

### §3 Categorical semantics of the untyped $\lambda$ -calculus.

**Definition 1:** A  $\lambda$ -theory is a tuple  $(\mathcal{C}, T)$  for  $\mathcal{C}$  a set and  $T \subseteq \Phi_1^{\mathcal{C}}$  a  $\lambda$ -theory (in part.  $\lambda \beta \subseteq T$ ). A **preinterpretation**  $f: (\mathcal{C}_1, T_1) \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}_2, T_2)$  between  $\lambda$ -theories is a function  $f: \mathcal{C}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_2$ .

A preinterpretation  $f: (\mathcal{C}_1, T_1) \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}_2, T_2)$  recursively induces functions

$$\Lambda(f): \Lambda^{\mathcal{C}_1} \rightarrow \Lambda^{\mathcal{C}_2},$$

$$\Phi(f): \Phi_1^{\mathcal{C}_1} \rightarrow \Phi_1^{\mathcal{C}_2}$$

s.t.h.

1.  $\Lambda(f)(x) = x$  f.o.  $x \in \text{Var}$

2.  $\Lambda(f)(c) = f(c)$  f.o.  $c \in \mathcal{C}_1$

3.  $\Lambda(f)(\text{App}(s, t)) = \text{App}(\Lambda(f)(s), \Lambda(f)(t))$  for  $s, t \in \Lambda^{\mathcal{C}_1}$

4.  $\Lambda(f)(\lambda x. t) = \lambda x. \Lambda(f)(t)$  for  $t \in \Lambda^{\mathcal{C}_1}$ ,

and

\*  $\Phi(f)(s \equiv t) = \Lambda(f)(s) \equiv \Lambda(f)(t)$  for  $s, t \in \Lambda^{\mathcal{C}_1}$ .

**Definition 2:** An **interpretation**  $f: (\mathcal{C}_1, T_1) \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}_2, T_2)$  between  $\lambda$ -theories

is a preinterpretation  $f$  s.t.h.  $\forall \varphi \in T_1, T_2 \vdash \Phi(f)(\varphi)$ .

Write  $\text{Int}((\mathcal{C}_1, T_1), (\mathcal{C}_2, T_2))$  for the set of interpretations between

$(\mathcal{C}_1, T_1)$  and  $(\mathcal{C}_2, T_2)$ .

Say that two interpretations  $f, g: (\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{T}_1) \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}_2, \mathcal{T}_2)$  are **equivalent up to intended identity of denotation** if

$\forall t \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{C}_1} : \mathcal{T}_2 \vdash \lambda(f)(t) \equiv \lambda(g)(t)$ . We then write  $f \sim g$ .

**Remark 3:** It is straight-forward to show that

\*  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation on each  $\text{Int}((\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{T}_1), (\mathcal{C}_2, \mathcal{T}_2))$

\* whenever  $f: (\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{T}_1) \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}_2, \mathcal{T}_2)$  is an interpretation, then

$\forall \varphi \in \mathcal{F}_1^{\mathcal{C}_1} : (\mathcal{T}_1 \vdash \varphi \Rightarrow \mathcal{T}_2 \vdash \Phi(f)(\varphi))$

**Definition 4:** The category **I-Thy** has as objects I-theories, and

$\text{Hom}_{\text{I-Thy}}((\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{T}_1), (\mathcal{C}_2, \mathcal{T}_2)) := \text{Int}((\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{T}_1), (\mathcal{C}_2, \mathcal{T}_2)) / \sim$

with the obvious identities & compositions.

**Exercise 5:** Verify that I-Thy indeed forms a category.

**Definition 6:** Given I-models  $(\mathcal{C}_i, \sigma, \mathcal{I}_i)$ , a **homomorphism of I-models**

$(f, \alpha): (\mathcal{C}_1, \sigma, \mathcal{I}_1) \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}_2, \sigma, \mathcal{I}_2)$  is a function  $f: \mathcal{C}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_2$

together with a map  $\alpha: \mathcal{C}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_2$  with

$\forall p \in \text{Val}(\mathcal{C}_1), t \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{C}_1} : \alpha(\llbracket t \rrbracket_{\mathcal{I}_1, p}) = \llbracket \lambda(f)(t) \rrbracket_{\mathcal{I}_2, \alpha \circ p}$ .

