

CATEGORICAL MODELS OF TYPE THEORY

Chapter 0: INTRODUCTION

§1 OVERVIEW & MOTIVATION

Some historical notes:

"I hope you leave here
and walk out and say,
'What did he say?'"

- George W. Bush (2008)
43rd President of the USA

- Type theory came about ~1910 in Whitehead & Russell's *Principia Mathematica* to give a formalism for the foundations of mathematics which circumvents Russell's

Paradox in Frege's earlier attempt by way of "ramification through type hierarchies".

↳ Missed the main-stream as set-theoretical approaches were developed.

It reemerged in terms of Church's λ -calculus ~1930, which is based on the primitive notion of functions (rather than sets).

↳ Motivated by computability theory, found application in Category Theory & Brouwer's intuitionism as observed by Lawvere later (specifically in topos theory).

- The further development of type theory - particularly the development of dependent type theories & Martin-Löf's identity-types ~1980's - led to connection to fibered category theory, and eventually quite spectacularly to connection to homotopy theory (Awodey, Warren, Voevodsky ~2006), and eventually to the semantics in higher category theory, the implementation of automated theorem checkers, and much more.

Plan for this course (subject to the mysteries of the future)

- Untyped λ -Calculus, their classic "environmental" semantics, & Dana Scott's categorical interpretations.
- Simply typed λ -Calculus & their equivalence to cartesian closed cat's.
- Dependently typed theories & their fibrational semantics.

\leadsto We start out with a minimal framework and add more complexity along the way. Benefits:

- * Introduce crucial concepts in isolated environments for clarity.
- * Motivate construction step by step.
- * Each theory has its own interesting parts which are fun to study!

Indeed, starting out with untyped λ -Calculus highlights the functional character of the development of type theory.

Literature:

- Part I:
- H. Barendregt: "The λ -Calculus: Its syntax & semantics"
 - J.R. Hindley, J.P. Seldin: "Lambda-Calculus & Combinators: An Introduction".
- Part II:
- J. Lambek, P.J. Scott: "Introduction to higher cat'l logic"
 - H. Barendregt, M. Dekkers, R. Statman: "Lambda-Calculus w/ Types"

§2 SYNTACTIC CALCULI

Notation 1: A **language** (or an **alphabet**) \mathcal{L} is an arbitrary set whose elements will be referred to as \mathcal{L} -symbols or names. A language \mathcal{L} often comes together with a partition into different **sorts** and additional disjoint sets of basic symbols and variables.

Example 2: In 1st order logic, these sorts are the sets $S_n = \{n\text{-ary function symbols}\} \sqcup \{n\text{-ary Relation symbols}\}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The basic symbols are among the set $\mathcal{B} = \{=, \rightarrow, \wedge, \vee, \neg, \forall, \exists, \perp, \leftrightarrow\}$, the set of variables Var is an arbitrary countably infinite set which is disjoint from $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} S_n$ and \mathcal{B} . Then $\mathcal{L} = \bigsqcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} S_n \sqcup \mathcal{B} \sqcup \text{Var}$.

Example 3: In type theory, these sorts are the sets $S_n = \{n\text{-ary term symbols}\} \sqcup \{n\text{-ary type symbols}\}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The set of basic symbols varies, but at least contains the symbol $:=$ referred to as **judgemental equality**.

The set of variables Var is again a countably infinite set of new symbols (depending on the presentation, sometimes parametrized over the sets of sorts as well). Then again $L^{\text{T.T.}} = \bigsqcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} S_n \sqcup B \sqcup \text{Var}$.

Definition 4: Let L be a language and let

$L^\infty := \bigsqcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} L^n$ be the set of words in L of finite length.

Given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, a relation $R \subseteq (L^\infty)^n$ is called a **rule** over L .

A **calculus** \mathcal{C} over L is a set of rules over L .

Notation 5: A rule $R = \langle (w_i^i, \dots, w_n^i)_{i \in I} \rangle$ over a language L

is denoted by

$$(R) \frac{w_1^i \quad \dots \quad w_n^i}{w_n^i}, i \in I$$

Definition 6: Let \mathcal{C} be a calculus over a language L . For a rule $R \in \mathcal{C}$, $R \subseteq (L^\infty)^n$, and a subset $X \subseteq L^\infty$, we define the **closure**

$$R[X] := \{w \in L^\infty \mid \exists x_1, \dots, x_n \in X : (x_1, \dots, x_n, w) \in R\}$$

of X wrt. R . The smallest subset of L^∞ which is closed under the rules of \mathcal{C} is called the **product of \mathcal{C}** and denoted by

$$\text{Prod}(\mathcal{C}) := \bigcap \{X \subseteq L^\infty \mid \forall R \in \mathcal{C} : R[X] \subseteq X\},$$

A **derivation** in \mathcal{C} is a finite sequence $(w_1, \dots, w_k) \in (\mathcal{L}^\infty)^k$ s.t.h.
 for all $1 \leq i \leq k$ there is a rule $R \in \mathcal{C}$, $R \in (\mathcal{L}^\infty)^n$, together with integers
 $i_0, \dots, i_{n-1} < i$ s.t.h. $(w_{i_0}, \dots, w_{i_{n-1}}, w_i) \in R$.

