



Functional and evolutionary aspects of chemoreceptors

Dieter Wicher*

Department of Evolutionary Neuroethology, Max Planck Institute for Chemical Ecology, Jena, Germany

Edited by:

Michael Hausser, University College London, UK

Reviewed by:

Mala Shah, University of London, UK
Jean-Pierre Montmayeur, The Coca-Cola Company, USA

***Correspondence:**

Dieter Wicher, Department of Evolutionary Neuroethology, Max Planck Institute for Chemical Ecology, Hans-Knöll-St. 8, D-07745 Jena, Germany.
e-mail: dwicher@ice.mpg.de

The perception and processing of chemical signals from the environment is essential for any living systems and is most probably the first sense developed in life. This perspective discusses the physical limits of chemoreception and gives an overview on the receptor types developed during evolution to detect chemical signals from the outside world of an organism. It discusses the interaction of chemoreceptors with downstream signaling elements, especially the interaction between electrical and chemical signaling. It is further considered how the primary chemosignal is appropriately amplified. Three examples of chemosensory systems illustrate different strategies of such amplification.

Keywords: ionotropic receptor, metabotropic receptor, receptor kinase, GPCR, TRP channel

INTRODUCTION

Chemoreceptors transduce an external signal, a volatile molecule (olfaction) or a molecule in solution (gustation) into an intracellular signal. There are two major types of chemoreceptors, ionotropic and metabotropic receptors. Ionotropic receptors (IRs) are ion channels activated by ligand binding. The chemical messenger elicits an immediate electrical signal in the sensory cell. By contrast, activation of metabotropic receptor activates an intracellular signaling cascade which may include enzyme activation, second messenger production or activation of ion channels. Receptor function and sensitivity are usually regulated by interaction with accessory proteins. A high sensitivity of the chemoreceptive machinery results from signal amplification which may take place at various levels of signal processing.

While most chemoreceptors in mammals are metabotropic receptors, chemoreception in insects is ionotropic. The authors of a recent review thus asked whether the choice of a mechanism for a sensory task is “chance or design” (Silbering and Benton, 2010), and concluded that the choice of ionotropic mechanisms for insect chemoreception probably reflects a special mechanistic advantage. For a detailed review on the evolution of insect olfaction see (Hansson and Stensmyr, 2011).

PHYSICAL LIMITS OF CHEMOSENSATION

To detect low pheromone concentrations released in the order of magnitude of ng per hour male insects have expanded the surface area of their antennae (Hansson and Stensmyr, 2011). How are receptor size and resolution related? Analysing bacterial chemotaxis Berg and Purcell (1977) calculated the maximum precision in sensing the concentration of a chemoattractant. The limit is set by the noise due to Brownian motion when sensing a few molecules. *Escherichia coli* can detect amino acids at nanomolar concentration (Mao et al., 2003) which corresponds to only a few molecules per cell volume. The fractional accuracy $\delta c/c$ in determining the concentration c is given by:

$$\delta c/c = 1/\sqrt{Drc_m t}$$

where D is the diffusion coefficient of the chemoattractant, r the receptor radius (e.g., cell radius), c_m the mean concentration and t the detection time (Berg and Purcell, 1977). This formula is valid for a single receptor as well as for a receptor array such as a cell surface equipped with receptors (Bialek and Setayeshgar, 2005). To determine a molecule with a diffusion coefficient of $10^{-5} \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$ at a mean concentration of $1 \mu\text{M}$ with an accuracy of 1%, a cell with a radius of $1 \mu\text{m}$ requires a measuring time of 10 ms. For a single receptor with a radius of 1 nm a detection time of 17 s would be required. Considering a fixed detection time increasing the receptive surface indeed enhances the resolution as in the above case of pheromone detection.

CHEMOSIGNAL PROCESSING AND AMPLIFICATION

External molecules bind to chemoreceptors located in the plasma membrane, the subsequent receptor activation transduces the external signal across the plasma membrane. For ligand-gated or IRs, binding of the signal molecule opens an ion channel and produces an electrical signal. This process is usually fast (micro to milliseconds). The ion flux changes the membrane potential and thus the electrical activity of the chemosensory neurons. Signals transferred by excitatory IRs are amplified by depolarization which activates various voltage-gated cation channels leading to further depolarization of OSNs. Another type of amplification may take place when receptor activation leads to Ca^{2+} influx, either directly such as for the Ca^{2+} -permeable TRP channels or indirectly when the depolarization activates voltage-gated Ca^{2+} -channels. A rise in the free intracellular Ca^{2+} concentration may activate various intracellular signaling cascades thereby amplifying the chemical signal.