Say that  $(f, \alpha) \sim (g, \beta)$  if  $\alpha = \beta$ .

Note that this implies  $(f(c)) \downarrow_{\alpha} = \alpha(\sigma(c)) = \beta(\sigma(c)) = (\sigma(c)) \downarrow_{\beta}$  f.a.  $c \in C$ .

**Exercise 7:** 1. Every homomorphism of  $\mathcal{L}$ -models is a morphism of underlying applicative structures, i.e., f.a.  $c, d \in C_1$   $\alpha(c, d) = \alpha(c) \alpha(d)$ .

2. The class of  $\mathcal{L}$ -models together with equiv. classes of  $\mathcal{L}$ -model-homomorphisms forms a category  **$\mathcal{L}\text{-Mod}$**  (with the canonical comp. + units).

**Definition 8:** To every  $\mathcal{L}^e$ -model  $M = (C, \sigma, \mathcal{D})$  we may assign its **internal  $\mathcal{L}^e$ -theory**  $(C, \text{Th}(M))$  for  $\text{Th}(M) := \{ \varphi \in \mathcal{F}_2^e \mid M \models \varphi \}$ .

**Proposition 9:** The internal  $\mathcal{L}$ -theory of a  $\mathcal{L}$ -model yields a functor

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{L}\text{-Mod} & \xrightarrow{T} & \mathcal{L}\text{-Thy} \\ M_1 = (C_1, \sigma_1, \mathcal{D}_1) & \longmapsto & (C_1, \text{Th}(M_1)) \\ (f, \alpha) \downarrow & & \downarrow T(f, \alpha) = \begin{array}{c} C_1 \\ \downarrow f \\ C_2 \end{array} \\ M_2 = (C_2, \sigma_2, \mathcal{D}_2) & \longmapsto & (C_2, \text{Th}(M_2)) \end{array}$$

**Proof:** Let  $(f, \alpha)$  be a  $\mathcal{L}$ -model homomorphism. If  $\varphi = (s \equiv t)$  is in  $\text{Th}(M_2)$ ,

then  $(\sigma_2) \downarrow_{\varphi} = \sigma_2 \downarrow_{\varphi} \in C_2$  f.a.  $\rho \in \text{Val}(C_2)$ . Hence

$$\forall \rho \in \text{Val}(C_2): (\sigma_1 \circ f) \downarrow_{\varphi} = \alpha(\sigma_2 \downarrow_{\varphi}) = \alpha(\sigma_2 \downarrow_{\varphi}) = (\sigma_1 \circ f) \downarrow_{\varphi}$$

?  $\Rightarrow (\sigma_1 \circ f) \downarrow_{\varphi} = \sigma_1 \downarrow_{\varphi}$  f.a.  $\rho \in \text{Val}(C_2)$ .

Solution: Let  $FV(s) \cup FV(t) \subseteq \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ . If  $\mathcal{T}_h(\mathcal{M}_1) \vDash s \equiv t$ , then

$\mathcal{T}_h(\mathcal{M}_1) \vDash \lambda x_1. \dots. \lambda x_n. s \equiv \lambda x_1. \dots. \lambda x_n. t$  by  $\lambda$ -congruence.

$$\Rightarrow \forall \varphi \in \text{Val}(C_1): \llbracket \lambda x_1. \dots. \lambda x_n. \lambda(f)(s) \rrbracket_{\varphi} = \llbracket \lambda(f)(\lambda x_1. \dots. \lambda x_n. s) \rrbracket_{\varphi}$$

$$= \alpha(\llbracket \lambda x_1. \dots. \lambda x_n. s \rrbracket_{\varphi})$$

$$= \alpha(\llbracket \lambda x_1. \dots. \lambda x_n. t \rrbracket_{\varphi})$$

$$= \llbracket \lambda x_1. \dots. \lambda x_n. \lambda(f)(t) \rrbracket_{\varphi}$$

$$\Rightarrow \forall \sigma \in \text{Val}(C_1): \llbracket \lambda x_1. \dots. \lambda x_n. \lambda(f)(s) \rrbracket_{\sigma} = \llbracket \lambda x_1. \dots. \lambda x_n. \lambda(f)(s) \rrbracket_{\sigma}$$

