## Exercise session #2

Lecturer: Dmytro Vikhrov Date: February 28, 2012

# Problem 1

Suppose Karel's utility function is  $U(x_1, x_2) = x_1 x_2$ .

- 1. Fix the utility at  $\overline{U} = 12$  and draw his indifference curve in  $(x_1, x_2)$  locus. On the graph explain the notion of the **marginal utility** and **total utility**. Draw both graphs.
- 2. Suppose that we are at the point (2, 6), and  $x_2$  suddenly drops to  $x_2 = 5$ . By how much must the consumption of  $x_1$  increase to keep Karel's utility constant at the level  $\overline{U}$ ?
- 3. Take the total derivative of the utility function and formalize the concept of the **marginal rate of substitution**.

## Problem 2

In the above Problem 1 prices of goods were absent. Let us extend the setup to include prices,  $p_1$  for good  $x_1$  and  $p_2$  for good  $x_2$ .

- 1. Reproduce the first-order conditions of the utility maximization problem from Lecture 1. Compute the optimal consumption bundle for  $(p_1, p_2) = (\frac{4}{3}, 1)$  and w = 8. Compute the elasticity of demand with respect to prices.
- 2. Suppose that  $p_1$  increases to  $p'_1 = 2$ . Compute the utility loss.
- 3. By how much should the initial budget increase for Karel to get back on his initial indifference curve,  $\overline{U} = 12$ ? Setup a system of three equations with three unknowns. Interpret your findings.
- 4. Generalize the notion of **compensating variation** (CV).
- 5. Now suppose that the budget decrease is measured in terms of old prices. Generalize the notion of **equivalent variation** (EV).
- 6. How can the CV/EV framework be applied to the case of the recent tax increase in the Czech Republic?

#### Problem 3

Veronika's utility function is  $U(x, y) = min\{x, y\}$ . Her monthly salary is 150 units of currency. The price of both x and y is 1. Her boss is thinking of sending her to work to another town, where everything is the same, but  $p_y = 2$ . The boss offers no rise in pay and the transportation costs are zero. Veronika says that for her the move is as bad as a cut in pay of A units. She also does not mind moving if she gets a rise in pay equivalent to B units. What are the values of A and B?

#### **Problem 4** (left-over from the previous exercise session)

The studied utility maximization framework is also used to analyze how economic agents (*i.e.* individuals) supply labor. Agent's utility consists of how much labor to supply and how many other goods, x, to buy:  $U(x, L) = \frac{x^{\gamma}}{\gamma} + L$ , where L is free time, i.e. leisure, and  $\gamma \neq 0$ . The price of labor is the wage, w, and the price of the consumption good is p. The total endowment of time is 1.

- 1. Define the agent's constraint and setup the utility maximization problem. Graphically represent the budget constraint and discuss the location of the indifference curve for the following cases:
  - i. The minimum number of hours worked is 8 hours per day.
  - ii. The maximum number of hours worked is 40 hours per week.
  - iii. The agent is offered to work overtime at a higher wage, w' > w.
- 2. Derive the optimal allocation of the labor supply and consumption. Are leisure (or labor) and consumption substitutes or complements? Are they normal or inferior goods?
- 3. Define the equilibrium in this model.
- 4. Suppose that the labor income is taxed at a per-unit rate  $\tau$ . Re-derive the optimal labor supply and analyze the effect of the taxation.
- 5. Now think that, unlike to the previous point, there is a lump-sum tax on labor T. Re-derive the optimal labor supply and compare it with the labor supply under per-unit tax.