



**Definition 4.** A monoidal category  $\mathbf{C}$  is called *symmetric* provided that it is additionally equipped with natural isomorphisms  $A \otimes B \xrightarrow{\sigma_{A,B}} B \otimes A$  for every  $\mathbf{C}$ -objects  $A, B$  such that the following diagrams commute

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
A \otimes (B \otimes C) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{A,B,C}} & (A \otimes B) \otimes C \xrightarrow{\sigma_{A \otimes B, C}} C \otimes (A \otimes B) \\
\downarrow 1_A \otimes \sigma_{B,C} & & \downarrow \alpha_{C,A,B} \\
A \otimes (C \otimes B) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{A,C,B}} & (A \otimes C) \otimes B \xrightarrow{\sigma_{A \otimes C} \otimes 1_B} (C \otimes A) \otimes B
\end{array}$$
  

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
E \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{E,A}} & A \otimes E \\
\searrow \lambda_A & & \swarrow \rho_A \\
& A &
\end{array}
\qquad
\begin{array}{ccc}
A \otimes B & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{A,B}} & B \otimes A \\
\searrow 1_A \otimes B & & \swarrow \sigma_{B,A} \\
& A \otimes B &
\end{array}
\tag{1.1}$$

for every  $\mathbf{C}$ -objects  $A, B, C$ . Since  $\lambda_E = \rho_E$ , the left-hand side of diagram (1.1) gives  $\sigma_{E,E} = 1_E$ .  $\blacksquare$

**Remark 5.** The commutative diagrams of Definition 4 are called the *coherence conditions*, i.e., *associativity coherence*, *unit coherence*, and *symmetry axiom*, respectively. One should also observe that strict monoidal categories generally fail to be symmetric.  $\blacksquare$

**Example 6.**

- (1) The category **Set** of sets and maps is symmetric monoidal w.r.t. cartesian product of sets. More generally, every category with finite products is symmetric monoidal.
- (2) The category of functors on a small category with the tensor product given by the composition of functors is strict monoidal.
- (3) The category **Ab** of abelian groups and group homomorphisms with the usual tensor product is symmetric monoidal. More generally, given a commutative unital ring  $R$ , the category  $R\text{-Mod}$  of left  $R$ -modules and left  $R$ -module homomorphisms is symmetric monoidal w.r.t. the tensor product of  $R$ -modules.
- (4) The category **Sup** of  $\vee$ -semilattices and  $\vee$ -preserving maps equipped with the usual tensor product is symmetric monoidal.
- (5) Every unital quantale  $V$  is a strict monoidal category w.r.t. its multiplication. Commutative quantales are additionally symmetric. More generally, a preordered set  $S$ , considered as a category, is strict monoidal precisely when it is equipped with a monoid structure  $(\otimes, k)$ , whose multiplication  $S \times S \xrightarrow{\otimes} S$  is monotone. Commutative monoid structures provide additionally symmetric categories.
- (6) Given a (symmetric) monoidal category  $\mathbf{C}$ ,  $\mathbf{C}^{op}$  is a (symmetric) monoidal category as well.  $\blacksquare$

1.2. Monoidal functors

**Definition 7.** A *morphism of monoidal categories*  $\mathbf{C}$  and  $\mathbf{D}$  is a functor  $\mathbf{C} \xrightarrow{F} \mathbf{D}$  equipped with  $\mathbf{D}$ -morphisms  $FA \otimes_{\mathbf{D}} FB \xrightarrow{\delta_{A,B}} F(A \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} B)$  natural in  $\mathbf{C}$ -objects  $A, B$  and a  $\mathbf{D}$ -morphism  $E_{\mathbf{D}} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} FE_{\mathbf{C}}$  natural in  $E_{\mathbf{D}}, E_{\mathbf{C}}$  such that the following three diagrams commute

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
FA \otimes (FB \otimes FC) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{FA,FB,FC}} & (FA \otimes FB) \otimes FC \\
\downarrow 1_{FA} \otimes \delta_{B,C} & & \downarrow \delta_{A,B} \otimes 1_{FC} \\
FA \otimes F(B \otimes C) & & F(A \otimes B) \otimes FC \\
\downarrow \delta_{A,B \otimes C} & & \downarrow \delta_{A \otimes B, C} \\
F(A \otimes (B \otimes C)) & \xrightarrow{F\alpha_{A,B,C}} & F((A \otimes B) \otimes C)
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
E \otimes FA & \xrightarrow{\lambda_{FA}} & FA \\
\downarrow \varepsilon \otimes 1_{FA} & & \uparrow F\lambda_A \\
FE \otimes FA & \xrightarrow{\delta_{E,A}} & F(E \otimes A)
\end{array}
\qquad
\begin{array}{ccc}
FA \otimes E & \xrightarrow{\rho_{FA}} & FA \\
\downarrow 1_{FA} \otimes \varepsilon & & \uparrow F\rho_A \\
FA \otimes FE & \xrightarrow{\delta_{A,E}} & F(A \otimes E).
\end{array}$$