$$= \llbracket \lambda x_1. \dots. \lambda x_n. \lambda(f)(t) \rrbracket_{\sigma}$$

$$= \llbracket \lambda x_1. \dots. \lambda x_n. \lambda(f)(t) \rrbracket_{\sigma}$$

for any  $\rho \in \text{Val}(C_1)$ .  $\Rightarrow \mathcal{T}_h(\mathcal{M}_2) \vDash \lambda x_1. \dots. \lambda x_n. \lambda(f)(s) \equiv \lambda x_1. \dots. \lambda x_n. \lambda(f)(t)$ .

$\Rightarrow \underbrace{\lambda(f)(s) \equiv \lambda(f)(t)}_{\lambda(f)(s \equiv t)} \in \mathcal{T}_h(\mathcal{M}_2)$  by  $\beta$ -congruence (apply both sides to  $x_1 \dots x_n$ )

Thus,  $T(f, \alpha)$  is an interpretation.

If  $(f, \alpha) \sim (g, \beta)$ , then we want  $T(f) \sim T(g)$ : For  $c \in C_1$ , have

$$\llbracket f(c) \rrbracket_2 = \alpha(\llbracket c \rrbracket_1) = \beta(\llbracket c \rrbracket_1) = \llbracket g(c) \rrbracket_2 \in C_2. \quad \text{In other words,}$$

$\mathcal{T}_h(\mathcal{M}_2) \vDash f(c) \equiv g(c)$ . Inductively, we get

$$\forall f \in \Lambda^{C_1}, \varphi \in \text{Val}(C_2): \llbracket \lambda(f)(t) \rrbracket_{\varphi} = \llbracket \lambda(g)(t) \rrbracket_{\varphi} \in C_2.$$

(The  $\lambda$ -abstr.-step again follows from Berry's Ext. Prop.)

$$\Rightarrow \forall t \in \Lambda^{C_1}: \mathcal{T}_h(\mathcal{M}_2) \vDash \lambda(f)(t) = \lambda(g)(t).$$

Thus,  $T$  is well-defined on hom-sets. Functoriality again follows from a straight-forward computation.  $\square$

**Proposition 10:** The term model construction from Example II.3 yields

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{a functor } C: \mathcal{L}\text{-Thy} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{L}\text{-Mod} & \Lambda^{\mathcal{C}_1} / \sim_{T_1} \\
 (C_1, T_1) & \longmapsto & C(C_1, T_1) & \\
 \downarrow f & & \downarrow C(f) = (f, \alpha_f) & \text{for } \alpha_f = \lfloor \Lambda(f) \\
 (C_2, T_2) & \longmapsto & C(C_2, T_2) & \Lambda^{\mathcal{C}_2} / \sim_{T_2}
 \end{array}$$

**Proof:** The fact that  $\Lambda(f): \Lambda^{\mathcal{C}_1} / \sim_{T_1} \rightarrow \Lambda^{\mathcal{C}_2} / \sim_{T_2}$  is well-defined follows from Remark 3. We have to show that

i)  $f \sim g \rightarrow C(f) = C(g)$

ii)  $\forall f \in \text{Int}((C_1, T_1), (C_2, T_2)), t \in \Lambda^{\mathcal{C}_1}, \varphi \in \text{Val}(C(C_1, T_1)):$

$$\alpha_f(\lfloor t \rfloor_{\sim_{T_1}} \varphi) = \lfloor \Lambda(f)(t) \rfloor_{\sim_{T_2}} \alpha_{f \circ \varphi}$$

iii) functoriality.