For metabotropic receptors binding of the signal molecule initiates changes in intracellular chemical signaling such as enzyme activation and production of second messengers. This process is slower than ionotropic signaling and requires typically 50–150 milliseconds. However, for a tightly packed signaling cascade this delay can be much shorter as in *Drosophila* photoreception where

the receptor current activates 20 ms after photon absorption by rhodopsin (Katz and Minke, 2009).

Since metabotropic signaling often involves G protein activation, stimulation of enzymatic activity, and production of second messengers, i.e., processes potentially contributing to a signal amplification, this type of signaling may achieve a high sensitivity of chemodetection. For example, in G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) ligand binding activates a number of G proteins setting a first level of signal amplification. This amplification relies on a sufficient long dwelling time of the ligand to the receptor. The residence time of the ligand which is inversely related to the dissociation rate constant is thought to determine the effect of receptor activation under *in vivo* conditions far from thermodynamic equilibrium rather than the affinity defined as the reciprocal of the equilibrium dissociation constant (Tummino and Copeland, 2008). Another mechanism that may restrict the number of activated G proteins is receptor desensitization (Kato and Touhara, 2009). Furthermore, the signal amplification at G protein level is controlled by proteins regulating their activity cycle such as RGS proteins (regulators of G protein signaling), AGS proteins (activators of G protein signaling) and GEFs (guanine nucleotide exchange factors), for example in *C. elegans* RGS proteins (Fukuto et al., 2004; Ferkey et al., 2007). G proteins downstream activate enzymes such as adenylyl cyclases. The cAMP production sets the second level of amplification since each molecule may affect further downstream targets such as protein kinases which might add another level of amplification.

Primary electrical signals can elicit secondary chemical signaling, and vice versa, primary chemical signals can be transformed into electrical signals as metabotropic receptors downstream often target ion channels. For example, activation of the vanilloid receptor VR1, a member of the TRP channel family, by capsaicin induces a Ca^{2+} influx in the receptor cell. Ca^{2+} as a universal intracellular signaling molecule can then activate Ca^{2+} -dependent proteins such as cyclases and kinases. In mammalian olfactory sensory neurons, odor binding activates the olfactory receptor (OR), a GPCR bound to a stimulatory G_{olf} protein which in turn enhances the cAMP production via adenylyl cyclase stimulation. cAMP binds to and opens cyclic nucleotide gated (CNG) channels which depolarize the neuron and also conduct Ca^{2+} . Finally, Ca^{2+} activates Ca^{2+} -dependent Cl^- channels thereby further depolarizing the cell (Kaupp, 2010). Similarly, receptor guanylyl cyclases catalyze the production of cGMP which also activate CNG channels to depolarize cells and enhance the free Ca^{2+} concentration.

SPECIAL EXAMPLES FOR CHEMOSIGNAL AMPLIFICATION

BACTERIAL CHEMORECEPTORS

In *Escherichia coli*, chemoreceptors transmembrane methyl-accepting chemotaxis proteins (MCPs). They couple via a signal conversion module to histidine autokinases CheA which are inhibited upon chemoattractant and activated upon repellent binding (Hazelbauer et al., 2008). The receptors for attractants bind serine (Tsr), aspartate and maltose (Tar) ribose, glucose and galactose (Trg), and dipeptides (Tap). The phosphorylation state of CheA controls the flagellar motor. The chemoreceptors form dimers which assemble to hexagonally packed trimers. These arrays are concentrated in patches of about 250 nm diameter,

corresponding to 1% of the cell surface, and contain nearly half the number of expressed chemoreceptors.

Within the signaling complex chemoreceptor/CheA there is a signal amplification of ~ 36 , i.e., one receptor controls 36 kinase molecules. Moreover, the receptors show strong cooperativity, for attractant stimulation a Hill coefficient of 10 was observed (Hazelbauer et al., 2008). Taken together, the lattice structure of receptor arrangement allows a high degree of interaction within the signaling complexes. This allows the bacteria to detect nanomolar amino acid concentrations (Mao et al., 2003).