Notice that since  $\delta$  is natural, the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
FA \otimes FB & \xrightarrow{Ff \otimes Fg} & FC \otimes FD \\
\downarrow \delta_{A,B} & & \downarrow \delta_{C,D} \\
F(A \otimes B) & \xrightarrow{F(f \otimes g)} & F(C \otimes D)
\end{array}$$

commutes for every  $\mathbf{C}$ -morphisms  $A \xrightarrow{f} C$ ,  $B \xrightarrow{g} D$ . ■

**Remark 8.** The first three commutative diagrams of Definition 7 are called the *coherence conditions*, i.e., the *associativity condition*, and the two *unit conditions*, respectively. ■

**Definition 9.** A *morphism of symmetric monoidal categories*  $\mathbf{C}$  and  $\mathbf{D}$  is a functor  $\mathbf{C} \xrightarrow{F} \mathbf{D}$ , which is a morphism of monoidal categories  $\mathbf{C}$  and  $\mathbf{D}$ , making additionally the following diagram commute

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
FA \otimes FB & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{FA,FB}} & FB \otimes FA \\
\downarrow \delta_{A,B} & & \downarrow \delta_{B,A} \\
F(A \otimes B) & \xrightarrow{F\sigma_{A,B}} & F(B \otimes A)
\end{array}$$

for every  $\mathbf{C}$ -objects  $A, B$ . ■

**Remark 10.**

- (1) The commutative diagram of Definition 9 is called the *symmetry condition*.
- (2) Morphisms of (symmetric) monoidal categories are sometimes called *monoidal functors*. ■

**Definition 11.** A morphism of (symmetric) monoidal categories is called *strong* provided that the morphisms  $\delta$  and  $\varepsilon$  of Definition 7 are isomorphisms. It is called *strict* provided that  $\delta$  and  $\varepsilon$  are identities. It should be clear that strictness implies strongness, but not vice versa. ■

**Example 12.**

- (1) The forgetful functor  $(\mathbf{Ab}, \otimes, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{U} (\mathbf{Set}, \times, \{*\})$  is monoidal. Given two abelian groups  $A, B$ , one defines  $UA \times UB \xrightarrow{\delta_{A,B}} U(A \otimes B)$  by  $\delta_{A,B}(a, b) = a \otimes b$ , and  $\{*\} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} U\mathbb{Z}$  by  $\varepsilon(*) = 1$ . The monoidal functor  $U$  is not strong.
- (2) Every homomorphism of (commutative) unital quantales is a strict monoidal functor between (symmetric) monoidal categories.
- (3) Given two categories with finite products, every functor between them, which preserves finite products, is strong monoidal. ■

### 1.3. Monoidal closed categories

**Definition 13.** An object  $A$  of a monoidal category  $\mathbf{C}$  is called  $\otimes$ -*exponentiable* provided that the functors  $\mathbf{C} \xrightarrow{A \otimes (-)} \mathbf{C}$  and  $\mathbf{C} \xrightarrow{(-) \otimes A} \mathbf{C}$  have right adjoints  $\mathbf{C} \xrightarrow{A \bullet (-)} \mathbf{C}$  and  $\mathbf{C} \xrightarrow{(-) \bullet A} \mathbf{C}$ , respectively. ■

