

Definition 10: The calculus of structural and logical rules over $(\mathcal{P}_{\text{GHP}})^{\omega}$ is given as follows. The meta-variables A, B range over $\overline{\text{Ty}}$.

$$1. \frac{}{a \equiv a} \quad \frac{\vdash a \equiv b}{\vdash b \equiv a} \quad , \quad \frac{\vdash a \equiv b \quad \vdash b \equiv c}{\vdash a \equiv c} \quad \text{f.o. } a, b, c \in \Lambda_A^c$$

(Reflex, Symmetry, Transitivity)

$$2. \frac{}{\vdash a \equiv b \vdash a \equiv b} \quad \text{f.o. } a, b \in \Lambda_A^c \quad (\text{Monotonicity})$$

$$3. \frac{\vdash a \equiv b}{\vdash c \equiv d \vdash a \equiv b} \quad \text{f.o. } a, b, c, d \in \Lambda_A^c \quad (\text{Weakening})$$

$$4. \frac{\vdash a \equiv b}{\vdash \text{App}(f, a) \equiv \text{App}(f, b)} \quad \text{f.o. } a, b \in \Lambda_A^c, f \in \Lambda_{A \rightarrow B}$$

$$5. \frac{\vdash a \equiv b}{\vdash \lambda x. a \equiv \lambda x. b} \quad \text{f.o. } a, b \in \Lambda_A^c, x \in \text{Vars} \quad (\xi)$$

$$6. \frac{\vdash a_1 \equiv b_1 \quad \vdash a_2 \equiv b_2}{\vdash [a_1, a_2] \equiv [b_1, b_2]} \quad \text{f.o. } a_i, b_i \in \Lambda_{A_i}^c$$

$$7. \frac{\vdash c_1 \equiv c_2}{\vdash \text{pr}_i(c_1) \equiv \text{pr}_i(c_2)} \quad \text{f.o. } c_i \in A_i \times A_{i+1} \quad i \in \{0, 1, \dots\}$$

$$8. \frac{}{\vdash a \equiv *}$$

f.o. $a \in \Lambda_1$ (1-uniqueness)

Term-
(Congruence rules)

9. $\overline{\mathcal{P} \vdash \mathcal{P}r_i (a_1 a_2)} \equiv a_i$ f.a. $a_i \in \Lambda A_i$ (α -computation)
10. $\overline{\mathcal{P} \vdash [\mathcal{P}r_1(c), \mathcal{P}r_2(c)]} \equiv c$ f.a. $c \in \Lambda A \times B$ (α -uniqueness)
11. $\overline{\mathcal{P} \vdash \lambda x. \text{App}(f, x)} \equiv f$ f.a. $f \in \Lambda A \rightarrow B$, $x \in \text{Ter}_A - \text{FV}(f)$
(η -congruence, or \rightarrow -uniqueness)
12. $\overline{\mathcal{P} \vdash \text{App}(\lambda x. b, a)} \equiv b[a/x]$ f.a. $b : B$, $a : A$, $x \in \text{Ter}_A$
(β -congruence, or \rightarrow -computation)
13. $\overline{\mathcal{P} \vdash \lambda x. a} \equiv \lambda y. a[y/x]$ f.a. $a \in \Lambda A$, $x, y \in \text{Ter}_A$ (α -congruence)

Definition 11: A simply typed λ -theory (with products and a terminal type) is a tuple $(\Pi, \mathcal{C}, \widehat{\Pi}_{T_S}, \widehat{\Pi}_{T_M})$ for arbitrary disjoint sets Π, \mathcal{C} , and subsets $\widehat{\Pi}_{T_S} \subseteq \Phi_{T_S}^{\Pi}$, $\widehat{\Pi}_{T_M} \subseteq \Phi_{T_M}^{\Pi, \mathcal{C}}$.

Remark 12: 1. There is a notion of $\beta\eta$ -reduction for simply typed λ -theories.