To i) By definition,  $f \sim g$  states  $\forall t \in \Lambda^{\mathcal{C}_1}: T_1 \vdash \Lambda(f)(t) \equiv \Lambda(f)(g)$ , i.e.

$$\forall t \in \Lambda^{\mathcal{C}_1}: \Lambda(f)(t) = \Lambda(f)(g) \in \Lambda^{\mathcal{C}_2} / \sim_{T_2}.$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha_f = \alpha_g \Rightarrow (f, \alpha_f) \sim (g, \alpha_g) \checkmark$$

To ii)  $\alpha_f(\lfloor t \rfloor_{\sim_{T_1}} \varphi) := \Lambda(f)(t \lfloor \varphi \rfloor_{\sim_{T_1}})$  for  $\langle \vec{x} \rangle = \text{FV}(t)$

$$= \Lambda(f)(t) \lfloor \Lambda(\alpha(\varphi)) \rfloor_{\sim_{T_2}} \text{ by another induction}$$

$$= \lfloor \Lambda(f)(t) \rfloor_{\sim_{T_2}} \alpha_{f \circ \varphi} \text{ since } \text{FV}(\Lambda(f)(t)) = \text{FV}(t). \checkmark$$

iii) is once again left to the reader. □

**Corollary 11:** The functors  $\mathcal{L}\text{-Thy} \xrightleftharpoons[C]{T} \mathcal{L}\text{-Mod}$  exhibit  $\mathcal{L}\text{-Thy}$  as a retract of  $\mathcal{L}\text{-Mod}$ .

**Proof:** Straight-forward. □

But, in fact, we can do better than that, see Exercise 16.

**Definition 12:** A **homomorphism of s.f.  $\mathcal{L}$ -models**  $(C_1, \sigma_1, f_1) \rightarrow (C_2, \sigma_2, f_2)$  is a tuple of functions  $(C_1 \xrightarrow{g} C_2, C_1 \xrightarrow{\alpha} C_2)$  s.t.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 1. & C_1 & \xrightarrow{f_1} C_1 \\
 g \downarrow & C_1 & \xrightarrow{\alpha} C_2 \\
 & C_2 & \xrightarrow{f_2} C_2
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 2. & C_1 & \xrightarrow[\pi_1]{\sigma_1} \text{Rep}(C_1) \\
 \alpha \downarrow & C_1 & \xrightarrow{\quad} \text{Rep}(C_1) \\
 & C_2 & \xrightarrow[\pi_2]{\sigma_2} \text{Rep}(C_2)
 \end{array}$$

3.  $C_1 \xrightarrow{\alpha} C_2$  is a morphism of comb. complete opp. structures, i.e.

$\forall X = \langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle \in \text{Var}, \forall t \in \overline{X \cup \mathcal{L}}, \varphi \in \text{Val}(C_2), a, t \in C_1$  as in Def. II.11:

$$(\alpha(g)(t)) \Downarrow_{\varphi} = \alpha(a, t) \cdot (e(x_1) - e(x_n)), \text{ i.e. } \forall \vec{c} \in C_2^n : \alpha(a, t) \cdot \vec{c} = \alpha(g)(t) \cdot \vec{c}.$$

Say that  $(g, \alpha) \sim (h, \beta)$  if  $\alpha = \beta$ .

The category  $\mathcal{L}\text{-Mod}^{\text{sf}}$  consists of s.f.  $\mathcal{L}$ -models & homomorphisms.

**Remark 13:** Whenever  $(f, \alpha): (C_1, \sigma_1, h) \rightarrow (C_2, \sigma_2, h)$  is a hom. of s.f.  $\mathcal{L}$ -models, we have

\*  $\alpha: (C_1, \sigma_1) \rightarrow (C_2, \sigma_2)$  preserves  $'_-'$ .

\*  $\alpha(M_1) = M_2$  for  $M_i := \sigma_i(\sigma_i^{-1} \bar{u}_i)$  by condition 2 (in fact,  $\alpha(M_1) = M_2$  iff  $\sigma_2 \circ \bar{\alpha} = \alpha \circ \sigma_1$ .)

\*  $\bar{\alpha}(1_{C_1}) = 1_{C_2}$  via Def. (2.1.b) applied to  $(\bar{u}_i) \in \text{Rep}(C_i)$ :

Have  $a_x \in C_1$  s.t.  $a_x \cdot c = c$  f.a.  $c \in C_1$ , and

$$\bar{\alpha}(1_{C_1}) = \bar{\alpha}(\pi_1(a_x)) = \pi_2(\alpha(a_x)) = \pi_2(a_x) = 1_{C_2}.$$

**Theorem 14:** The  $\mathcal{L}$ -correspondence from Theorem II.11 extends to an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{L}\text{-Mod} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{L}\text{-Mod}^{\text{sf}}$$