Lemma 7: Let \mathcal{C} be a calculus over a language \mathcal{L} . Then
 $\text{Prod}(\mathcal{C}) = \{w \in \mathcal{L}^\infty \mid \text{There is a derivation } (w_1, \dots, w_k) \text{ in } \mathcal{C} \text{ with } w_k = w\}$

Proof: Exercise. \square

Example 8: The calculi of first order logic (over \mathcal{L}^{FOL}) are

* the term calculus \mathcal{T}^{fa} over \mathcal{L}^{FOL} (or $\coprod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{S}_n \cup \text{Var}$ specifically)

* the formula calculus \mathcal{f}^{fol} over $\text{Prod}(\mathcal{T}^{\text{FOL}}) \cup \mathcal{B} \cup \text{Var}$.

* the sequent calculus \vdash over $\text{Prod}(\mathcal{f}^{\text{FOL}})$.

Contain the
 "well-formed" terms
 & formulas, respectively.

\hookrightarrow These consecutively performed recursion.

We will see that non-dependent type theories are built similarly.

Dependent type theories are more complicated.

Remark 9: We implicitly work in a background theory, which for conventional reasons is naive set theory. In particular, the semantics

We will consider relates type theoretical syntax to set-theoretical syntax,
& Common more due to the strength of, familiarity with, and faith in λ -CC.
It is possible however to formalize type theory with respect to other
background theories, such as itself, that particularly applies to categorical
models.

CHAPTER I: THE UNTYPED λ -CALCULUS

λ 1 SYNTAX

Idea: Just like Set theory is a formalization of the notion of a
set, the λ -calculus is a formalization of functions (processes) in an
isolated environment. That means every term is a synthetic function,
and as such can be applied to any other function (including itself!).

- Intuition from computability theory: Programs are just data, and so
can be applied to other programs as well (see e.g. Pascal, LISP).
- Just like set-theory bases all math'l constructions on the primitive notion of set,
the λ -Calculus bases math'l constructions on the primitive notion of function.

Surprising features: The λ -calculus

- * is consistent
- * has real mathematical models
- * is expressive enough to formalize various standard math'l structures!

Notation 1: The language of the λ -calculus is given by

$$L_1^C := L := \{ \lambda \mid \text{App}(i) \mid i \cdot i \mid \lambda \mid \text{Var} \mid C \}$$

Definition 2: The λ -term calculus \mathcal{T}_1^C is given by the following rules.

1. (Var-intro.) \overline{x} for all $x \in \text{Var}$
2. (Const-intro) \overline{c} for all $c \in C$
3. (λ -Abstraction) $\frac{t}{\lambda x. t}$ for all $x \in \text{Var}$
4. (λ -Application) $\frac{s \quad t}{\text{App}(s)t}$

The λ -calculus over L_1^C is called **pure**. Elements of the product $\Lambda^C := \text{Prod}(\mathcal{T}_1^C)$ are called λ^C -terms (or just λ -terms if C is implicit from context).

Examples 3:

1. $I = \lambda x. x$ is the synthetic "identity"-operator ($\stackrel{?}{=} \lambda y. y$)

2. $K = \lambda x. \lambda y. x$ "projection"-operator. $\left[\begin{array}{l} x \rightarrow x^x \quad x \times x \stackrel{\Pi}{\rightarrow} x \\ x \mapsto \text{const}_x \quad (x \mid s) \mapsto x \end{array} \right]$

3. $S = \lambda x. \lambda y. \lambda z. \text{App}(\text{App}(x \mid z), \text{App}(s \mid z))$

is the "parameterized composition"-operator. $\left[\begin{array}{l} x^{x \times x} \times x^x \times x \rightarrow x \\ (f \mid s, z) \mapsto f(z, s(z)) = f \circ s(z) \end{array} \right]$

Observation 4: The λ -term calculus is "uniquely readable" (as are all calculi that we will consider in this course). That means, every λ -term $t \in \Lambda^{\mathcal{C}}$ arises by application of exactly one distinguished rule to exactly one set of λ -terms.

It follows that we can perform induction and recursion along the complexity of terms.

Definition 5: The set $FV(t)$ of **free variables** of a λ -term t is defined recursively as follows.

1. $FV(x) = \{x\}$ f.a. $x \in \text{Var}$.
2. $FV(c) = \emptyset$ f.a. $c \in \mathcal{C}$.
3. $FV(\lambda x.t) = FV(t) - \{x\}$.
4. $FV(\text{App}(s, t)) = FV(s) \cup FV(t)$.

A λ -term t is said to be **closed** (a "combinator") if $FV(t) = \emptyset$.

The set of closed λ -terms is denoted by $\Lambda^{\mathcal{C}} \subset \Lambda^{\mathcal{Q}}$.

Definition 6: The **substitution** $s[t/x]$ of a λ -term t in a λ -term s for a free variable $x \in FV(s)$ is defined recursively as follows.