Here, every term has a normal form, and $\beta\eta$ -reduction always terminates.

2. One can define the typed Church-numerals and study definable arithmetic functions in a typed context by adding a constant type 0 with no term-rules and letting $m := \lambda x. \lambda y. x^{(m)}(y) : (0 \rightarrow 0) \rightarrow (0 \rightarrow 0)$, i.e. $\mathbb{N} := \lambda x. \lambda y. \text{App}(x, y)$ etc. See Bar84, Appendix I for more on both.

Definition 13: Given two simply typed λ -theories $\mathcal{L}_i = (\Pi_i, \mathcal{C}_i, \sim_{T_y}^i, \sim_{T_m}^i)$, a

preinterpretation $\mathcal{I}: \mathcal{L}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_2$ is a pair of functions

$$\left(\mathcal{I}_{T_y}: \Pi_1 \rightarrow \Pi_2, \mathcal{I}_{T_m}: \prod_{A: T_y^{\Pi_1}} \mathcal{C}_1^A \rightarrow \prod_{A: T_y^{\Pi_2} / \pi_2} \mathcal{C}_2^A \right).$$

Every preinterpretation induces a pair of maps

$$\left(\mathcal{I}_{T_y}: T_y^{\Pi_1} \rightarrow T_y^{\Pi_2}, \mathcal{I}_{T_m}: \prod_{A: T_y^{\Pi_1} / \pi_1} \mathcal{C}_1^A \rightarrow \prod_{A: T_y^{\Pi_2} / \pi_2} \mathcal{C}_2^A \right)$$

by recursion in the obvious way: e.g. $\mathcal{I}_{T_y}(A \rightarrow B) = \mathcal{I}_{T_y}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{T_y}(B)$,

$$\mathcal{I}_{T_y}(1) = 1, \dots, \mathcal{I}_{T_m}(x_u) = x_u \quad \text{for all } x \in \text{Var } A,$$

$$\mathcal{I}_{T_m}(x.b) = x.b \text{ etc.}$$

A preinterpretation $\mathcal{I}: \mathcal{L}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_2$ is an **interpretation** if

$$* \quad \forall (A \equiv_{T_y} B) \in \sim_{T_y}^1: \sim_{T_y}^2 \vdash_{T_y} \mathcal{I}_{T_y}(A) \equiv_{T_y} \mathcal{I}_{T_y}(B)$$

$$* \quad \forall A \in T_y^{\Pi_1}, a \in \mathcal{C}_1^A: \mathcal{I}_{T_m}(a) \in \mathcal{C}_2^{\mathcal{I}_{T_y}(A)}$$

$$* \quad \forall A \in T_y^{\Pi_1}, a, b \in \mathcal{C}_1^A: (a \equiv_{T_m}^1 b) \in \sim_{T_m}^1 \Rightarrow \sim_{T_m}^2 \vdash \mathcal{I}_{T_m}(a) \equiv_{T_m}^2 \mathcal{I}_{T_m}(b)$$

$$* \quad \text{FV}(\mathcal{I}_{T_m}(a)) = \emptyset \text{ whenever } \text{FV}(a) = \emptyset.$$

Two interpretations $\mathcal{I}_i = (\mathcal{I}_{T_y}^i, \mathcal{I}_{T_m}^i): \mathcal{L}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_2$ are **equivalent** (denoted by

$\mathcal{I}_1 \sim \mathcal{I}_2$) if

$$* \quad \forall A \in T_y^{\Pi_1}: \sim_{T_y}^2 \vdash_{T_y} \mathcal{I}_{T_y}^1(A) \equiv_{T_y} \mathcal{I}_{T_y}^2(A), \text{ and}$$

$$* \quad \forall a \in \mathcal{C}_1^A: \sim_{T_m}^2 \vdash \mathcal{I}_{T_m}^1(a) \equiv_{T_m}^2 \mathcal{I}_{T_m}^2(a).$$

Definition 14: The category $S.T.T^{1,x,1}$ of simply typed λ -calculi (with products and a terminal type) consists of simply typed λ -theories L and equivalence classes of interpretations

$$\text{Hom}(L_1, L_2) := \underline{\text{Int}}(L_1, L_2) / \sim$$

together with the obvious compositions and identities.

