.8(1911_3)}{Ag^+ [\text{NH}_3]} = 10^{3.4} (\log \beta_1 = 3.4$ 3 $\beta_1 = \frac{\mu}{\Delta \sigma^+} \frac{5(1.113)}{N!}$ $\beta_{\rm s}({\rm AgI}) = 1,00 \cdot 10^{-16} \quad \beta_{\rm l} = \frac{14.181}{\Delta \sigma^+}$ +− $_{s}$ (AgI) = [Ag] · [I $(AgI) = K_s(AgI) \cdot \alpha_{Ag}$ $\beta_2 = \frac{[Ag(NH_3)^+_{2}]}{[Ag(NH_3)^+_{2}]} =$ **.**
.]
]
] [] $\frac{1}{2}$ = 10^{7,2} (log 7,22) $\frac{[Ag(NH_3)^{-1}_{2}]}{Ag^{+}[[NH_3]^2]} = 10^{7.2} (\log \beta_2 = 7.2)$ $K_s' (AgI) = K_s (AgI)$ 2 $\frac{1}{2}$ = 10^{7,2} $\mathcal{L}_{\rm s}$ ' $\rm (AgI) = K_{\rm s} (AgI) \cdot \alpha_{\rm Ag}$ $\beta_2 = \frac{14.5(1413)_{2}}{\Delta \sigma^2 \ln H}$ + $= \mathbf{N}_{\rm s}(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{g1}) \cdot \mathbf{\alpha}_{\rm Ag}$ $\rho_2 = \frac{\mathbf{N}_{\rm s} + \mathbf{N}_{\rm I}(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{g1})}{\mathbf{A}_{\rm I} \mathbf{\alpha} + \mathbf{N}_{\rm I}(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{g1})^2}$ = $\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{A} \mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{A$ α β_z β_z H_3 $c'(AgI) = \sqrt{K_s'} = \sqrt{K_s \cdot \alpha_{Ag}} = \sqrt{K_s} \cdot \left\{1 + \beta_1[NH_3] + \beta_2[NH_3]^2\right\}$]]
] 2 $=\sqrt{{\rm K}_{\rm s}}^{}=\sqrt{{\rm K}_{\rm s}\cdot\alpha_{\rm Ag}}=\sqrt{{\rm K}_{\rm s}}\cdot\left\{1\!+\!\beta_1 [{\rm NH}_3\right]\!+\!\beta_2 [{\rm NH}_3\,]^2\,\right\}^{\!\frac{1}{2}}=$ $\overline{10^{-16}} \cdot \left\{ 1 + 10^{3,4} \cdot 10^{-2} + 10^{7,2} \cdot 10^{-4} \right\}$ $\sqrt{2} = 4.01 \cdot 10^{-7}$ M \mathbf{v} and \mathbf{v} are the set of \mathbf{v} and \mathbf{v} are the set of \mathbf{v} and \mathbf{v} are the set of \mathbf{v} $\frac{16}{16}$, $\left\{1+10^{3,4}$, 10^{-2} + $10^{7,2}$, $10^{-4}\right\}$ $= \sqrt{10^{-10}} \cdot \left(1 + 10^{31} \cdot 10^{-2} + 10^{13} \cdot 10^{-1}\right)^2 = 4.01 \cdot 10^{-4}$ $c(Agl) = \sqrt{10^{-16}} = 1.10^{-8} M$ Solubility increases $40x$. $=$ $\sqrt{10}$ = $\sqrt{10}$

Example: calculate molar solubility of BaCO₃ $_3$ at pH = 6 and I = 0,1 (pK $_{\rm s}$ and the contract of the contra $_{\rm s}$ = 8,09; pK 1 $_{1}$ = 6,15; pK 2 $_{2}$ = 9,99) [
|
| $\left[\text{Ba}^{2+}\right]\left[\text{CO}_3^{2-}\right]; \text{K}_1 = \frac{\left[\text{H}^+\right]\left[\text{HCO}_3^-\right]}{\left[\text{H CO}_3\right]}; \text{K}_2 = \frac{\left[\text{H}^+\right]\left[\text{CO}_3^{2-}\right]}{\left[\text{HCO}^{-}\right]}$]
]
] **|**
|][]**|**
|]
] $\sigma_2 = \frac{[H^+][CO_3^2]}{[HCO^-]}$ $_1 = \frac{P}{H} \frac{\ln 3}{H}$ 2 3 $K_1 = [Ba^{2+}][CO_3^{2-}]$; $K_1 = \frac{[H_1][HCO_3]}{[H_2CO_3]}$; $K_2 = \frac{[H_1][CC]}{[HCO]}$; $K_2 = \frac{[H^+][CO]}{[H^-]C}$ H_2 CO $K_s = [Ba^{2+}][CO_3^{2-}]$; $K_1 = \frac{[H^+][HCO]}{[H_2CO_2]}$ =−++− $+ \ln 2 - 1$ Iz $\ln 1003 + \ln 1$ $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ ()**.**
[]**.**
[]
]
] 1 21 1 $\frac{1}{2} + [H^+]^2$ CO_3^{2-}] = 1 + [H⁺] \cdot K₂⁻¹ + [H⁺]^{\cdot} · K₁⁻¹ · K₂⁻¹ = $=1+10^{-6} \cdot 10^{9,99} + 10^{-12} \cdot 10^{6,15} \cdot 10^{9,99} = 1+10^{3,99} + 10^{4,14} = 10^{4,37}$ ++ α ICO, $=$ I+IH FK, +IH FK, K $K_s = K$ $(CO_3^{2-})=10^{-8,09}\cdot 10^{4,37}=10$) $^{-8,09}\cdot$ 10 4,37 $\sigma_{\rm s} = K_{\rm s} \cdot \alpha \left(\rm CO_3^{2-} \right) = 10^{-8,09} \cdot 10^{4,37} = 10^{-3,72}$ $c(BaCO_3) = \sqrt{K_s'} = 1,38 \cdot 10^{-2} M$ $37 - \sqrt{15}$ $-1,50$ 10 $=\sqrt{N_s} = 1,38.10$

