

Lecture 3

Additive & exact Functors

Defⁿ) Let A, B be abelian cats. A functor $F: A \rightarrow B$ is additive if each function $F_{x,y}: A(x,y) \rightarrow B(Fx, Fy)$ is a homom. of abelian groups (i.e. $F(f+g) = Ff + Fg$ & $F_{0,x,y} = 0_{Ffx, Ffy}$).

- From last week,

- term/in. ob are char. by diags $a \xrightarrow{0=id} a$ which we call zero object diag & a zero ob., denoted by 0 .
- bin. products/coproducts are characterised by diagrams of form

$$a \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{i_1} \\ \xleftarrow{p_1} \end{array} c \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{i_2} \\ \xrightarrow{p_2} \end{array} b \quad \text{sat.}$$

- $p_1 i_1 = id$, $p_2 i_2 = id$, $i_1 p_1 + i_2 p_2 = id_c$
- $p_1 i_2 = 0$, $p_2 i_1 = 0$,

which are called biproduct diagrams, and often denote biprod. by $a \oplus b$.

Proposition

Any additive functor F preserves finite prods & finite coproducts - i.e. zero ob. & biproducts.

Proof

F preserves zero ob. diag / biproduct diagrams \square

Defⁿ) An additive functor $F: A \rightarrow B$

bet. abelian cats is

- left exact if it pres. kernels (lex)
- right exact if it pres. cokernels (rex)
- exact if it preserves both. (ex)

Remark : Lex functors are

those preserving finite limits
(fin. prods + equalisers \equiv kernels).

Rex functors preserve finite colimits
& ex functors preserve both.

Lemma

- ① F is lex \Leftrightarrow it preserves exactness of sequences $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C$
- ② F is rex \Leftrightarrow it preserves exactness of sequences $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \rightarrow 0$
- ③ F is ex \Leftrightarrow it preserves exactness everywhere
 \Leftrightarrow it pres ses $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \rightarrow 0$.

Proof

First we prove ①. observe $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C$
is exact $\Leftrightarrow \ker f = 0$ (ie F is mono)

& $\ker g = f$ ($A \rightarrow \text{im } f$ is an iso)

Hence if F pres. kernels, it pres ex. of such sequences.

Conversely, consider the exact sequence

Examples

① If \mathcal{C} abelian cat & $A \in \mathcal{C}$ the functor

$$\mathcal{C}(A, -) : \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \text{Ab}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & & \mathcal{C}(A, X) \\ f \downarrow & & f_* \downarrow \\ Y & & \mathcal{C}(A, Y) \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} g \\ \downarrow \\ fg \end{array}$$

is additive & preserves all limits - therefore it is lex.

② If $\mathcal{C} = \text{Mod}_R$, we have

$$\text{Mod}_R(A, -) : \text{Mod}_R \longrightarrow \text{Ab} \quad \& \quad \text{this}$$

has a left adjoint $A \otimes_R - : \text{Ab} \rightarrow \text{Mod}_R$.

- Here $A \otimes_R B$ classifies functions

$$K : A \times B \longrightarrow \textcircled{C} \sim R\text{-module}$$

& $K(a, -) : B \rightarrow \textcircled{C}$ is hom. of ab. groups

& $K(-, b) : A \rightarrow \textcircled{C}$ is hom. of R -modules,

& $A \otimes_R B$ is constructed as a quotient sim.

to the tensor prod. of R -modules in Alg. 3.

In particular, as a left adjoint it preserves colimits & so is right exact.

More gen, if right adjoint is lex then left adjoint

is rex & coresex.

③ The forgetful functor

$U: \text{Mod}_R \longrightarrow \text{Ab}$ is left exact,
since $U \cong \text{Mod}_R(R, -)$ which is
lex by ① (or directly).

④ If \mathcal{C} is abelian, so is $\text{Ch}(\mathcal{C})$

& then

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Ch}(\mathcal{C}) & \xrightarrow{(-)_n} & \mathcal{C} \\ X & \xrightarrow{\quad} & X_n \end{array} \text{ is}$$

exact since kernels & cokernels
are componentwise in $\text{Ch}(\mathcal{C})$.

Theorem (Freyd - Mitchell)

If \mathcal{C} is a small abelian cat.,
 \exists a ring R & an exact fully faithful embedding

$$F: \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \text{Mod } R$$

• We will not prove it.

Consequence :- When proving things about diagrams in an abelian cat it suffices to supp. we are working in a cat. of R -modules, since the theorem lets us view our category as a full subcat. of $\text{Mod } R$ such that the inclusion pres all structure - kernels, cokernels etc.

- For instance, suffices to prove snake lemma in $\text{Mod } R$.

The snake lemma & long exact sequence of homology

Snake lemma

Given a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 A & \xrightarrow{F} & B & \xrightarrow{g} & C & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 \downarrow \alpha & & \downarrow \beta & & \downarrow \gamma & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & A' & \xrightarrow{f'} & B' & \xrightarrow{g'} & C'
 \end{array}$$

with exact rows in an abelian cat.,

there is an exact sequence

$$\ker(\alpha) \xrightarrow{f} \ker(\beta) \xrightarrow{g} \ker(\gamma) \xrightarrow{\delta} \operatorname{coker}(\alpha) \xrightarrow{f'} \operatorname{coker}(\beta) \xrightarrow{g'} \operatorname{coker}(\gamma)$$

Proof - Maps except for δ are ind. by u.p.'s.