Rather than listing examples and properties of s.t. λ -theories, we proceed to show the following theorem, which reduces the understanding of $S.T.T^{1,x,1}$ to a well-known category with a plethora of examples.

Construction 15: (The term model functor)

Given a s.t. λ -theory $L = (\Pi, C, \hat{\Pi}_{T_\gamma}, \hat{\Pi}_{T_m})$, let

$$\text{Ob}(C(L)) := T_\gamma / \hat{\Pi}_{T_\gamma}, \quad A \sim B \text{ iff } \hat{\Pi}_{T_\gamma} \vdash A = B \quad \text{We'll drop "C" if it's clear from context.}$$

$$\text{Hom}(A, B) := \Lambda_{A \rightarrow B}^{C,0} / \hat{\Pi}_{T_m}, \quad \text{where } \Lambda_A^{C,0} \text{ denotes the set of closed terms of type } A.$$

Let $1_A := \lambda x. x \in \text{Hom}(A, A)$, and,

given $f: A \rightarrow B$, $g: B \rightarrow C$, let $g \circ f := \lambda x. \text{App}(g, \text{App}(f, x)): A \rightarrow C$.

Then $C(L) = (\text{Ob}(C(L)), \text{Hom}_C(\cdot, \cdot))$ is a category. Furthermore, $C(L)$

canonically comes equipped with

* a **terminal object** $1 \in C(L)$, as for all $A \in C(L)$, $\Lambda_{A \rightarrow 1}^{C,0} / \hat{\Pi}_{T_m} = \{ \lambda x. * \}$:

Given $f: A \rightarrow 1$, we have $\widehat{\Gamma}_{\text{Tr}} \vdash f := \lambda x. \text{App}(f, x)$ by η -congruence

In particular, $\text{App}(f, x) := 1$, and hence $\widehat{\Gamma}_{\text{Tr}} \vdash \text{App}(f, x) := *$ by

β -uniqueness. Thus, $\widehat{\Gamma}_{\text{Tr}} \vdash \lambda x. \text{App}(f, x) := \lambda x. *$ by β -congruence.

$\Rightarrow \widehat{\Gamma}_{\text{Tr}} \vdash f := \lambda x. *$ by transitivity.

* **exponentials** $B^A := A \rightarrow B$ and **products** $A \times B$ for $\text{ABEL}(K)$ via

$\pi_1 := \lambda x. \text{pr}_1(x): A \times B \rightarrow A$, $\pi_2 := \lambda x. \text{pr}_2(x): A \times B \rightarrow B$, s.th.

for $f: C \rightarrow A$, $g: C \rightarrow B$ we get

$$(f, g) := \lambda x. [\text{App}(f, x), \text{App}(g, x)]: C \rightarrow A \times B$$

s.th. $\pi_1 \circ (f, g) = f$, $\pi_2 \circ (f, g) = g$.

$\text{ev}_{A, B} := \lambda x. \text{App}(\text{pr}_2(x), \text{pr}_1(x)): A \times B^A \rightarrow A$, s.th. for $f: A \times C \rightarrow B$ we get

(a unique)

$$\ulcorner f \urcorner := \lambda c. \lambda a. \text{App}(f, [c, a]): C \rightarrow B^A$$

s.th. $\text{ev}_{A, B} \circ (\ulcorner f \urcorner, 1_c) = f$ in $\text{Hom}(A \times C, B)$.

Exercise: Verify that the given data makes $\text{C}(K)$ a cartesian closed category.

