

Exercise 1. *There is a lemma, that says: Given the following diagram, where rows are long exact sequences and m is an iso*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 K_n & \xrightarrow{i} & L_n & \xrightarrow{j} & M_n & \xrightarrow{h} & K_{n-1} & \longrightarrow & L_{n-1} & \longrightarrow & M_{n-1} \\
 f \downarrow & & g \downarrow & & m \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \bar{K}_n & \xrightarrow{\bar{i}} & \bar{L}_n & \xrightarrow{\bar{j}} & \bar{M}_n & \longrightarrow & \bar{K}_{n-1} & \longrightarrow & \bar{L}_{n-1} & \longrightarrow & \bar{M}_{n-1}
 \end{array}$$

we get a long exact sequence

$$K_n \xrightarrow{(i,f)} L_n \oplus \bar{K}_n \xrightarrow{g-\bar{i}} \bar{L}_n \xrightarrow{i \circ \text{iso} \circ \bar{j}} K_{n-1} \longrightarrow \dots$$

We can denote $\partial = h \circ m^{-1} \circ \bar{j}$.

Show exactness in $L_n \oplus \bar{K}_n$ and also in \bar{L}_n .

Solution. We have $(g - \bar{i}) \circ (i, f) = \bar{i}f - gi = 0$ obviously. For $x \in L_n, y \in \bar{K}_n$ we have $(g - \bar{i})(x, y) = 0$, so $g(x) = \bar{i}(y)$. Now, let x be such that $j(x) = 0$, then there is $z \in K_n$ such that $i(z) = x$. Then, suppose $g(x) = a \in \bar{L}_n$, then by m being iso we know $\bar{j}(a) = 0$, so exists $y \in \bar{K}_n$ such that $\bar{i}(y) = a$. Since $f(z)$ and y have the same image, their difference has a preimage, i.e. exists $b \in \bar{M}_{n+1}$ such that $b \mapsto y - f(z)$. By iso then there exists $c \mapsto z$, or denote $h(c) = z$. Now, all of this is much easier with a picture (that I don't draw). Compute now:

$f(z + c) = f(z) + y - f(z) = y$ and $i(z + h(c)) = i(z) = x$, and we are done.

Exactness in \bar{L}_n is easier. It holds $\partial \circ (g - \bar{i}) = 0$, so take $x \in \ker \partial$ (also, $x \in \bar{L}_n$). Now, $x \mapsto a$, by iso there is b in the upper row that maps to zero. Then there exists y such that $y \mapsto b$. Now we can work with $x - g(y)$. There exists also z such that, obviously, $z \mapsto x - g(y) \mapsto a - a = 0$. Get $x = g(y) + \bar{i}(z) = g(y) - \bar{i}(-z)$, that is we needed to express x as this difference, hence we are done. \square

Exercise 2. *There is a long exact sequence of the triple (X, A, B) , i.e. $(B \subseteq A \subseteq X)$:*

$$\dots \rightarrow H_n(A, B) \xrightarrow{i} H_n(X, B) \xrightarrow{j_X} H_n(X, A) \xrightarrow{D_*} H_{n-1}(A, B) \rightarrow \dots,$$

with $H_n(X, A) \xrightarrow{\partial_*} H_{n-1}(A) \xrightarrow{j_A} H_{n-1}(A, B)$. We get this sequence from a special short exact sequence of chain complexes. Show that it is exact and that the triangle commutes, that is $D_* = j_A \circ \partial_*$.

Solution. The chain complex of a pair is a quotient. We have

$$0 \longrightarrow \frac{C_*(A)}{C_*(B)} \xrightarrow{i} \frac{C_*(X)}{C_*(B)} \xrightarrow{j} \frac{C_*(X)}{C_*(A)} \rightarrow 0.$$

Take $c \in C_*(A)$, then $ji[c] = j[ic] = [jic] = [c]$, but seen as a different class. So, $j \circ i = 0$ and inclusion $\text{im } i \subseteq \ker j$ holds. The other inclusion $\ker j \subseteq \text{im } i$ is obvious.

Analogous: $0 \rightarrow C_*(A) \rightarrow C_*(X) \rightarrow \frac{C_*(X)}{C_*(A)} \rightarrow 0$.

Now, show D_* equals the composition:

Note, if you are familiar with the definition, it's clear.

Take a chain complex in $C_*(X)$ with boundary 0, chain in $C_*(A)$, take preimage, boundary in $C_*(B)$, we have $[c] \in C_n(X, B)$ and its image $[c] \in C_n(X, A)$, same representative, but different equivalence. Take $\partial c \in C_{n-1}(X, B)$, it has preimage $[\partial c]_{A,B} \in C_{n-1}(A, B)$. (Drawing diagram and chase helps.) The equality of D_* , that it is composition, holds, basically thanks to j_A being inclusion. \square

Exercise 3. Apply previous exercise to the triple $(D^k, S^{k-1}, *)$, where $*$ is a point.

Solution.

$$\cdots H_n(S^{k-1}, *) \rightarrow H_n(D^k, *) \rightarrow H_n(D^k, S^{k-1}) \xrightarrow{\varphi} H_{n-1}(S^{k-1}, *) \rightarrow H_{n-1}(D^k, *) \rightarrow \cdots,$$

and, note $H_n(*) = \mathbb{Z}$ for $n = 0$ and $H_n(*) = 0$ otherwise.