**Remark 14.**

- (1) The functors  $\mathbf{C} \xrightarrow{A \bullet (-)} \mathbf{C}$  and  $\mathbf{C} \xrightarrow{(-) \bullet A} \mathbf{C}$  of Definition 13 are called the *right internal hom-functor of  $A$*  and the *left internal hom-functor of  $A$* , respectively. One also sometimes uses the notation  $[A, -]$  instead of  $(-) \bullet A$ , and  $\llbracket A, - \rrbracket$  instead of  $A \bullet (-)$ .
- (2) The existence of the internal hom-functors implies, in particular, that every  $\mathbf{C}$ -object  $B$  has an  $A \otimes (-)$ -co-universal arrow  $A \otimes (A \bullet B) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_{\bullet B}} B$  and a  $(-) \otimes A$ -co-universal arrow  $(B \bullet A) \otimes A \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_{B \bullet}} B$ , i.e., for every  $\mathbf{C}$ -morphisms  $A \otimes C \xrightarrow{f} B$  and  $C \otimes A \xrightarrow{g} B$ , there exist unique  $\mathbf{C}$ -morphisms  $C \xrightarrow{\hat{f}} A \bullet B$  and  $C \xrightarrow{\hat{g}} B \bullet A$  such that the following two diagrams commute

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A \otimes C & & C \otimes A \\
 \downarrow 1_A \otimes \hat{f} & \searrow f & \downarrow \hat{g} \otimes 1_A \\
 A \otimes (A \bullet B) & \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_{\bullet B}} & B & & (B \bullet A) \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_{B \bullet}} & B.
 \end{array} \tag{1.2}$$

- (3) Since in a symmetric monoidal category  $\mathbf{C}$  both functors  $A \otimes (-)$  and  $(-) \otimes A$  are naturally isomorphic, their right adjoint functors  $A \bullet (-)$  and  $(-) \bullet A$  are naturally isomorphic as well, i.e., there is no need to distinguish between right- and left-hom functors, and one can use any of the notations  $\bullet$  and  $\bullet$ . The respective co-universal arrows are then called *evaluation morphisms*.
- (4) For every  $\otimes$ -exponentiable  $\mathbf{C}$ -object  $A$ , since the functor  $A \otimes (-)$  (resp.  $(-) \otimes A$ ) has a right adjoint  $A \bullet (-)$  (resp.  $(-) \bullet A$ ), then  $A \otimes (-)$  (resp.  $(-) \otimes A$ ) preserves the existing colimits, and  $A \bullet (-)$  (resp.  $(-) \bullet A$ ) preserves the existing limits. ■

**Definition 15.** A monoidal category  $\mathbf{C}$  is called *closed* provided that every its object is  $\otimes$ -exponentiable. ■

**Remark 16.**

- (1) A monoidal category  $\mathbf{C}$  is sometimes called *right closed* (resp. *left closed*, *biclosed*) provided that for every its object  $A$ , the functor  $A \otimes (-)$  has a right adjoint (resp. the functor  $(-) \otimes A$  has right adjoint, both functors  $A \otimes (-)$  and  $(-) \otimes A$  have a right adjoint).
- (2) Given a category  $\mathbf{C}$  with the monoidal structure defined by finite products, one says *exponentiable* instead of  $\times$ -*exponentiable* and generally writes  $B^A$  for the *internal hom-object*  $A \bullet B \cong B \bullet A$ , which is also called an *exponential* or *power object*. The morphism  $\hat{f}$  of diagram (1.2) is then called *exponential morphism for  $f$* . The category  $\mathbf{C}$  itself is then said to be *cartesian closed* provided that it is closed. ■

**Example 17.**

- (1) The category **Set** is cartesian closed. Given two sets  $A, B$ , the respective power object  $B^A$  is the set of all maps  $B \xrightarrow{\alpha} A$ , and the respective evaluation morphism  $A \times B^A \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_B} B$  is the usual evaluation map given by  $\text{ev}(a, \alpha) = \alpha(a)$ . Given a morphism  $A \times C \xrightarrow{f} B$ , the exponential morphism  $C \xrightarrow{\hat{f}} B^A$  for  $f$  is defined by  $\hat{f}(c) = f(-, c)$ . It is easy to see that  $\hat{f}$  is a unique map making the next diagram commute

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A \times C & & \\
 \downarrow 1_A \times \hat{f} & \searrow f & \\
 A \times B^A & \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_B} & B.
 \end{array}$$