Furthermore, given an interpretation $I: L_1 \rightarrow L_2$, we obtain a functor

$$C(I): C(L_1) \rightarrow C(L_2)$$

via $C(I)_0: T_{\Gamma}^{\pi_1} / \pi_{T_{\Gamma}}^1 \rightarrow T^{\pi_2} / \pi_{T_{\Gamma}}^2$

$$[A] \mapsto [I_{T_{\Gamma}}(A)]$$

$$C(I)(A, B): \Lambda_{A \rightarrow B} / \hat{\Gamma}_{T_m}^1 \rightarrow \Lambda_{I_{T_m}(A) \rightarrow I_{T_m}(B)} / \hat{\Gamma}_{T_m}^2$$

$$f \mapsto [\hat{I}_{T_m}(f)]$$

(Indeed, $C(I)(A, A)(1_A) = I_{T_m}(1_{x.x}) = 1_{x.x}$ for all suitably typed variables, similarly for compositions.)

Let **Cart** be the category of cartesian closed categories and cartesian functors (Definition I.17).

Proposition 16: $C(I): C(L_1) \rightarrow C(L_2)$ is a cartesian closed functor. We obtain a fully faithful term-model functor $C: S.T.T. \xrightarrow{1 \times 1} \text{Cart}$.

Proof: We are left to show full faithfulness, thus let $L_1, L_2 \in S.T.T. \xrightarrow{1 \times 1}$,

$I_1, I_2: L_1 \rightarrow L_2$ be two interpretations such that $C(I_1) = C(I_2)$.

By construction it follows that

$$\forall A \in T_{L_1}^{\tau_1}: [\hat{I}_{1, T_{L_1}}(A)] = [\hat{I}_{2, T_{L_2}}(A)] \text{ in } T_{L_2}^{\tau_2} / \hat{\Gamma}_{T_{L_2}}^2 \text{ i.e.}$$

$$\forall A \in T_{L_1}^{\tau_1}, \hat{\Gamma}_{T_{L_2}}^2 \vdash \hat{I}_{1, T_{L_1}}(A) \equiv \hat{I}_{2, T_{L_2}}(A).$$

Second, for $a \in \Lambda_A^{\sigma_2}$, $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} = \text{fv}(a)$, $x_i \in \text{Var } A_i$, we get

$\lambda x_1. \dots \lambda x_n. a \in C(L_1)(A_1, A_2 \xrightarrow{\sigma_2})$ and hence

$$[\hat{I}_{1, T_m}(\lambda x_1. \dots \lambda x_n. a)] = [\hat{I}_{2, T_m}(\lambda x_1. \dots \lambda x_n. a)] \text{ in}$$

$$C(L_2)(\hat{I}_{1, T_m} A_1, \hat{I}_{1, T_m} A_2 \xrightarrow{\sigma_2}) = \Lambda_{\hat{I}_{1, T_m} A_2 \rightarrow \hat{I}_{1, T_m} A_2}^{\sigma_2} / \hat{\Gamma}_{T_m}^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \widehat{\Gamma}_{Tm}^2 \vdash \lambda x_1. \dots \lambda x_n. I_{1,1m} a \equiv_{\widehat{\Gamma}_{Tm}} \lambda x_1. \dots \lambda x_n. I_{2,1m} a$$

← *correctly typed* →

$$\Rightarrow \widehat{\Gamma}_{Tm}^2 \vdash I_{1,1m}(a) \equiv I_{2,1m}(a) \text{ by } \beta\text{-congruence.}$$

Hence, we get that $I_1 \sim I_2$, and so C is faithful.

Towards fullness, let $f: C(L_1) \rightarrow C(L_2)$ be a cartesian functor, and define $I^f: L_1 \rightarrow L_2$ as follows:

$$I_{T_S}^f: \Pi_1 \rightarrow \Pi_2$$

$$T \mapsto f_0(T)$$

$$I_{Tm}^f: \coprod_{A: T_S^{\Pi_1}} \mathcal{C}_1^A \rightarrow \coprod_{A: T_S^{\Pi_2} / \Pi_2} \mathcal{C}_2^A$$

Again, variables accordingly typed.

$$(A, a) \mapsto [\text{App}(_ \text{ App}(f_1(\lambda x_1. \dots \lambda x_n. a), x_1) _ \dots _ _ x_n)]$$

for $a \in \mathcal{C}_1^A$, $FV(a) = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$, $x_i \in \text{Var } A_i$.