 $\textsf{\texttt{u}}$ Dissolution of BaCO $_3$ 1) hydrolysis neglected \rightarrow $_3$ in H $_2$ O, influenced pH, iteration $c(BaCO_3^-)\!=\!c\!\left(\!CO_3^{2-}\right)\!=\!\sqrt{\!K_s\!}\,=\!\sqrt{10^{-8,09}\!}\,=9\!,\!03\cdot10^{-5}\,$ M 2) we find pH at hydrolysis of CO $_3$ a) $CO_3^{2-} + H_2O \leftrightarrow HCO_3^- + O$ 2- ϵ fixed all etherolisations of Ω) $\lambda_{\rm 3}$) = $c(CO_3^{2-})$ = $\sqrt{\mathcal{K}}_{\rm s}$ = $\sqrt{10^{-8.09}}$ = 9,03 \cdot 10 $^{-1}$ $= c(\cup \cup_{3}^{\mathcal{O}}) = \sqrt{\mathsf{N}_{s}} = \sqrt{10}$ = 9,03 · 10 $\rm{K}_{CO_3^{2-}}$ = \rm{K}_{H_2O} / \rm{K}_2 $\,$ hydrolytic constant $CO_3^{2-} + H_2O \leftrightarrow HCO_3^- + OH$ −− $+ H₂U \leftrightarrow HCU₂ + OH$ $=$ K $\frac{2}{3}$ – H_2 ^U $\left[$ \rm{CO}_{3}^{2-} $\rm{H}_{1}^{+}\right]$ **.**
[]
] $_3O^+$ \rightarrow K₂ = $\frac{[CO_3^2]}{[HO]}$ $\frac{1}{3}$ + H₂O \leftrightarrow CO₃² + H₃O⁺ \rightarrow K₂ = $\frac{1003 \text{ m}}{1100}$ COH $HCO_3^- + H_2O \leftrightarrow CO_3^{2-} + H_3O^+ \rightarrow K_2 = \frac{188.3 \text{ J}}{10^{-1}}$ $\rm H$ $\mathcal{O} \leftrightarrow \mathcal{CO}_3^{2-} + \mathcal{H}$ O $\rm K$ +− TT ∩ ∩ ∩['] − TT ∩ + TT TV UV2 ||11 −+ $+ H_2O \leftrightarrow CO_2^+ + H_2O$ \leftrightarrow CO₂ + \rightarrow K $_{2}$ = μ ³ 1^4 /10^{-9,99} = 10^{-4,01} CO $K_{CO_3^{2-}} = 10^{-14} / 10^{-9.99} = 10$ 23_− = 10 / 10 / = 10 b)we neglect for calculation of pH, because $K_{\text{\tiny HCO}} = 10^{-7,85} \langle K_{\text{\tiny CO}}^2 \rangle = 10^{-4,01}$ − $\text{HCO}_3^- + \text{H}_2\text{O} \leftrightarrow \text{H}_2\text{CO}_3 + \text{OH}^-$ CO,7 ⁸⁵ HCO $K_{HCO_3^-} = 10^{-7.85} \langle K_{CO_3^{2-}} = 10^{-7}$ −− $\frac{1}{2}$ 10 $\sqrt{12} \cdot \frac{10^{2}}{2}$ 10 $= 10^{-7.85}$ $\langle\langle$ − =

c) pH of weak acid:
$$
[H^+]^2 + [H^+] \cdot K_2 - K_2 \cdot c(HCO_3^-) = 0
$$

substitute $\rightarrow [OH^-]^2 + [OH^-] \cdot K_{CO_3^{2-}} - K_{CO_3^{2-}} \cdot c(CO_3^{2-}) = 0$ $\rightarrow [OH^-] = 5,82 \cdot 10^{-5} \text{ M} \rightarrow pH = 9,76$