- (2) The category **Prost** of preordered sets and monotone maps is cartesian closed. Given two preordered sets  $A, B$ , the respective power object  $B^A$  is the set of all monotone maps  $B \xrightarrow{\alpha} A$ , and the respective evaluation morphism  $A \times B^A \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_B} B$  is the usual evaluation map given by  $\text{ev}(a, \alpha) = \alpha(a)$ .
- (3) The category **Cat** of *small* categories (the class of objects of a category is a set) and functors is cartesian closed. Given two categories  $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}$ , the respective power object is the functor category  $\mathbf{B}^{\mathbf{A}}$ , and the respective evaluation morphism  $\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B}^{\mathbf{A}} \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_{\mathbf{B}}} \mathbf{B}$  is defined on objects by  $\text{ev}_{\mathbf{B}}(A, F) = FA$  and on morphisms by  $\text{ev}_{\mathbf{B}}(h, \tau) = \tau_{A'} \cdot Fh$ , where  $A \xrightarrow{h} A'$  is an  $\mathbf{A}$ -morphism and  $F \xrightarrow{\tau} F'$  is a natural transformation. Given a functor  $\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{C} \xrightarrow{F} \mathbf{B}$ , the exponential morphism  $\mathbf{C} \xrightarrow{\hat{F}} \mathbf{B}^{\mathbf{A}}$  is defined by  $\mathbf{A} \xrightarrow{\hat{F}C} \mathbf{B}$ ,  $\hat{F}C(A \xrightarrow{h} A') = F(A, C) \xrightarrow{F(h, 1_C)} F(A', C)$ .
- (4) The category **Top** of topological spaces and continuous maps is not cartesian closed, since the functor  $\mathbf{Top} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{Q} \times (-)} \mathbf{Top}$  (where  $\mathbb{Q}$  is the space of rational numbers, with the topology induced by that of the real line  $\mathbb{R}$ ) does not preserve quotients, and, thus, does not preserve coequalizers (notice that left adjoint functors preserve the existing colimits).
- (5) The category **Ab** of abelian groups is monoidal closed, where  $A \dashv\bullet B = \mathbf{Ab}(A, B)$  with the pointwise structure of an abelian group. More generally, the category  $R\text{-Mod}$  of left  $R$ -modules is monoidal closed, where  $A \dashv\bullet B = R\text{-Mod}(A, B)$  with the pointwise structure of an  $R$ -module (recall from Example 6 (3) that both categories **Ab** and  $R\text{-Mod}$  are symmetric).
- (6) The category **Sup** of  $\vee$ -semilattices is monoidal closed, where  $A \dashv\bullet B = \mathbf{Sup}(A, B)$  with the pointwise structure of a  $\vee$ -semilattice (recall from Example 6 (4) that the category **Sup** is symmetric).
- (7) A unital quantale  $V$  is monoidal closed. Given  $a \in V$ , the maps  $V \xrightarrow{a \dashv\bullet (-)} V$  and  $V \xrightarrow{(-) \bullet a} V$  are defined by

$$a \otimes c \leq b \quad \text{iff} \quad c \leq a \dashv\bullet b \qquad c \otimes a \leq b \quad \text{iff} \quad c \leq b \bullet a$$

for every  $c, b \in V$ . In particular,  $a \dashv\bullet b = \bigvee \{c \in V \mid a \otimes c \leq b\}$  and  $b \bullet a = \bigvee \{c \in V \mid c \otimes a \leq b\}$ . If the quantale  $V$  is commutative, then the maps  $a \dashv\bullet (-)$  and  $(-) \bullet a$  coincide (recall from Example 6 (5) that  $V$  is then a symmetric monoidal category). Additionally, by Remark 14 (4), it follows that both maps  $a \dashv\bullet (-)$  and  $(-) \bullet a$  are  $\wedge$ -preserving. In particular, they preserve the largest element  $\top_V$ .  $\blacksquare$

**Proposition 18.** *Let  $\mathbf{C}$  be a monoidal closed category, and let  $A, B, C$  be  $\mathbf{C}$ -objects. There exist the following natural isomorphisms:*

- (1)  $(A \otimes B) \dashv\bullet C \cong B \dashv\bullet (A \dashv\bullet C)$ ;
- (2)  $C \bullet (A \otimes B) \cong (C \bullet B) \bullet A$ ;
- (3)  $(A \dashv\bullet C) \bullet B \cong A \dashv\bullet (C \bullet B)$ .

If  $\mathbf{C}$  is cartesian closed, then  $C^{A \times B} \cong (C^A)^B \cong (C^B)^A$ .

PROOF. As an illustration, we show the proof of items (1) – (3) in case of  $\mathbf{C}$  being a unital quantale  $V$ .