SEA URCHIN SPERM CELLS

The chemoattractant resact is released from the egg and binds to a transmembrane receptor guanylyl cyclase on a sperm cell. One ligand molecule gives rise to the production of ~ 45 cGMP molecules (Bönigk et al., 2009). One cGMP molecule is able to activate CNGK, an atypical CNG channel with exclusive K^+ permeability that forms a pseudotetramer like voltage-gated Na^+ or Ca^{2+} channels. Conventional CNG channels operate in the micromolar concentration range and are activated in cooperative manner, CNGK operates in the nanomolar range and is activated by binding of a single molecule to the third repeat. CNGK activation produces a transient hyperpolarization followed by activation of voltage-gated Ca^{2+} channels allowing Ca^{2+} to enter the flagellum. At least 25 cGMP molecules were found to be required for eliciting a Ca^{2+} signal. Thus, binding of one chemoattractant molecule is sufficient to produce a number of cGMP molecules that activate the highly cGMP-sensitive CNGK channels which in turn elicit a behavioral response.

MAMMALIAN OLFACTORY RECEPTORS

Odor binding on mouse OR is extremely short. A dwelling time of ~ 1 ms is not sufficient to activate on average one G protein, similar as previously observed in frog ORs (Bhandawat et al., 2005; Ben-Chaim et al., 2011). Thus, there is no signal amplification at this level. Multiple binding of odor molecules to the same receptor integrates odor signaling, and together with sufficient receptor expression that multiplies binding events and thus the probability of G protein activation leads to a stimulation of adenylyl cyclase III. This raises the cAMP level to activate CNG channels thereby depolarizing the cell and importing Ca^{2+} . The signal amplification takes place when Ca^{2+} activates Ca^{2+} -dependent Cl^- channels which further depolarize the cell (Kaupp, 2010).

The delay between binding of odor molecules to the receptor and the development of the receptor potential depends on the odor concentration and can range between 100 ms at sub-micromolar concentration and 25–40 ms at concentrations up to 100 μM (Ghatpande and Reiser, 2011). A delay in this order of magnitude seems to be not limiting since a behavioral response appears already 200 ms after odor stimulation (Abraham et al., 2004).

CHEMORECEPTOR TYPES IN EVOLUTION

From bacteria to men both types of receptors, metabotropic and ionotropic, are used to perceive chemical signals (Table 1) (Biswas et al., 2009; Gees et al., 2010; Nordström et al., 2011). Most of them are conserved during evolution, yet there are cases of receptor gene degeneration such as the CO_2 -sensing guanylyl

Table 1 | Chemoreceptors in model organisms operating via a metabotropic or an ionotropic mechanism.

	Metabotropic		Ionotropic		
	RC/RK	GPCR	GR/OR	TRP	IR
<i>E. coli</i>	X	–	–	–	X
<i>S. cerevisiae</i>	–	X	–	X	–
<i>C. elegans</i>	X	X	X	X	X
<i>D. melanogaster</i>	–	–	X*	X	X
<i>M. musculus</i>	X	X	–	X	–

RC/RK, receptor cyclase/receptor kinase; GPCR, G protein-coupled receptor; GR/OR, gustatory receptor, olfactory receptor; TRP, ion channel family named according to the first member to be discovered ("transient receptor potential" channel in *Drosophila* photoreceptors); IR, "ionotropic receptor," a variant ionotropic glutamate receptor protein serving as olfactory receptor. *GR/ORs in insects are 7-TM proteins as GPCRs, but are inversely oriented in the membrane. They do not belong to the GPCR superfamily according to a bioinformatics analysis (Benton et al., 2006; Nordström et al., 2011), and form ionotropic receptors. X, receptor type reported for that species.

cyclase D in primates (Young et al., 2007) or TRPC2, a channel part of the pheromone signaling cascade, in men (Zufall, 2005).