3) we find cond. solub. product. $\mathsf{K}_{\mathsf{s}}^{\times}$ and $\,$ c (BaCO $_3$) $\zeta^{-1} \cdot K^{-1} = 1 + 10^{0.23} + 10^{-3.38}$ $\frac{1}{1}$
uct. s and c (DaOO $\mathrm{3}$ [
|
|-]**.**
[]21 1 $K_{\text{CO}_3^{2-}} = 1 + [H^+] \cdot K_2^{-1} + [H^+]^2 \cdot K_1^{-1} \cdot K_2^{-1} = 1 + 10^{0.23} + 10^{-3.38} = 2,70$ +−+ $\alpha_{\text{CO}_2^{2-}} = 1 + [H^{\dagger}] \cdot K_2^{\dagger} + [H^{\dagger}] \cdot K_1^{\dagger} \cdot K_2^{\dagger} = 1 + 10^{3.25} + 10$ $=$ 1 + $[H''] \cdot K_2' + [H''] \cdot K_1' \cdot K_2' = 1 + 10^{6,25} + 10^{6,350} = 2,70 =$ 8,09 $\cdot 10^{0,43} = 10^{-7,66}$ $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ s $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ CO \sqrt{R} 30 \sqrt{R} $\sqrt{3}$ $10^{0,43} \rightarrow K_s = K_s \cdot \alpha_{CO_3^{2-}} = 10^{-8,09} \cdot 10^{0,43} = 10$ $=10^{\circ, 12} \rightarrow K_s = K_s \cdot \alpha_{CO_3^{2-}} = 10^{-0.95} \cdot 10^{0.92} = 10$ $c(BaCO₃) = \sqrt{K_s'} = 1,48.10^{-4} M$ x $\sqrt{K_s} = 9,03.10^{-5} M$ $= 10 \cdot 10 \cdot =$ λ_3) = $\sqrt{K_s}$ ′ = 1,48 · 10⁻⁴ $= \sqrt{N_s} = 1.48 \cdot 10$ M X $\sqrt{N_s} = 9.03 \cdot 10$ 4) we repeat calc. pH according 2c) with c (CO $_3{}^{2-})$ = 1,48.10⁻⁴ we get pH = 9,90, K_s' = 10^{-7,74}, <u>c (BaCO₃) = 1,35.10⁻⁴</u> $\sigma_{\rm s}^{\prime}$ = 10^{-7,74}, <u>c (BaCO₃) = 1,35.10⁻⁴ M</u> further approximation yields c (BaCO₃) = 1,32.10⁻⁴ M

- □ dependence of solubility of sulfides on pH principle of "sulfane" separation of cations
- **a** sulfide MS: $c(M) = c(MS) = \sqrt{K_s'} = \sqrt{K_s} \cdot \alpha(S)$ $=$ α α α s= $_{\textrm{s}}\cdot\alpha$
- $□$ boundary condition: pH ≤ 6 –dition: pH ≤ 6 – simplification \rightarrow x (S) comprises only 1 term: $\mathbf{\alpha} = (\mathrm{K}_1 \cdot \mathrm{K}_2)^{-1} \cdot \left[\mathrm{H}^+ \right]^2$ **.**
[]
]
] $K_1 \cdot K_2$ ⁻¹ · [H $^{-1}$. $\rm{I}\rm{H}^+$ $\alpha = (K_1 \cdot K_2) \cdot H$

 $\mathsf{K}_\mathfrak{1}, \, \mathsf{K}_\mathfrak{2}$ neglected $_{2}$ – dissoc. const. H $_{2}$ S a HS⁻, hydrolysis of M is $_{2}$ 1

$$
c(M) = \sqrt{K_s} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{K_1 \cdot K_2}} \cdot [H^+] \to -\log c(M) = -\log \left(\frac{K_s}{K_1 \cdot K_2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + pH
$$

pM = $\frac{1}{2}pK_s - \frac{1}{2}(pK_1 + pK_2) + pH$

□ Influence of formation of complexes with own ions

M – $c(M) = c(MB) = [M]+ [(MB)_r] + [MB_2] + ... + [MB_m]$ $-$ cation; B –— anion, ligand; MB
——————————————————— –– weak soluble comp.
「 soluble complexes**[**]**[**]**[**]
] $\overline{}$] ... $\, + \,$ $\mathsf{(MB)}_\mathsf{r}$ $_{\mathsf{r}}$ – soluble, non-dissociated portion of MB **[** $\frac{[}{M}$ $\left[\right]_r\right]_r = \frac{[(MB)]}{(MB)}$][$\int_{\mathcal{L}_{-}}$] β_{MB} – stability constant $\beta = \frac{N}{\epsilon}$ $\boxed{M \boxed{B}} = \frac{N}{\epsilon}$ s'', ב' ב == β_{1} μ_1 $(M)\cdot [B] = K_s \cdot \alpha_M = K_s \left\{1 + \beta_1 [B] + \beta_2 [B]^2 + \ldots + \beta_n [B]^n \right\}$ $\frac{N}{M}$ = $\frac{N}{K}$ **[**]
] [\mathcal{L} and \mathcal{L} and][]
]
] **[**]
] ´ $=$ $C(W) \cdot [D] = N_s \cdot \alpha_M =$ $[1 + \beta_1 |B] + \beta_2 |B|^2 + ... + \beta_n |B|^n$ $K_s = c(M) \cdot [B] = K_s \cdot \alpha_M = K_s \{1 + \beta_1[B] + \beta_2[B]^2 + \dots + \beta_n[B] \}$ $(M) = c(MB) = K_s \{ [B]^{-1} + \beta_1 + \beta_2 [B] + ... + \beta_n [B]^{n-1} \}$ $1 + P_2[D] + \cdots + P_n[D]$ 1. *.* . . . e de la construcción −− $= C(MB) = K_{n}1B1 + B_{n} + B_{n}B1 + ... + B_{n}B1$ n \mathcal{S} [[\mathcal{P}] \mathcal{P} \mathbf{r} $c(M)=c(MB)=K_{s}\left[B\right]^{-1}+\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}[B]+\ldots+\beta_{n}[B]$ calculation of solubility MB in excess of precipitant