- (1) Given  $v \in V$ , in view of Example 17 (7), it follows that  $v \leq (a \otimes b) \dashv\bullet c$  iff  $(a \otimes b) \otimes v \leq c$  iff  $a \otimes (b \otimes v) \leq c$  iff  $b \otimes v \leq a \dashv\bullet c$  iff  $v \leq b \dashv\bullet (a \dashv\bullet c)$ . As a result, one gets  $(a \otimes b) \dashv\bullet c = b \dashv\bullet (a \dashv\bullet c)$ .
- (2) Given  $v \in V$ , in view of Example 17 (7), it follows that  $v \leq c \bullet (a \otimes b)$  iff  $v \otimes (a \otimes b) \leq c$  iff  $(v \otimes a) \otimes b \leq c$  iff  $v \otimes a \leq c \bullet b$  iff  $v \leq (c \bullet b) \bullet a$ . As a result, one gets  $c \bullet (a \otimes b) = (c \bullet b) \bullet a$ .
- (3) Given  $v \in V$ , in view of Example 17 (7), it follows that  $v \leq (a \dashv\bullet c) \bullet b$  iff  $v \otimes b \leq a \dashv\bullet c$  iff  $a \otimes (v \otimes b) \leq c$  iff  $(a \otimes v) \otimes b \leq c$  iff  $a \otimes v \leq c \bullet b$  iff  $v \leq a \dashv\bullet (c \bullet b)$ . Thus, one gets  $(a \dashv\bullet c) \bullet b = a \dashv\bullet (c \bullet b)$ .  $\square$

**Remark 19.** Given a monoidal closed category  $\mathbf{C}$  and a  $\mathbf{C}$ -object  $C$ , there exists a functor  $\mathbf{C}^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{(-) \dashv\bullet C} \mathbf{C}$  defined for a  $\mathbf{C}$ -morphism  $A \xrightarrow{f} B$  by  $(-) \dashv\bullet C(A \xrightarrow{f} B) = B \dashv\bullet C \xrightarrow{f \dashv\bullet C} A \dashv\bullet C$ , where  $B \dashv\bullet C \xrightarrow{f \dashv\bullet C}$

$A \multimap C$  is the unique  $\mathbf{C}$ -morphism making the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
A \otimes (B \multimap C) & \xrightarrow{f \otimes 1_{B \multimap C}} & B \otimes (B \multimap C) \\
1_A \otimes (f \multimap C) \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{ev}_{B \multimap C}^B \\
A \otimes (A \multimap C) & \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_{A \multimap C}^A} & C
\end{array}$$

commute. ■

**Proposition 20.** *Given a symmetric monoidal closed category  $\mathbf{C}$ , for every  $\mathbf{C}$ -object  $C$ , it follows that the functor  $\mathbf{C}^{op} \xrightarrow{(-) \multimap C} \mathbf{C}$  has a left adjoint, which is given by the dual functor  $\mathbf{C} \xrightarrow{((-) \multimap C)^{op}} \mathbf{C}^{op}$ .*

**Remark 21.**

- (1) For every object  $C$  of a symmetric monoidal closed category  $\mathbf{C}$ , since the functor  $\mathbf{C}^{op} \xrightarrow{(-) \multimap C} \mathbf{C}$  has a left adjoint, it preserves the existing limits, i.e., it takes colimits to limits. In particular, for every element  $c$  of a commutative unital quantale  $V$ , the map  $(-) \multimap c$  takes  $\bigvee$  to  $\bigwedge$ .
- (2) Given a (not necessarily unital) quantale  $V$ , the map  $(-) \multimap c$  (defined as in Example 17(7)) takes  $\bigvee$  to  $\bigwedge$ , which can be seen as follows. Given a subset  $S \subseteq V$ , for every  $v \in V$ ,  $v \leq (\bigvee S) \multimap c$  iff  $(\bigvee S) \otimes v \leq c$  iff  $\bigvee_{s \in S} (s \otimes v) \leq c$  iff  $s \otimes v \leq c$  for every  $s \in S$  iff  $v \leq s \multimap c$  for every  $s \in S$  iff  $v \leq \bigwedge_{s \in S} (s \multimap c)$ . As a consequence, one gets that  $(\bigvee S) \multimap c = \bigwedge_{s \in S} (s \multimap c)$ . Similar result holds for the map  $c \multimap (-)$ . ■