Bacteria obtain nutrient information for chemotaxis from receptor-associated kinases (Hazelbauer et al., 2008) and sense amino acids using precursors of ionotropic glutamate receptors (iGluRs, Chiu et al., 1999). Yeast express GPCRs to detect sugar and pheromones (Versele et al., 2001) as well as TRP channels for sensing aromatic compounds (Nilius and Owsianik, 2011). Highly sugar-sensitive receptors are the 12-TM proteins Snf3/Rgt2 which regulate the expression of sugar transporters (Gancedo, 2008).

In more complex organisms, specialized chemosensory neurons detect volatile or external chemical messengers in solution. Receptor activation induces a change in the electrical activity of sensory neurons. IRs form ion channels gated by ligand binding to the receptor. Metabotropic receptors couple to intracellular signaling systems regulating the activity of targets such as ion channels thereby changing the neuronal activity.

In nematodes metabotropic chemoreceptors comprise receptor guanylyl cyclases and a large number of GPCRs (Bargmann, 2006). Receptors operating via an ionotropic mechanism are TRP channels (Nilius and Owsianik, 2011), and "Ionotropic Receptors" (IRs), ORs sensing general odors which are related to iGluRs (Croset et al., 2010).

In insects, ORs are heterodimers composed of two proteins with 7-transmembrane topology like GPCRs (Neuhaus et al.,

2005). However, there is no sequence similarity to other GPCRs and the proteins are inversely oriented in the membrane (Benton et al., 2006). One of these proteins is odor-specific, the other one a co-receptor with chaperone function (Larsson et al., 2004). While there are indications that odors initiate metabotropic signaling (Wicher et al., 2008; Deng et al., 2011), the primary odor response is ionotropic (Sato et al., 2008; Wicher et al., 2008). Other IRs in insects are gustatory receptors [GRs, (Sato et al., 2011), IRs (Benton et al., 2009), and TRP channels (Nilius and Owsianik, 2011)].

In mammals metabotropic chemoreceptors comprise receptor tyrosine kinases (Petersen et al., 2011), guanylyl cyclases (Fülle et al., 1995; Sun et al., 2009), and a large number of GPCRs (Kaupp, 2010). Most olfactory and pheromone receptors are GPCRs (Fleischer et al., 2009). Taste receptors for sweet, bitter, and umami are GPCRs whereas those for sour and salty are thought to be ionotropic (Chandrashekar et al., 2006). Receptors for hot and spicy compounds as capsaicin, for cool compounds as menthol or pungent compounds as mustard oil are also ionotropic TRP channels (Damann et al., 2008). IRs are not expressed in mammals (Croset et al., 2010). Instead, mammalian central synapses express various subtypes of the related iGluRs.

CONCLUSION

Chemosensory systems are functional complexes of receptors and downstream signaling elements. There seems to be no preference for the use of either metabotropic or IRs for the detection of chemicals during evolution. Moreover, receptors for a given sense might be both metabotropic and ionotropic as seen above for mammalian taste receptors. There is a pronounced conservation of chemoreceptors during evolution. It is rather rare that receptors disappear at a certain stage like the IRs in vertebrates. As seen in mammalian ORs, there is no selection toward perfection. It is possible to neglect the potential of G proteins for a signal amplification. Although the chemosensory system was not optimized for fast processing, the organism as a whole is capable of behaviorally responding to odor stimulation in astonishingly short time. A high sensitivity of chemosensory systems can be achieved by spatial arrangements such as the chemosensory patches in bacteria which provide the basis for high cooperativity of signaling elements. On the other hand, the capability of sea urchin sperm cells to react to single resact molecules demonstrates that high sensitivity can also be obtained in a remarkably simple way unless the internal signaling cascade allows a sufficiently high amplification of the external signal.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was supported by the Max Planck Society.

REFERENCES

- Abraham, N. M., Spors, H., Carleton, A., Margrie, T. W., Kuner, T., and Schaefer, A. T. (2004). Maintaining accuracy at the expense of speed: stimulus similarity defines odor discrimination time in mice. *Neuron* 44, 865–876.
- Bargmann, C. I. (2006). Chemosensation in *C. elegans*. *WormBook*. doi: 10.1895/wormbook.1.123.1
- Ben-Chaim, Y., Cheng, M. M., and Yau, K. W. (2011). Unitary response of mouse olfactory receptor neurons. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 108, 822–827.
- Benton, R., Sachse, S., Michnick, S. W., and Vosshall, L. B. (2006). Atypical membrane topology and heteromeric function of *Drosophila* odorant receptors *in vivo*. *PLoS Biol.* 4:e20. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.0040020
- Benton, R., Vannice, K. S., Gomez-Diaz, C., and Vosshall, L. B. (2009). Variant ionotropic glutamate receptors as chemosensory receptors in *Drosophila*. *Cell* 136, 149–162.
- Berg, H. C., and Purcell, E. M. (1977). Physics of chemoreception. *Biophys. J.* 20, 193–219.