Example: AgCl, solub. compl. $[AgCl₂]⁻, [AgCl₃]²⁻, [AgCl₄]³⁻$ $\mathsf{K}_{\mathsf{s}},\, \boldsymbol{\beta}_1$ $\boldsymbol{\beta}_2$ $\boldsymbol{\beta}_3$ β_4 $(AgCl) = c(Ag) = K_s$]
]
] **/**]**.**
[]
]
] **.**
[]
]
] } $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & B & B & C^{1} \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & B & C^{1} \end{bmatrix}$ $c(AgCl) = c(Ag) = K_s [Cl^-] + \beta_1 + \beta_2 [Cl^-] + \beta_3 [Cl^-] + \beta_4 [Cl^-]$ − $=$ $($: $|$ \land \lor $=$ \land \quad \parallel \parallel \parallel $_{s}$ { $|Cl^{-}$ | $^{+}$ β_{1} + β_{2} β_2 [Cl⁻]+ β_3 $\beta_3[\mathrm{Cl}^- \mathcal{F} + \beta_4]$ μ_4

\Box Dependence of solubility of hydroxides on pH $(M(OH)_n) = c(M) = K_s \{ [OH^-]^{-n} + \beta_1^{OH} [OH^-]^{(1-n)} + ... + \beta_N^{OH} [OH^-]^{(N-n)} \}$ [
[][
[]]... *U*\⊤ − $= c(M) = K_1 \{1OH^{-1}^{-1} + B_1^{O(1)}[OH^{-1}]^{1-u} + ... +$ −−− $c(M(OH)_n) = c(M) = K_s \{[OH^-]^{-n} + \beta_1^{OH}[OH^-]^{(1-n)} + ... + \beta_N^{OH}[OH^-]^{(N-n)}\}$ $\beta^{\rm OH}_{\rm l}$. . . $\beta^{\rm OH}_{\rm N}$ – stability constants of hydroxocomplexes N $_{\textrm{N}}^{\textrm{OH}}[\textrm{OH}^{-}]^{\textrm{(N-n)}}$ $\mathrm{O}^\mathrm{OH}_{1}$ $\mathrm{[OH^{-}]}^\mathrm{(1-n)}$ $_{\rm n}$) = c(M) = K_s{[OH⁻]⁻ⁿ+ β ^{OH}[OH⁻]⁽¹⁻ⁿ⁾+...+ β $=\frac{[M(OH)]}{[H(OH)]}$ **.**
[e $[OH^-] = K_V / [H^+] \rightarrow$]**.
[**] $[M][OH]$ $B_1 = \frac{M(OH)}{[M]OF}$ $\beta_{\text{\tiny{l}}}$ e.g. substitute $\mathrm{[OH^{-}]\,}$ $=$ K $_{\mathrm{V}}$ / $\mathrm{[H^{+}]}$ $(M(OH)_n) = c(M) = K_s \left\{ [H^+]^n \cdot \frac{1}{K^n} + [H^+]^{n-1} \cdot \frac{\beta_1^{n}}{K^{n-1}} + [H^+]^{n-2} \right\}$ $\begin{array}{c} \end{array}$ $\left\{ \right\}$ $\bigg)$ $\bigg\{$ \int \rightarrow c(M(OH), \vert = c(M) = K, $= K_s \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} H & \end{bmatrix} \cdot \frac{1}{K} \cdot \frac{1}{K} + \begin{bmatrix} H & \end{bmatrix} \cdot \frac{1}{K} \cdot \frac$ $+$ \vert " ⁻¹ + ¹ ++ K_v^{n-1} K_v^{n-2} \cdots H $K_{V}^{\text{u}-1}$ $\rm H$ K^{II}_{V} 1 $ClM[CH]$ = ClM = K_{α} \langle H^{-} | \cdot $M(OH)_{n}$) = c M $\rm K$ $\rm H$ n 2 VOH $\frac{2}{\sqrt{2}}$ n 2 $n-1$ VOH 1 $n-1$ n V $_{\rm n}$) = c(M) = K_s { [H⁺]ⁿ β_1^{\prime} β_2^{\prime}

- $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ Hydroxides of trivalent cations are less soluble than hydroxides of divalent ones.
- At pH 4,5 to 6: Fe(OH) $_3$ $_3$ \downarrow , Al(OH) 3 $_3$ \downarrow quantitatively

■ 3) ionic strength (influence of third ions)

 $\hbox{\tt\char'12}$ indifferent electrolyte

1)
$$
\log y_{\pm} = 0.5z_{\text{N}} \cdot z_{\text{B}} \cdot \sqrt{I} / (1 + \sqrt{I})
$$

2)
$$
(K_s)_T = [M^{n+}]^m \cdot [B^{m-}]^n \cdot y_M^m \cdot y_B^n = K_s \cdot y_{\pm}^{m+n}
$$

3) $c = {m+n \over N} \frac{K_s}{m^m \cdot n^n}$

1)+2)+3)
$$
\rightarrow
$$
 log c = log c₀ + 0,5z_Nz_B \sqrt{I} (c₀ pro I \leq 10⁻⁴)