**Proof:** Let  $(g, \alpha): M_1 \rightarrow M_2$  be a morphism in  $\mathcal{L}\text{-Mod}$ ,  $M_i = (C_i, \sigma_i, \bar{u}_i)$ . We get

$$(g, \alpha): (C_1, \sigma_1, \bar{u}_1) \longrightarrow (C_2, \sigma_2, \bar{u}_2), \text{ as}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_1 & \xrightarrow{\sigma_1} & C_1 \\ g \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha \\ C_2 & \xrightarrow[\sigma_2]{\sigma_1} & C_2 \end{array} \text{ by def. of } (g, \alpha).$$

2.  $C_1 \xrightarrow{\pi_1} \text{Rep}(C_1)$  exists if  $c \sim d \Rightarrow \alpha(c) \sim \alpha(d)$ . Indeed,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_1 & \xrightarrow{\pi_1} & \text{Rep}(C_1) \\ \alpha \downarrow & & \downarrow \bar{\alpha} \\ C_2 & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} & \text{Rep}(C_2) \end{array} \quad c \sim d \Rightarrow M_1 \cdot c = M_1 \cdot d \Rightarrow \alpha(M_1 \cdot c) = \alpha(M_1 \cdot d)$$

$$\Rightarrow M_2 \cdot \alpha(c) = \alpha(M_1 \cdot c) = \alpha(M_1 \cdot d) = M_2 \cdot \alpha(d)$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha(c) \sim \alpha(d), \text{ so } \bar{\alpha}(\pi_1(c)) := \pi_2(\alpha(c)) \text{ is well-def'd.}$$

Furthermore, for  $c \in C_1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(\sigma_1(\chi_c)) &= \alpha(\sigma_1(\text{App}(\chi_1, \gamma) \mathbb{D}_{1,1} \varphi[C_1, X_3])) \\ &= \sigma_1(\text{App}(\chi_1, \gamma) \mathbb{D}_{2,1} \alpha \circ \varphi[C_1, X_3]) \\ &= \sigma_1(\text{App}(\chi_1, \gamma) \mathbb{D}_{2,1} (\alpha \circ \varphi)[C_1, X_3]) = \sigma_2(\chi_{\alpha(c)}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha \circ \sigma_1 \circ \tau_1 = \alpha \circ \tau_2 \circ \sigma_2$$

3.  $\forall X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \in \text{Var}, f \in X \cup C_1, \vec{c} \in C_2^n$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(a_t) \vec{c} &= \alpha(\sigma_1(\text{App}(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n, t) \mathbb{D}_1)) \vec{c} = \sigma_1(\text{App}(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n, \text{App}(\gamma) \mathbb{D}_2)) \vec{c} = \alpha \circ \text{App}(\gamma) \vec{c} \\ \hat{a}_t \vec{d} &= \sigma_2(\text{App}(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n, t) \mathbb{D}_2) \vec{d} \text{ f.a. } \vec{d} \in C_2^n \\ \Rightarrow \alpha(a_t), \alpha(\sigma_2(\text{App}(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n, t) \mathbb{D}_2)) &\text{ both represent the same function } C_2^n \rightarrow C_2 \text{ by Def. 12.3.} \end{aligned}$$

We thus obtain a functor  $\mathcal{I}\text{-Mod} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}\text{-Mod}^{\text{s.f.}}$  (functoriality is straight-forward).

Vice versa, given a hom.  $(C_1, \sigma_1, f_1) \xrightarrow{(\gamma, \alpha)} (C_2, \sigma_2, f_2)$  of s.f.  $\mathcal{I}$ -models,

consider  $(\gamma, \alpha): (C_1, \sigma_1, \mathbb{D}_1) \rightarrow (C_2, \sigma_2, \mathbb{D}_2)$  w/  $\sigma_i, \mathbb{D}_i$  as given in

Thm II.11.2. Let  $t \in \mathcal{I}^0$ ,  $FV(t) \subseteq \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ , and  $a_t \in C_1$  as in ii) in the proof of Thm II.11.2. Then, by induction, we show  $\alpha(a_t) \vec{c} = a_{\text{App}(\gamma)} \vec{c}$  f.a.  $\vec{c} \in C_2^n$ .