We also work with reduced homology groups: $\bar{H}_n(X) = H_n(X, x_0)$, $H_*(X) = \bar{H}_*(X) \oplus H_*(*)$. Since D^k is contractible, $H_n(D^k, *) = \bar{H}_n(D^k) = 0$ and $H_{n-1}(D^k, *) = 0$, so we have

$$\cdots H_n(S^{k-1}, *) \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow H_n(D^k, S^{k-1}) \xrightarrow{\varphi} H_{n-1}(S^{k-1}, *) \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots,$$

where φ is *iso*.

Reduced homology for pairs is the same as unreduced:

$$H_n(D^k, S^{k-1}) = \bar{H}_n(D^k, S^{k-1}) \cong \bar{H}_{n-1}(S^{k-1}).$$

With this we know that $H_n(D^k, S^{k-1}) = \bar{H}_n(D^k, S^{k-1}) = \mathbb{Z}$ for $n = k$ and it is 0 for $n \neq k$.

Note also, that $(D^k, S^{k-1}) \cong (\Delta^k, \partial\Delta^k)$, this might be useful later on. \square

Exercise 4. Show that the chain in $C_k(\Delta^k, \partial\Delta^k)$ given by $\text{id}: \Delta^k \rightarrow \Delta^k$ is the representative of the generator of

$$H_k(\Delta^k, \partial\Delta^k) \cong \mathbb{Z}.$$

(Use induction and the long exact sequence for triple.)

Solution. First denote \wedge^{k-1} boundary without interior of one face. Then work with the triple $\Delta^k, \partial\Delta^k, \wedge^{k-1}$, so the sequence needed is as follows:

$$0 \rightarrow H_k(\Delta^k, \partial\Delta^k) = \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow H_{k-1}(\partial\Delta^k, \wedge^{k-1}) \rightarrow 0,$$

where we have the zeroes because Δ^k, \wedge^{k-1} are contractible to points. Use excision theorem, $H_*(X - C, A - C) \cong H_*(X, A)$, where C is the boundary with bottom cut out (imagine upper part of the letter Δ , i.e. triangle). We know that $H_{k-1}(\Delta^{k-1}, \partial\Delta^{k-1}) = \mathbb{Z}$ and this is isomorphic (by excision theorem) to $H_{k-1}(\partial\Delta^k, \wedge^{k-1})$. So, everything is \mathbb{Z} . We want to show that images of id_{k-1} and id_k are the same, this is actually the inductive step.

Suppose that the generator in $H_{k-1}(\Delta^{k-1}, \partial\Delta^{k-1})$ is given by $\text{id}_{k-1}: \Delta^{k-1} \rightarrow \Delta^{k-1}$. Then $\text{id}_k: \Delta^k \rightarrow \Delta^k$ is cycle again, represents element $[\text{id}_k] \in H_k(\Delta^k, \partial\Delta^k)$.
The Beginning of the Induction (*coming to theaters this summer*):

$$H_1([-1, 1], \{-1, 1\}) \xrightarrow{\partial_*} H_0(\{-1, 1\}, \{1\}),$$

take $\text{id}: [-1, 1] \rightarrow [-1, 1]$ as a chain complex, $1 - (-1) = [-1]$, $[-1]$ generator. \square

Exercise 5. Using the Mayer-Vietoris exact sequence compute the homology groups of the torus. (note: Vietoris died in 2002, aged 110, remarkable)

Solution. It goes as union, intersection, pair and we will want to determine the union. First draw two disks with holes (these glued together give a torus). Call one interior of the disk A and the other B . We work with $X = A \cup B$, it is not a problem, that we need to work with A, B open, as from the point of view of homology it doesn't matter.

The sequence is

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_n(A \cap B) \rightarrow H_n(A) \oplus H_n(B) \rightarrow H_n(X) \rightarrow H_{n-1}(A \cap B) \rightarrow \cdots,$$

review: $X = A \cup B$ is torus, $A \cap B = S^1 \sqcup S^1$ disjoint union, $H_n(A) = H_n(B) = \mathbb{Z}$ for $n = 0, 1$ and 0 otherwise, $H_n(A \cap B) = H_n(A) \oplus H_n(B) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ for $n = 0, 1$ and 0 otherwise. We can therefore continue with this sequence:

$$\begin{aligned} H_2(A) \oplus H_2(B) \rightarrow H_2(X) \rightarrow H_1(A \cap B) \xrightarrow{f} H_1(A) \oplus H_1(B) \rightarrow H_1(X) \rightarrow \\ \rightarrow H_0(A \cap B) \xrightarrow{g} H_0(A) \oplus H_0(B) \rightarrow H_0(X) \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

and we can rewrite it as

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow H_2(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow H_1(X) \rightarrow \\ \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{g} \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

where we use the fact, that torus is connected, so $H_0(X) = \mathbb{Z}$. Now we want to compute $H_2(X)$ and $H_1(X)$.

We know $H_2(X)$ is $\ker f$, $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$, $(a, b) \mapsto (a + b, a + b)$, then $(a, -a)$ is in the kernel, so $H_2(X) = \mathbb{Z}[a, -a] = \mathbb{Z}$.

For the $H_1(X)$ group use the fact, that $\ker g$ is \mathbb{Z} (it has same idea, basically). Now consider the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow H_1(X) \rightarrow \ker g = \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0,$$

which splits, so $H_1(X) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$. We are done.

Sphere with two handles might be a homework. \square