 \Box solubility increases with increasing concentration of third ions

Factors influencing solubility 4) particle size of precipitate \mathbb{R}^n influence of surface of crystals**o** for $> 10^{-3}$ mm is valid **.**
[][]mn $\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{s}}=[M]^{'''}\cdot [B]^{'}$ ■ solubility increases – crystal edges – weaker attractive forces small crystals dissolve bigger \Box –grow $10^{\frac{3}{nm}}$ \hbar aging of the precipitatecolloid dispersion $-$ charge of adsorbed ions decreases solubility $=\frac{a}{r}-\frac{b}{r^4}$ || \ln $\bigg)$ $=\frac{2\sigma M}{\sqrt{2}}$ $\bigg)$ \int $\bigg)$ 211bMc \boldsymbol{C} a $\left(\frac{\partial M}{\partial T_O}\right)\left(\frac{1}{r_o}-\frac{1}{r_o}\right)$ OSTWALD - FREUNDLICH $\frac{2}{4}$ | $\ln \frac{62}{C_4}$ $\ln\left(\frac{r}{c}\right) = \frac{a}{r} - \frac{b}{r^4}$ $\ln\left(\frac{c_2}{c_4}\right) = \frac{2 \pi}{RT\rho} \left(\frac{c_1}{r_2} - \frac{c_2}{r_1}\right)$ $\frac{r}{\sqrt{r}}$ $\int = \frac{1}{RT\rho} \left(\frac{1}{r_2} - \frac{1}{r_1} \right)$ c) r r \setminus \int \setminus \setminus \int C.I KI rρ1 $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$

■ 5) influence of solvent

- \Box Organic solvents decrease solubility of inorangic substances
- \Box $\textcolor{red}{\blacksquare}$ example: CaSO $_4$ LiCl soluble in amylalcohol, $_{\rm 4}$ in 50% EtOH quantitatively precipitates neither KCl a NaCl
- □ Influence increases with charge of ions

precipitate types: - colloidal (sulfur)

- **depend on :** The chemical composition
	- -- properties and composition of medium in which precipitation occurs
	- -- method of precipitating
	-
	- -- gel-like (Fe(OH) $_3)$
	- lumpy (AgCl)
	- crystalline (convenient, better filterable,

more pure than amorphous):

- П - fine (BaSO_4)
- \blacksquare coarse(PbCl₂)
- requirements: filterability, easy drying, ignition, defined composition

 \blacksquare mechanism:

1) formation of oversaturated solution (metastable)2) formation of crystallization centers(cores, primary)

3) growth of paticles (aging)

- $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ big particles grow at the expense of small
	- a) <u>rate of formation of precipitate</u> (Weimarn)

$$
v = k \frac{c' - c}{c}
$$

^c´ - instantaneous concentration of oversaturated solution

c - solubility

b) mean particle size depends on original concentration of <mark>solution</mark> (Weimarn)

- k. **More soluble substances Links of the Company** — bigger particles
- k. t – time – aging
- c) <u>mean particle size increases</u> with time of contact of precipitate with original solution.

aging:

- $less$ perferct \rightarrow more perfect crystals
- \Box Metastable modifications→ stable (aragonit \rightarrow kalcit)
- \Box change of number of crystal water moleules

 $\text{CaC}_{2}\text{O}_{4}\cdot 3H_{2}\text{O}+\text{CaC}_{2}\text{O}_{4}\cdot 2H_{2}\text{O} \rightarrow \text{CaC}_{2}\text{O}_{4}\cdot H_{2}\text{O}$

- \Box dehydratation (hydrat. oxidy Fe, Ti, Sn, Al, Zr, Th)
- polymeration (CoS, NiS)

Colloidal dispersions $(10^{-5} - 10^{-7}$ cm): Brown motion \Box

Tyndall effect

- \Box RTG \rightarrow crystalline character
	- F large specific surface (S/V)
	- k. adsorption ability
- □ existence of colloidal dispersions: repulsive elastic forces

 \Box electric double layer \rightarrow micelles example:

3

change of sign of charge in $AgNO₃ + HCl \rightarrow AgCl$ Grange or sign or charge i isoelectric point x point of equivalence

\mathbb{R}^3 coagulation

- \Box \Box charges of the same sign on micelles **x** coagulation
- \Box electrolyte excess disturbs a double-layer→ micelles coagulate
- \Box coagulation increases with discharge of salt in washing solution $\mathsf{(AICI}_3$ $_3$ >> NH₄Cl, 1000 x)
	- $\bm{\mathsf{x}}$ ammonia salts preferred–removable at heating
- dispersion stability ${\sf H_2O}$ molecules binding \rightarrow
	- **IV** lyophobic colloids unstable (As_2S_3, S, Au, A) k. $_{2} \mathrm{S}_{3}$, S, Au, AgX)
	- Ivophilic cololoid stable (starch, gels, proteins, Al F $_{2} \mathrm{O}_3$.xH $_{2} \mathrm{O}_2$ SiO_{2} .xH $_{2}$ O) 2 יי 2
- и, peptization – the opposite of coagulation
	- \Box at filtration and washing with water – removing of electrolyte – unwanted effect! – therefore we wash with electrolyte soloution

Contamination of precipitates

- \Box co-precipitation
- \Box post-precippitation (induced preciptation)
- $\;\;\;\;$ coprecipitation $\;\;\;\;\;\;\;$ adsorption occlusioninclusion mixed crystals
	- a) <u>adsorptio</u>n: depends on conc. of adsorbed substances
		- properties of adsorbed substances
		- -- properties of precipitate
		- Paneth-Fajans: most adsorbed <u>own</u> ions <u>and forming low soluble</u> substances