It is straight-forward to verify that $I^f: L_1 \rightarrow L_2$ is an interpretation i.s.th.

$$f = C(I^f): C(L_1) \rightarrow C(L_2)$$

$$A \mapsto f_0(A)$$

$$g \downarrow f_1(g)$$

$$B \mapsto f_0(B)$$

□

Theorem 17 (Lambek): The functor $C: \text{S.T.T.}^{\rightarrow \times \rightarrow} \rightarrow \text{Cart}$ is part of an equivalence of categories. Its inverse is the "internal language" functor.

Proof: Given $\mathcal{C} \in \text{Cart}$, we define the typed λ -theory

$T(\mathcal{C}) := (\text{Ob}(T), \underbrace{\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket}_{=: \mathcal{C}(\cdot)}, \underbrace{\Gamma_{\mathcal{C}_0}, \tilde{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{C}_1}}_{\in \text{Ob}(T)})$ as follows. First, let

$\Gamma_{\mathcal{C}_0} := \langle \perp := \perp_{\Gamma} \perp_{\mathcal{C}} \cup \{ A \times B :=_{\Gamma} A \times_{\mathcal{C}} B \mid A, B \in \text{Ob}(T) \} \cup \{ A \multimap B :=_{\Gamma} B^A \mid A, B \in \text{Ob}(T) \} \rangle$.

To define $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{C}_1}$, let $\varepsilon_0: \Gamma_{\mathcal{C}_0}^{\text{Ob}(T)} \rightarrow \text{Ob}(T)$ be recursively defined by

$A \in \text{Ob}(T) \mapsto A$, $A \times B \mapsto A \times B$, $A \multimap B \mapsto B^A$, $\perp \mapsto \perp$. So,

$\tilde{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{C}_1} \vdash A :=_{\Gamma} B$ iff $\varepsilon_0(A) = \varepsilon_0(B)$ in \mathcal{C} (by induction).

Again by recursion we define a map $\varepsilon_1: \prod_{A: \Gamma_{\mathcal{C}_0}^{\text{Ob}(T)}} A \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ s.th.

whenever $t: A$ has $\text{FV}(t) = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ with $x_i \in \text{Var } A_i$, then

$\varepsilon_1(t): A_1 \times \dots \times A_n \rightarrow A$ as follows.

* For $x \in \text{Var } A$, let $\varepsilon_1(x) := (A_i: A_i \multimap A)$

* For $C \in \text{Ob}(T)$, $c \in \mathcal{C}(C)$, let $\varepsilon_1(c) = c: \perp \multimap C$.

* For $b: B$ with $\text{FV}(b) = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$, $x_i \in \text{Var } A_i$, $x \in \text{Var } A$ for some $A \in \Gamma_{\mathcal{C}_0}^{\text{Ob}(T)}$,

$$\varepsilon_1(\lambda x. b) := \begin{cases} \varepsilon_0(A_1) x \multimap \dots \multimap \varepsilon_0(A_n) x \multimap \varepsilon_0(b) \xrightarrow{\Gamma_{\mathcal{C}_0}^{\varepsilon_0(A_i)}} \varepsilon_0(B) & \text{if } x = x_i, \\ \varepsilon_0(A_1) x \multimap \dots \multimap \varepsilon_0(A_n) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_1(b)} \varepsilon_0(B) \xrightarrow{\prod_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_0(A_i)} \varepsilon_0(B) & \text{if } x \notin \text{FV}(b). \end{cases}$$