- Exactness @ $\ker(\beta)$ & $\operatorname{coker}(\beta)$ are dual. So prove ex @ $\ker(\beta)$. Enough to prove it in $\operatorname{Mod} R$ by FM-Prop which assume for rest of proof.

- let $b \in \ker(\beta)$ & suppose $gb=0$. By ex. $\exists a$ st $fa=gb$. Then $f'a = \beta fa = \beta b = 0$ & as f' is mono (since bottom row exact) therefore $\alpha a = 0$ so $a \in \ker(\alpha)$ w' $fa = b$. Hence ex @ $\ker(\beta)$.

- Now we construct $\delta: \ker \gamma \rightarrow \operatorname{coker} \alpha$.

Consider $x \in \ker \gamma$. As g epi $\exists x' \in B$ st $gx' = x$.

Consider $\beta x'$. Then $g'\beta x' = \gamma gx' = \gamma x = 0$

so $\beta x' \in \ker(g') = \operatorname{im}(f')$ so $\exists x'' \in A'$

as f' is mono s.t. $f'(x'') = \beta x'$

Define $\delta(x) = x'' + \operatorname{im}(\alpha) \in \operatorname{coker}(\alpha)$.

- To show δ well defined, let $gy' = x$.

- Then $g(y' - x') = 0$ so by ex. of top row,

$\exists a \in A$ st $f(a) = y' - x'$, so $\alpha a \in A'$

Then $f'\alpha a = \beta fa = \beta y' - \beta x' = f'y'' - f'x''$

so as f' mono $\alpha a = y'' - x''$.

Hence $y'' + \operatorname{im} \alpha = x'' + \operatorname{im} \alpha$.

Therefore \mathcal{E} is well defined.
Easy to see it is a homomorphism
& exactness @ $\ker(\gamma)$, where (α)
are left an exercise. \square

Theorem

let $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \rightarrow 0$ be a ses of chain complexes in an ab. cat. Then we obtain a long exact sequence of homology

$$\dots H_{n+1}(A) \xrightarrow{H_{n+1}(f)} H_{n+1}(B) \xrightarrow{H_{n+1}(g)} H_{n+1}(C) \xrightarrow{\delta} H_n(A) \xrightarrow{H_n(f)} H_n(B) \xrightarrow{H_n(g)} H_n(C) \dots$$

Proof

For a chain complex A

$$\dots A_{n+1} \xrightarrow{d_{n+1}} A_n \xrightarrow{d_n} A_{n-1} \xrightarrow{d_{n-1}} \dots$$

we have an ind. homomorphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_n / \text{im}(d_{n+1}) & \xrightarrow{d} & \text{ker}(d_{n-1}) = Z_{n-1}(A) \\ x + \text{im}(d_{n+1}) & \longmapsto & d_n x \end{array}$$

- whose kernel contains elements $x + \text{im}(d_{n+1})$ with $d_n(x) = 0$ & so is precisely $\text{ker}(d_n) / \text{im}(d_{n+1}) = H_n(A)$.
- whose cokernel is $\text{ker}(d_{n-1}) / \text{im}(d_n) = H_{n-1}(A)$

Then we obtain a comm. diag.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} A_n / \text{im}(d_{n+1}) & \xrightarrow{f_n} & B_n / \text{im}(d_{n+1}) & \xrightarrow{g_n} & C_n / \text{im}(d_{n+1}) & \rightarrow & 0 \\ d \downarrow & & d \downarrow & & d \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \rightarrow & Z_{n-1}(A) & \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} & Z_{n-1}(B) & \xrightarrow{g_{n-1}} & Z_{n-1}(C) \end{array}$$

& we will show these rows are

exact, & then by snake lemma
we obtain les of homology. It
remains to check rows are exact.

- Ex @ $C_n/\text{im}(d_n)$, $Z_{n-1}(A)$ is easy as
 f_{n-1} is mono & g_n is epi.

- At $x \in Z_{n-1}(B)$, suppose $gx = 0$. Then $\exists y \in A_{n-1}$
st $fa = x$. Must show $d_{n-1}a = 0$, but
as F is inj, $f d_{n-1}a = d_{n-1}fa = d_{n-1}x = 0$ implies
 $d_{n-1}a = 0$ so $a \in Z_{n-1}(A)$, as required.

- At $B_n/\text{im}(d_{n+1})$ let $g(x + \text{im}(d_{n+1})) = 0$. Then
 $gx \in \text{im}(d_{n+1})$ so $gx = dc$ some $c \in C_{n+1}$.

Then $\exists y \in B_{n+1}$ st $gy = c$. Now consider
 $x - dy \in B_n$. Then

$$g(x - dy) = gx - gdy = gx - dgy = gx - dc = 0,$$

so $\exists a \in A_n$ st $fa = x - dy$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } f(a + \text{im}(d_{n+1})) &= x - dy + \text{im}(d_{n+1}) \\ &= x + \text{im}(d_{n+1}), \end{aligned}$$

as required. \square

Stop here for today.