- \Box example: BaSO $_4$ in excess of Ba²⁺: Br < Cl⁻ < ClO $_3$ ⁻ < NO $_3$ ⁻ BaSO $_4$ in excess of SO $_4^2$: Na $^+$ < K $^+$ < Ca $^{2+}$ < Pb $^{2+}$
- □ easier adsorbed ions with higher charge
- \Box Freundlich adsorption isotherm

(T=const.); k, n – const.; x – amount /1 g precip.

$$
x = k \cdot c^{\frac{1}{n}}
$$

- \Box relatively highest adsorption of impurities occurs at <u>lowest</u> conc. of impurities
- \Box contamination of precipitate is proportional to surface \rightarrow coagulated colloidal dispersion xcoarse crystalline precipitate

b) occlusion: mechanical stripping of extraneous components of solution at precipitating and growth of $crystals$ around the impurity \approx concentration of solution and ≈ rate of precipitation

c) <u>inclusion</u>: mechanical <u>closure</u> of parent solution at crystal growth

d) mixed crystals: <u>isomorphic substitution of ions</u> at ∆r_{ion}< 10-15 % and at the same crystal group = solid solutionspreferred ions with the same electric charge numbers

 $BaSO_4 + KMnO_4$, $BaSO_4 + KBF_4$ mosaic

AgCl + AgBr, $Ba(IO_3)_2 + Pb(IO_3)_2$, BaSO₄ + RaSO₄

 $\overline{\left(c^{}_1/c^{}_2\right)_r}$ $c₁$ / c $(0₁, 0₂)$ \overline{C}

 $D = \frac{(c_1/c_2)_t}{(c_1/c_2)_r}$ D – partition koef.; c₁,c₂ – koncentration of isomorphic const.; t – precip.; r-solution

it is not possible to purify by repeated precipitating with the same precipitant!!!

D less depends on T(K), precip. rate, conc.

\Box types of contamination

Postprecipitation

- postprecipitation– induced
- originally pure precipitate: $Ca^{2+} + Ox \rightarrow CaOx$ (Ox oxalate)
- after some time from oversaturated solution of 2)MgOx precipitates MgOx
	- it is therefore advisable to keep concentration ratios, and CaOx soon be filtered off, dtto sulfides
- **Klathrates:** $[Ni(NH_3)_2(CN)_2]$]
] $i(NH_3)_2(CN)_2$ inside benzen

Amorphous precipitates

- **Contract Contract C Amorphous precipitates** by coagulating of $\text{colloids} \rightarrow \text{gels}$
	- \Box \textsf{u} large specific surface \rightarrow significant sorption
	- \Box a coagulation by excess of electrolyte – source of contamination
	- \Box $\overline{}$ filtration – $-$ immediately after precip.
	- \Box □ to wash with electrolyte solution (peptization!!!)
- **Contract Contract C** Minimizing of coprecipitation – by a suitable procedure

Principles of precipitation

- precipitation from hot solutions better formation of crystal lattice without third ions –- contaminating ions; to cool before filtration in case of more soluble precipitates (MgNH₄PO₄)
- **precipitation from sufficiently diluted solutions; repeated in the solutions** of the state of precipitation (2x) (hydroxides); diminuition of coprecipitation of <u>cations</u> on precip. A⁺ ⁺B⁻ by precipitating with B⁻ and *vice* versa
- **Part of the State of Conceptration:** formation of coarse procipitation and increase of conceptration: formation of coarse procipi increase of concentration; formation of coarse precipitate with small surface
- k. **allow to settle before filtration**
postpropipitation! –– <u>less occlusion</u> **x** less postprecipitation!
- thorough washing (hot water, electrolyte), colloids!! Too much soluble substances by alcohol

Precipitation procedure

- 1) solution of substance to be precipiated is adjusted according to instruction (pH, temperature) and precipiptated with <u>clear solution</u> of preciptitating reagent
- 2) precipitation is carried out in 250-400 ml beakers, sample volume is adjusted to ca 100-200 ml
- 3) precipitation solution is added slowly from burette or
pinotto + stirring with aloss rod pipette + <u>stirring</u> with glass rod
- 4) after the precipitate is settled the <u>test for complete</u>
precipitation is performed precipitation is performed

Precipitation procedure

- **Precipitating from homogeneous medium:**
	- \Box □ precipitatin reagent <u>occurs</u> gradually and continuously by chemical reaction
	- \Box □ decomposition, hydrolysis
	- \Box a example: precipitation of sulfides by thioacetamide (at hot, hydrolysis)

$$
H_3C \longrightarrow \begin{matrix} S & & & \\ & H_2O & \implies & H_2S & \text{+} & H_3C \longrightarrow \begin{matrix} & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \end{matrix} \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} \text{+} & & & \\ & & H_3O & \longrightarrow \begin{matrix} & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \end{matrix}
$$