- Bhandawat, V., Reiser, J., and Yau, K. W. (2005). Elementary response of olfactory receptor neurons to odorants. *Science* 308, 1931–1934.
- Bialek, W., and Setayeshgar, S. (2005). Physical limits to biochemical signaling. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 102, 10040–10045.
- Biswas, K. H., Shenoy, A. R., Dutta, A., and Visweswariah, S. S. (2009). The evolution of guanylyl cyclases as multidomain proteins: conserved features of kinase-cyclase domain fusions. *J. Mol. Evol.* 68, 587–602.
- Bönigk, W., Loogen, A., Seifert, R., Kashikar, N., Klemm, C., Krause, E., et al. (2009). An atypical CNG channel activated by a single cGMP molecule controls sperm chemotaxis. *Sci. Signal* 2, ra68.
- Chandrasekar, J., Hoon, M. A., Ryba, N. J., and Zuker, C. S. (2006). The receptors and cells for mammalian taste. *Nature* 444, 288–294.
- Chiu, J., DeSalle, R., Lam, H. M., Meisel, L., and Coruzzi, G. (1999). Molecular evolution of glutamate receptors: a primitive signaling mechanism that existed before plants and animals diverged. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 16, 826–838.
- Croset, V., Rytz, R., Cummins, S. F., Budd, A., Brawand, D., Kaessmann, H., et al. (2010). Ancient protostome origin of chemosensory ionotropic glutamate receptors and the evolution of insect taste and olfaction. *PLoS Genet.* 6:e1001064. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1001064
- Damann, N., Voets, T., and Nilius, B. (2008). TRPs in our senses. *Curr. Biol.* 18, R880–R889.
- Deng, Y., Zhang, W., Farhat, K., Oberland, S., Gisselmann, G., and Neuhaus, E. M. (2011). The stimulatory Galphas protein is involved in olfactory signal transduction in *Drosophila*. *PLoS ONE* 6:e18605. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0018605
- Ferkey, D. M., Hyde, R., Haspel, G., Dionne, H. M., Hess, H. A., Suzuki, H., et al. (2007). *C. elegans* G protein regulator RGS-3 controls sensitivity to sensory stimuli. *Neuron* 53, 39–52.
- Fleischer, J., Breer, H., and Strotmann, J. (2009). Mammalian olfactory receptors. *Front. Cell. Neurosci.* 3:9. doi: 10.3389/neuro.03.009.2009
- Fukuto, H. S., Ferkey, D. M., Apicella, A. J., Lans, H., Sharmeen, T., Chen, W., et al. (2004). G protein-coupled receptor kinase function is essential for chemosensation in *C. elegans*. *Neuron* 42, 581–593.
- Fülle, H. J., Vassar, R., Foster, D. C., Yang, R. B., Axel, R., and Garbers, D. L. (1995). A receptor guanylyl cyclase expressed specifically in olfactory sensory neurons. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 92, 3571–3575.
- Gancedo, J. M. (2008). The early steps of glucose signalling in yeast. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* 32, 673–704.
- Gees, M., Colsoul, B., and Nilius, B. (2010). The role of transient receptor potential cation channels in Ca²⁺ signaling. *Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol.* 2, a003962.
- Ghatpande, A. S., and Reiser, J. (2011). Olfactory receptor neuron responses coding for rapid odour sampling. *J. Physiol.* 589, 2261–2273.
- Hansson, B. S., and Stensmyr, M. C. (2011). Evolution of insect olfaction. *Neuron* 72, 698–711.
- Hazelbauer, G. L., Falke, J. J., and Parkinson, J. S. (2008). Bacterial chemoreceptors: high-performance signaling in networked arrays. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* 33, 9–19.
- Kato, A., and Touhara, K. (2009). Mammalian olfactory receptors: pharmacology, G protein coupling and desensitization. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* 66, 3743–3753.
- Katz, B., and Minke, B. (2009). *Drosophila* photoreceptors and signaling mechanisms. *Front. Cell. Neurosci.* 3:2. doi: 10.3389/neuro.03.002.2009