So, in particular,  $\alpha(\sigma_1 \mathbb{D}_1 \varphi) = \sigma_2 \circ \text{App}(\gamma) \mathbb{D}_2 \alpha \circ \varphi$  f.a.  $\varphi \in \text{Val}(C_1)$ .

a. If  $t \in \text{Var} \cup C_1$ :  $\alpha(a_t) \vec{c} = a_t \vec{c}$  f.a.  $\vec{c} \in C_2^n$  by Def. 12.3. Hence

$$\alpha(\sigma_1 \mathbb{D}_1 \varphi) = \alpha(a_t \varphi(\vec{x})) = a_{\text{App}(\gamma)} \alpha \circ \varphi(\vec{x}) = \sigma_2 \mathbb{D}_2 \alpha \circ \varphi \text{ f.a. } \varphi \in \text{Val}(C_1).$$

b. If  $\alpha(a_{t_i}) \cdot \vec{c} = a_{\mathcal{N}(t_i)(h_i)} \vec{c}$  f.o.  $\vec{c} \in C_2^n$ , then f.o.  $\vec{c} \in C_2^n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(a_{\text{App}(h_1, h_2)}) \cdot \vec{c} &= \alpha(a_{\text{App}(a_{t_1}, a_{t_2})}) \cdot \vec{c} = \alpha(a_{\text{App}}) \cdot \alpha(a_{t_1}) \cdot \alpha(a_{t_2}) \cdot \vec{c} \\ &= a_{\text{App}} \cdot \alpha(a_{t_1}) \cdot \alpha(a_{t_2}) \cdot \vec{c} = (\alpha(a_{t_1}) \cdot \vec{c}) (\alpha(a_{t_2}) \cdot \vec{c}) \\ &= (a_{\mathcal{N}(t_1)(h_1)} \cdot \vec{c}) (a_{\mathcal{N}(t_2)(h_2)} \cdot \vec{c}) = a_{\text{App}} a_{\mathcal{N}(t_1)(h_1)} a_{\mathcal{N}(t_2)(h_2)} \cdot \vec{c} \\ &= a_{\text{App}(\mathcal{N}(t_1)(h_1), \mathcal{N}(t_2)(h_2))} \cdot \vec{c} = a_{\mathcal{N}(t)(\text{App}(h_1, h_2))} \cdot \vec{c}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\alpha(\llbracket \text{App}(h_1, h_2) \rrbracket \varphi) = \dots = \llbracket \mathcal{N}(t)(\text{App}(h_1, h_2)) \rrbracket \alpha \circ \varphi$  f.o.  $\varphi \in \text{Val}(C_1)$ .

c. If  $\alpha(a_t) \cdot \vec{c} = a_{\mathcal{N}(t)(h)} \cdot \vec{c}$  f.o.  $\vec{c} \in C_2^n$ , then for any  $x \in \text{Var}$ ,  $\vec{c} \in C_2^n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(a_{\mathbb{1}_x.t}) \cdot \vec{c} &= \alpha(a_{\mathbb{1}_x} \cdot \mathbb{1}_1 \cdot a_t) \cdot \vec{c} \quad \text{for } \mathbb{1}_2 = \tau_1(\tau_1 \circ \tau_1) \\ &= \alpha(a_{\mathbb{1}_x}) \cdot \alpha(\mathbb{1}_1) \cdot \alpha(a_t) \cdot \vec{c} \\ &= \mathbb{1}_2 \cdot (\alpha(a_t) \cdot \vec{c}) = \mathbb{1}_2 \cdot (a_{\mathcal{N}(t)(h)} \cdot \vec{c}) \\ &= a_{\mathbb{1}_x \cdot \mathcal{N}(t)(h)} \cdot \vec{c} = a_{\mathcal{N}(t)(\mathbb{1}_x.t)} \cdot \vec{c}. \end{aligned}$$

Again, functoriality is immediate. That both functors are mutually inverse is immediate as well.  $\square$

**Exercise 15:** Every  $\mathcal{L}^c$ -model  $M = (C_1, \llbracket \cdot \rrbracket)$  can be extended functorially to

a  $\mathcal{L}^c$ -model  $M^+ = (C_1^+, \llbracket \cdot \rrbracket^+)$ . That means, there is a functor

$$+ : \mathcal{L}\text{-Mod} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}\text{-Mod}$$

s.th.