sulfide precipitates better coagulate and less adsorb

Precipitation procedure

 \Box **example: precipitation of hydroxides (M**³⁺, M⁴⁺) separation from M^{2+}

> binding H+ $^{\mathrm{+}} + \mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{O} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{OH})$ $^{+}$ $S_2O_3 + 2H^+ \leftrightarrow S + SO_2 + H_2O$ + $^{n+}$ + H₂O \leftrightarrow M(OH)_n + nH $M^{n+} + H_2O \leftrightarrow M(OH)_n + pH$ −−+ $+10_3$ $+6H$ \rightarrow 31₂ $+3H_2$ − $2\mathbf{v}_3$ (211) $\sqrt{9100}$ $1\sqrt{211}$ $5I^{-} + IO^{-}_{3} + 6H^{+}) \leftrightarrow 3I_{2} + 3H_{2}O$ $(\text{CH}_2)_{\text{6}}N_4 + 4\text{H}^+$ + CH_2), N, $+4H^+$ +6H₂O \leftrightarrow 6CH₂O + 4NH + $2NO_2^- + 2H^+ \leftrightarrow NO + NO_2 + H_2O$ 276 ¹2⁴ (117) 0112 (20) (20112) $+4NH_{4}^{+}$

 $\overline{}$ **n** rate of filtration

$$
v = \frac{dV}{dt} = k \frac{P \cdot r^2 \cdot (p_1 - p_2)}{1 \cdot \eta}
$$

- P filtration area
- r pore radius
- p_1 - p_2 hydrostatic pressure differecnce between both sides of filtration medium

se suide de la seule de la construction de la construction de la construction de la construction de la constr
- l effective length of capillaries
- η dynamic viskosity
- V capillary volume
- t time
- \Box filtration acceleration:
	- M. ■ increase P (folded filter,frit) p_1-p_2 (exhausting, longer filer funnel stem)
	- Π <u>■ decreased η (by warming)</u>

- k. besides mechanical effect influenced by:
	- $\mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{A}}$ hydration
	- $\mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{A}}$ adhesion
	- $\mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{A}}$ adsorption
	- $\mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{A}}$ elektrokinetic effect
	- $\mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{A}}$ character of filtered substance
	- \Box hydration – swelling of lyophilic filtration material \rightarrow filtration retardation (retained even smaller particles)
	- \Box a adhesion – liquid in capillaries flows slower along capillary walls

- \Box □ adsorption – precipitate particles adhere on capillary walls
- \Box □ elektrokinetic effekct – potential difference between capillary wall and liquid \rightarrow
	- **negative charge of fitr. paper retains cations and positively** charged particles of the precipitate
	- \Box paper retains negative particles after washing with HCl
- \Box □ character of filtered substance – it creates another filtration layer – different capillarity
	- Gels filter clogging, therefore larger filter porosity is required and filtration with exhausting is necessary (p_1-p_2)

- quantitative paper filters (ash-free) pure cellulose, 0,01 mg of ash
- **Different colour codes**
	- "red ribbon" medium fast filtration (amorphous $Fe₂O₃$)
	- P) "yellow ribbon" (colloides, sulfides)
	- "green ribbon" slow filtration, fine precipitates
	- P) "blue ribbon" – slow filtration, very fine crystalline precipitate, $BaSO₄$
	- $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}}$ "black ribbon" - fast filtration, coarse precipitates
	- T. "white ribbon" – medium fast filtration, standard filter for many applications

- **Contract Contract C** ■ > 10% solutions of acids or bases damages paper filters
- **Contract Contract C paper filters can not be used for filtration of** substances that are reduced at ashing
- **Contract Contract C Filtration crucibles**
	- \Box n crystalline precipitates
	- \Box \Box glass crucibles – only drying (to 200°C)
	- \Box porcelain crucibles – ignition (to 1200°C)

Washing of precipitate

- **Contract Contract C removal of residues of parent solution** – decantation is efficient – washing in beaker after precipitation
	- **using smaller volumes more times** $\mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{A}}$

$$
c_n = c \cdot \left(\frac{V_1}{V_1 + V_2}\right)^n
$$

 $\mathsf{V}_\mathsf{1}-$ filtrate volume retained by precipitate

 V_2 $_2$ – washing volume

 c_{n}^{\dagger} – concentration of third ions after n-times washing

Washing of precipitate

- и, **precipitate – ionex – it is necessary to substitute third** ions by adsorption of ions of a washing solution electrolyte
- **n** crystalline precipitates washing by solution of salt with common ion with precipitate
- <u>colloidal precipitates</u> electrolyte protecting from <u>peptisation</u> – washing by solution of
- ammonium salts easily removable by ignition
- water at last, alcohol for more soluble precipitates

- **a**) drying in open atmosphere T
- removal of excess moisture until equilibrium with the pressure of water vapor in the air at a given temperature
	- \Box Freundlich adsorption isotherm y = a.x \circ m \Box Freundlich adsorption isotherm $y = a.x^b$ – monomolecular layer of water

a) non-hygroscopic substancesb) hygroscopic substance(more layers of H_2O)

ad b) can not be dried in open atmosphere \rightarrow it is necessary to decrease ambient pressure and increase temp.; vacuum drying

□ Water vapor pressure of surface bound water is lower nižší, therefore 105-110°C is needed

и, ■ b) in dessicator – dessicants

- **□ molecular sieves silicates Al, Ca, K, Na**
- $\overline{}$ gas adsorption
	- acid (CO₂, SO₂) ≈ CaO, KOH, NaOH $\overline{}$
	- $\left\vert \psi _{i}\right\rangle$ alkaline (NH₃) \approx P₂O₅, H₂SO₄
- $\textcolor{red}{\blacksquare}$ alcohol vapors ≈ CaCl $_2$
- **□** benzine, chloroform, ether ≈ paraffin

c) at elevated temperature

□ dryer oven to180°C, ± 5°C control, 105-110°C, also: loss of crystallization water