* For $f: A \multimap B$ with $\text{FV}(f) = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$, $x_i \in \text{Var } A_i$, $a: A$, $\text{FV}(a) = \{s_1, \dots, s_m\}$, $s_i \in \text{Var } C_i$.

and $\varepsilon_1 f: \varepsilon_0 A_1 \times \dots \times \varepsilon_0 A_n \multimap \varepsilon_0(A \multimap B) = \varepsilon_0 B$, $\varepsilon_1 a: \varepsilon_0 C_1 \times \dots \times \varepsilon_0 C_m \multimap \varepsilon_0 A$ given,

let $\text{FV}(\text{App}(f, a)) = \{z_1, \dots, z_k\}$, $z_i \in \text{Var } D_i$ for $\max(\{n, m\}) \leq k \leq n+m$. The projection

$\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \times \{y_1, \dots, y_m\} \rightarrow \{z_1, \dots, z_k\}$ induces

$$\varepsilon_1(\text{App}) : \varepsilon_0 D_1 \times \dots \times \varepsilon_0 D_k \xrightarrow{\Delta} \varepsilon_0 A_1 \times \dots \times \varepsilon_0 A_n \times \varepsilon_0 C_1 \times \dots \times \varepsilon_0 C_m \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_1 \times \varepsilon_1 \times \dots} \varepsilon_0 B \times \varepsilon_0 A \xrightarrow{\omega} \varepsilon_0 B$$

* For $C = B_1 \times B_2$ with $\text{FV}(C) = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$, $x_i \in \text{Var } B_i$, and

$$\varepsilon_1(C) : \varepsilon_0 A_1 \times \dots \times \varepsilon_0 A_n \rightarrow \varepsilon_0(B_1 \times B_2) = \varepsilon_0 B_1 \times \varepsilon_0 B_2, \text{ let}$$

$$\varepsilon_1(\text{pr}_i(C)) : \varepsilon_0 A_1 \times \dots \times \varepsilon_0 A_n \xrightarrow{\zeta} \varepsilon_0 B_1 \times \varepsilon_0 B_2 \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_i} B_i.$$

* For $* = 1$, let $\varepsilon_1(*) = \text{id}_1 : 1 \rightarrow 1$.

This finishes the recursion, as Term-Conversion is clearly satisfied: $A \equiv B \in \widehat{\mathcal{T}}_{\varepsilon_0}$, $a : A$

implies $\text{codom}(\varepsilon_1(a)) = \varepsilon_0(A) = \varepsilon_0(B)$.

We define

$$\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon_1} := \left\{ s : \tau_n \vdash t \mid \begin{array}{c} \varepsilon_0 C_1 \times \dots \times \varepsilon_0 C_k \xrightarrow{\Delta} \varepsilon_0 A_1 \times \dots \times \varepsilon_0 A_n \\ \downarrow \varepsilon_1(s) \\ \varepsilon_0 A \\ \uparrow \varepsilon_1(t) \\ \varepsilon_0 B_1 \times \dots \times \varepsilon_0 B_m \end{array} \right\}$$

for $s, t : A$, $\text{FV}(s) = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$, $x_i \in \text{Var } B_i$, $\text{FV}(t) = \{y_1, \dots, y_m\}$, $y_j \in \text{Var } B_j$,

$$\text{FV}(s) \cup \text{FV}(t) = \{z_1, \dots, z_k\}.$$

Claim: 1. $\varepsilon_1 : \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon_1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is a cartesian closed functor.

$$f \in \mathcal{C}(A \rightarrow B) \mapsto \varepsilon_1(f)^{-1} : \varepsilon_0 A \rightarrow \varepsilon_0 B$$

2. ε_1 is an isomorphism of categories.

If the claim is true, then the functor $C : \text{S.T.T.}^{\text{S.T.T.}} \rightarrow \text{Cart}$ is

essentially surjective. In Proposition 16 we have seen that C is

fully faithful. We are thus left to show the claim.