$$* \forall M = (C, \cdot, [\sigma, \mathcal{D}]) \in \mathcal{I}\text{-Mod}: \Lambda^{\mathcal{C}} \times \text{Val}(C) \xrightarrow{\Lambda([\sigma, \mathcal{D}]|_C) \times 1} \Lambda^{\mathcal{C}} \times \text{Val}(C) \quad (*)$$

So, in particular, we obtain an inclusion

$$M \xrightarrow{\eta^+} M^+ \text{ in } \mathcal{I}\text{-mod.}$$

$$* \forall (\alpha: M_1 \rightarrow M_2) \in \mathcal{I}\text{-mod.}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M_1 & \xrightarrow{\eta^+} & M_1^+ \\ \alpha \downarrow & G & \downarrow \alpha^+ \\ M_2 & \xrightarrow[\eta^+]{} & M_2^+ \end{array}$$

**Remark 16:** The pair  $\mathcal{I}\text{-Thy} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{C} \\ \xleftarrow{T \circ +} \end{array} \mathcal{I}\text{-Mod}$  does not quite exhibit  $\mathcal{I}\text{-Thy}$  as a retract of  $\mathcal{I}\text{-Mod}$ , but for every  $(\mathcal{C}, \tau) \in \mathcal{I}\text{-Thy}$ , the natural interpretation  $(\mathcal{C}, \tau) \xrightarrow{\eta} T(C(\mathcal{C}, \tau)^+) = (\Lambda^{\mathcal{C}} / \sim_{\tau}, \text{Th}(\Lambda^{\mathcal{C}} / \sim_{\tau}^+))$  satisfies

$$\lambda(\eta) = \pi_{\tau}: \Lambda^{\mathcal{C}} \twoheadrightarrow \Lambda^{\mathcal{C}} / \sim_{\tau}, \text{ and}$$

$$\forall (s \equiv t) \in \Phi_1^{\mathcal{C}}: \tau \vdash s \equiv t \iff \text{Th}(\Lambda^{\mathcal{C}} / \sim_{\tau}^+) \vdash \Phi(\eta)(s \equiv t).$$

$\leadsto$  May be thought of as "weak equivalences"?

We can use the syntax-free description of  $\mathcal{I}$ -models to construct categorical models of the  $\mathcal{I}$ -calculus.

**Definition 17:** A category  $\mathcal{C}$  is **cartesian closed** if  $\mathcal{C}$  has finite products (and thus a terminal object), and  $\forall C \in \mathcal{C}, C \times -: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  has a right-adjoint  $(-)^C$ . We will consider a cart. closed category  $\mathcal{C}$  to come

equipped with a distinguished choice of a terminal object, of a product  $C \times D$  and of an exponential  $D^C$  for every pair of objects  $C, D \in \mathcal{C}$ .

A **reflexive object** in a cart. closed category  $\mathcal{C}$  is a triple  $(U, F, G)$  s.t.h.  $U \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $U \xleftarrow{F} U \xrightarrow{G} U$  is a retract in  $\mathcal{C}$ .

The category **CCWR** of cart. closed cats w/ reflexive objects is given by according tuples  $(\mathcal{C}, U, F, G)$ , and morphisms  $(\mathcal{C}_1, U_1, F_1, G_1) \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}_2, U_2, F_2, G_2)$  being cart. functors  $\Phi: \mathcal{C}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_2$  (i.e. functors which preserve the distinguished choices of a terminal object, products and exponentials) s.t.h.  $\Phi(U_1) = U_2$ ,  $\Phi(F_1) = F_2$ ,  $\Phi(G_1) = G_2$ .

**Theorem 18:** There are functors

$$1\text{-Mod}^{\text{s.f.}} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{M}^{\text{SP}}} \\ \xrightarrow{\mathcal{M}} \\ \text{CCWR} \end{array}$$

s.t.h.  $\mathcal{M} \circ \mathcal{M}^{\text{SP}} \cong 1$ .

We close the chapter on untyped  $\lambda$ -calculi with the construction of these two functors, and Dana Scott's construction of a non-syntactic CCWR.