 $CuSO_4 \cdot 5H_2O \rightarrow 4H_2O + CuSO_4 \cdot H_2O$
CuSO₄ ⋅ H₂O $\xrightarrow{260^\circ C}$ → CuSO₄ + H₂O $CuSO₄ \cdot H₂O \xrightarrow{260^{\circ}C} CO_{4} + H₂O$ $\text{Cu}(\text{OH}_2)_4$

- **u** volatilization: NH₃, CO₂, SO₂, ammonium salts at 150°C, volatile chlorides
- filtration paper can withstand 150°C
- □ drying to constant wight– if a precipitate is dried directly to the form suitable for weighing – repeat the drying and weighing until the weight change (0,2 mg)

Ignition

- transfer of precipitate to ignited state
- porcelain crucible + triangle with ceramic rollers (Pt- crucible)

temperatures:

Bunsen burner - porcel. 700-800°C

-Pt 850-1000°C

Teclu + 100°C ^x Buns.

Mecker + 200°C **x** Buns.

Principles and procedures of ignition

- 1) drying and ignition of empty crucible to constant weight slowly (non-luminous flame), tongs
- 2) filter into the crucible:
	- a)to dry at 70°C in drying oven or over burner
	- b)to incinerate (charring, air, no fire!!!)
- 3) ignition (500-1000°C) – burner, muffle furnace; after cooling down to 100-200°C \rightarrow into dessicator; to constant weight (± 0,2 mg)

Types of gravimetric determinations

- a) without precipitation reagent –— salts ignition, heavy metal salts with anions of volatile acids $(\mathsf{NO_3}^\text{-}, \, \mathsf{CO_3}^\text{-2}$ $\rm Cr_2O_3$, $\rm Bi_2O_3$, $\rm Sb_2O_3$, $\rm SnO_2$, $\rm TiO_2$, $\rm ZrO_2)$ $\,$, SO $_4{}^2$ O_3 , CO_3^2 , SO_4^2) \rightarrow CuO, ZnO, Fe₂O₃, Al₂O $3, 0, 3, 0, 4, 7, 0, 2, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 3, 1, 2, 3, 2, 3, 3, 4, 5, 6, 6, 7, 6, 1, 2, 3, 1, 2, 3, 1, 2, 3, 1, 3, 3, 4, 5, 6, 6, 7, 6, 1, 2, 3, 1, 2, 3, 1, 2, 3, 1, 2, 3, 1, 2, 3, 1, 2, 3, 1, 2, 3, 1, 2, 3, 1, 2, 3, 1, 2, 3$
- b)addition of precip. reagent: H_2S , HCI, H_2SO_4 , NH₄OH, Na₂HPO₄, AgNO₃, BaCl₂ oragnic reagents : 8-hydroxyquinoline, anthranilic acid, oxalic acid

Types of gravimetric determinations

c)precipitation from homogeneous solution

for precipitation of hydroxides (urea, carbonyldiamide, carbamide, diaminmethanal):

> $(NH_2)_2$ CO + H₂O \rightarrow 2NH₃ + CO 2

 for precipitation of oxalates, phosphates and sulphates –hydrolysis of esters:

 (CH_3) , SO₄ + H₂O \rightarrow 2CH₃OH + 2H⁺ − $_{3}$)₂SO₄ + H₂O \rightarrow 2CH₃OH + 2H⁺ + SO²₄ CH ₃ \int_2 SO ₄ + H₂O \rightarrow 2CH ₃OH + 2H⁺ + SO $\frac{2}{4}$

for precipitation of sulphides:

 $\mathrm{CH}_3\mathrm{SCNH}_2 + \mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{O} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{S} + \mathrm{CH}_3\mathrm{CONH}_2$

thioacetamide acetamide

Overview of gravimetric methods

Overview of gravimetric methods

Overview of gravimetric methods

Calculation of gravimetric analysis

- \mathbb{R}^n **gravimetric factor– what is** ≤ 1 **, the error is** smaller
	- $\textcolor{orange}\blacksquare$ ex. $\textcolor{orange}\mathtt{a}$ (g) sample \rightarrow **b** (g) AgCl, chlorides content is

$$
x = \frac{A(C1)}{M(AgC1)} \cdot b
$$

Calculation of gravimetric analysis

If indirect determination of K^+ and Na^+ - mixture of chlorides

KCl NaCl $m \cdot x + n \cdot y = b \approx \text{total mass of chlorides in weighted AgCl}$ x + y = a \approx total mass of chlorides K, Na $\cdot x$ + n · y = b \approx ($\big(\mathrm{KCl} \big)$)0,4756 $M_r(KCl)$ $m = \frac{A_r |C|}{4}$ $=$ $\frac{-r}{r}$ =−($\frac{(8.6666)}{(NaCl)} = 0,6066$) M_r (NaCl $n = \frac{A_r |C|}{4}$ $=$ $\frac{-r}{r}$ = $r(\mathbf{IV})$ b $y = a - x$ $\mathbf n$ $\rm{a}\,{-}$ $m-n$ $m-n$ 1 $x =$ $\cdots a \cdots b$ $y =$