- Kaupp, U. B. (2010). Olfactory signalling in vertebrates and insects: differences and commonalities. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 11, 188–200.
- Larsson, M. C., Domingos, A. I., Jones, W. D., Chiappe, M. E., Amrein, H., and Vosshall, L. B. (2004). Or83b encodes a broadly expressed odorant receptor essential for *Drosophila* olfaction. *Neuron* 43, 703–714.
- Mao, H., Cremer, P. S., and Manson, M. D. (2003). A sensitive, versatile microfluidic assay for bacterial chemotaxis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 100, 5449–5454.
- Neuhaus, E. M., Gisselmann, G., Zhang, W., Dooley, R., Störtkuhl, K., and Hatt, H. (2005). Odorant receptor heterodimerization in the olfactory system of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Nat. Neurosci.* 8, 15–17.
- Nilius, B., and Owsianik, G. (2011). The transient receptor potential family of ion channels. *Genome Biol.* 12, 218.
- Nordström, K. J., Almen, M. S., Edstam, M. M., Fredriksson, R., and Schiöth, H. B. (2011). Independent HHsearch, Needleman-Wunsch-based and motif analyses reveals the overall hierarchy for most of the G protein-coupled receptor families. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 28, 2471–2480.
- Petersen, C. I., Jheon, A. H., Mostowfi, P., Charles, C., Ching, S., Thirumangalathu, S., et al. (2011). FGF signaling regulates the number of posterior taste papillae by controlling progenitor field size. *PLoS Genet.* 7:e1002098. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1002098
- Sato, K., Pellegrino, M., Nakagawa, T., Nakagawa, T., Vosshall, L. B., and Touhara, K. (2008). Insect olfactory receptors are heteromeric ligand-gated ion channels. *Nature* 452, 1002–1006.
- Sato, K., Tanaka, K., and Touhara, K. (2011). Sugar-regulated cation channel formed by an insect gustatory receptor. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 108, 11680–116805.
- Silbering, A. F., and Benton, R. (2010). Ionotropic and metabotropic mechanisms in chemoreception: ‘chance or design?’ *EMBO Rep.* 11, 173–179.
- Sun, L., Wang, H., Hu, J., Han, J., Matsunami, H., and Luo, M. (2009). Guanylyl cyclase-D in the olfactory CO₂ neurons is activated by bicarbonate. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 106, 2041–2046.
- Tummino, P. J., and Copeland, R. A. (2008). Residence time of receptor-ligand complexes and its effect on biological function. *Biochemistry* 47, 5481–5492.
- Versele, M., Lemaire, K., and Thevelein, J. M. (2001). Sex and sugar in yeast: two distinct GPCR systems. *EMBO Rep.* 2, 574–579.
- Wicher, D., Schäfer, R., Bauernfeind, R., Stensmyr, M. C., Heller, R., Heinemann, S. H., et al. (2008). *Drosophila* odorant receptors are both ligand-gated and cyclic-nucleotide-activated cation channels. *Nature* 452, 1007–1011.
- Young, J. M., Waters, H., Dong, C., Fulle, H. J., and Liman, E. R. (2007). Degeneration of the olfactory guanylyl cyclase D gene during primate evolution. *PLoS ONE* 2:e884. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0000884
- Zufall, F. (2005). The TRPC2 ion channel and pheromone sensing in the accessory olfactory system. *Naunyn-Schmiedeberg's Arch. Pharmacol.* 371, 245–250.

Conflict of Interest Statement: The author declares that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Received: 31 July 2012; accepted: 08 October 2012; published online: 26 October 2012.

Citation: Wicher D (2012) Functional and evolutionary aspects of chemoreceptors. *Front. Cell. Neurosci.* 6:48. doi: 10.3389/fncel.2012.00048

Copyright © 2012 Wicher. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in other forums, provided the original authors and source are credited and subject to any copyright notices concerning any third-party graphics etc.