1. $x[t/x] = t$.
2. $a[t/x] = a$ f.a. $a \in \mathcal{C} \cup \text{Var} - \{x\}$.

$$3. (\lambda x.s)[t/x] = \lambda x.s.$$

$$4. (\lambda y.s)[t/x] = \lambda y.s[t/x] \text{ if } y \in \text{Var} \setminus (FV(s) \cup FV(t)) \text{ or } x \notin FV(s).$$

$$5. (\lambda y.s)[t/x] = \lambda z.s[z/y][t/x] \text{ if } y \in (\text{Var} \setminus FV(s)) \cap FV(t) \text{ and } x \notin FV(s)$$

$$6. \text{App}(s,u)[t/x] = \text{App}(s[t/x], u[t/x]).$$

In 5., $z \in \text{Var} \setminus (FV(s) \cup FV(t))$ minimal.

For every $t \in \Lambda^c$, $x \in \text{Var}$ we hence obtain a substitution

function $-[t/x]: \Lambda^c \rightarrow \Lambda^c$.

Definition 7: For a λ -term s to **contain an occurrence** of another λ -term t

is again recursively defined as follows.

1. t contains an occurrence of t (i.e. λ -terms t).

2. $\lambda x.s$ contains an occurrence of t if s contains an occurrence of t ,
or $t = x$.

3. $\text{App}(s,u)$ contains an occurrence of t if s or u contain an
occurrence of t .

Definition 8: (α -Congruence: Change of bound variables)

If a λ -term t contains an occurrence of a λ -term $\lambda x.s$, and

$y \in \text{Var} \setminus FV(s)$, then we can define a term t' containing an occurrence of

$\lambda y. s[s/x]$ by replacing the occurrences of $\lambda x.s$ in t by $\lambda y.s[s/x]$.

This is called a change of bound variables. Two λ -terms s, t are α -congruent if s can be obtained from t by finitely many changes of bound variables.

Exercise 9: * α -congruence defines an equivalence relation \equiv_α on Λ^C .

* Definitions I.1.4 and I.1.5 are well-defined on α -quotients:

$$FV : \Lambda^C / \equiv_\alpha \rightarrow \text{Var} \quad ,$$

$$- [t/x] : \Lambda^C / \equiv_\alpha \rightarrow \Lambda^C / \equiv_\alpha .$$

Henceforth, we may work in the quotient $\Lambda^C / \equiv_\alpha$, or impose it structurally as an axiom or a rule.

Example 10: * $\lambda x.x = \lambda y.y$ f.a. $x, y \in \text{Var}$.

* $\lambda x.y \neq \lambda x.x \neq \lambda y.x$ ".

Definition 11: The λ -formula calculus $\mathcal{F}_\lambda^{(C)}$ is given by the following rules.

$$\frac{}{s \equiv t} \quad \text{for } \lambda\text{-terms } s, t.$$

Elements of the product $\Phi_\lambda^C := \text{Prod}(\mathcal{F}_\lambda^C)$ are called λ -formulas.

A λ -theory (or again, just a λ -theory) is a set of λ -formulas.

Example 12: 1. $\alpha = \{ \lambda x. t \equiv \lambda y. t[s/x] \mid x \in \text{Var}, t \in \mathcal{L}^0, s \in \text{Var} \cup \text{FV}(t) \}$

2. $\beta = \{ \text{App}(\lambda x. t_1 s) \equiv t_1[s/x] \mid x \in \text{Var}, s, t_1 \in \mathcal{L}^0 \}$

3. $\eta = \{ \lambda x. \text{App}(t_1 x) \equiv t_1 \mid t_1 \in \mathcal{L}^0, x \in \text{Var} \cup \text{FV}(t_1) \}$

4. $\lambda \beta = \alpha \cup \beta$

5. $\lambda \beta \eta = \lambda \beta \cup \eta$

These λ -theories define β -congruence (a computation rule), and η -congruence (a uniqueness rule) often referred to as function extensionality.

Definition 13: In the following, the meta-variables s, t, u, v range over λ -terms, x ranges over Var , and P is a finite set of λ -formulas.

The calculus of "structural rules" is given as follows.

1. (Monotonicity) $\frac{}{P, t \equiv s \vdash t \equiv s}$ 2. (Weakening) $\frac{P \vdash t \equiv s}{P, u \equiv v \vdash t \equiv s}$

3. (App-Congruence 1) $\frac{P \vdash s \equiv t}{P \vdash \text{App}(u s) \equiv \text{App}(u t)}$ 4. (App-Congruence 2) $\frac{P \vdash s \equiv t}{P \vdash \text{App}(s u) \equiv \text{App}(t u)}$

5. (λ -Congruence) $\frac{P \vdash s \equiv t}{P \vdash \lambda x. s \equiv \lambda x. t}$ (or ξ) 6. (Reflexivity) $\frac{}{P \vdash s \equiv s}$

7. (Symmetry) $\frac{P \vdash s \equiv t}{P \vdash t \equiv s}$ 8. (Transitivity) $\frac{P \vdash s \equiv t \quad P \vdash t \equiv u}{P \vdash s \equiv u}$