**Example 22.** If  $\mathbf{C}$  is the cartesian closed category  $\mathbf{Set}$  and  $C$  is the two-element set  $2$ , then, for every set  $A$ , the internal hom-object  $2^A = A \multimap 2$  is the powerset  $PA$  of  $A$ . The functor  $2^{(-)} = (-) \multimap 2$  is then precisely the *contravariant powerset functor*  $\mathbf{Set}^{op} \xrightarrow{Q} \mathbf{Set}$  defined on a map  $B \xrightarrow{f} A$  by  $Q(A \xrightarrow{f^{op}} B) = PA \xrightarrow{f^{-1}} PB$ , where  $f^{-1}(S)$  is the *preimage* of a subset  $S \subseteq A$ , i.e.,  $f^{-1}(S) = \{b \in B \mid f(b) \in S\}$ . ■

## 2. Properties of the category $V\text{-Cat}$

### 2.1. Symmetric monoidal closed structure on the category $V\text{-Cat}$

**Definition 23.** Let  $V$  be a unital quantale, and let  $(X, a), (Y, b)$  be  $V$ -categories.

- (1) Define a  $V$ -relation  $[-, -]$  on the set  $V\text{-Cat}((X, a), (Y, b)) = \{X \xrightarrow{f} Y \mid f \text{ is a } V\text{-functor}\}$  by

$$[f, g] = \bigwedge_{x \in X} b(f(x), g(x)),$$

and let  $[(X, a), (Y, b)]$  stand for the pair  $(V\text{-Cat}((X, a), (Y, b)), [-, -])$ .

- (2) Define a  $V$ -relation  $a \otimes b$  on the set  $X \times Y$  (the cartesian product of the sets  $X$  and  $Y$ ) by

$$(a \otimes b)((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) = a(x_1, x_2) \otimes b(y_1, y_2)$$

for every  $x_1, x_2 \in X$  and every  $y_1, y_2 \in Y$ , and let  $(X, a) \otimes (Y, b)$  stand for the pair  $(X \times Y, a \otimes b)$ . ■

**Proposition 24.** *Give a unital quantale  $V$  and  $V$ -categories  $(X, a), (Y, b)$ , the following holds:*

- (1)  $[(X, a), (Y, b)]$  is a  $V$ -category;
- (2) If the quantale  $V$  is commutative, then  $(X, a) \otimes (Y, b)$  is a  $V$ -category.

PROOF. In both cases, one shows the two required properties of a  $V$ -category (see Lecture 1).

- (1) First, given  $f \in V\text{-Cat}((X, a), (Y, b))$ , it follows that  $[f, f] = \bigwedge_{x \in X} b(f(x), f(x)) \geq \bigwedge_{x \in X} k \geq k$ , namely,  $k \leq [f, f]$ . Second, given  $f, g, h \in V\text{-Cat}((X, a), (Y, b))$ , it follows that  $[f, g] \otimes [g, h] = (\bigwedge_{x \in X} b(f(x), g(x))) \otimes (\bigwedge_{x \in X} b(g(x), h(x))) \leq \bigwedge_{x \in X} (b(f(x), g(x)) \otimes b(g(x), h(x))) \leq$  (since  $(Y, b)$  is a  $V$ -category)  $\leq \bigwedge_{x \in X} b(f(x), h(x)) = [f, h]$ , namely,  $[f, g] \otimes [g, h] \leq [f, h]$ .
- (2) First, given  $x \in X$  and  $y \in Y$ , it follows that  $(a \otimes b)((x, y), (x, y)) = a(x, x) \otimes b(y, y) \geq k \otimes k = k$ , namely,  $k \leq (a \otimes b)((x, y), (x, y))$ . Second, given  $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in X$  and  $y_1, y_2, y_3 \in Y$ , it follows that  $(a \otimes b)((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) \otimes (a \otimes b)((x_2, y_2), (x_3, y_3)) = (a(x_1, x_2) \otimes b(y_1, y_2)) \otimes (a(x_2, x_3) \otimes b(y_2, y_3)) =$  (since the quantale  $V$  is commutative)  $= (a(x_1, x_2) \otimes a(x_2, x_3)) \otimes (b(y_1, y_2) \otimes b(y_2, y_3)) \leq$  (since both  $(X, a)$  and  $(Y, b)$  are  $V$ -categories)  $\leq a(x_1, x_3) \otimes b(y_1, y_3) = (a \otimes b)((x_1, y_1), (x_3, y_3))$ , namely,  $(a \otimes b)((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) \otimes (a \otimes b)((x_2, y_2), (x_3, y_3)) \leq (a \otimes b)((x_1, y_1), (x_3, y_3))$ .  $\square$

**Remark 25.** Notice that given  $V$ -categories  $(X, a), (Y, b)$  over a commutative unital quantale  $V$ ,  $(X, a) \otimes (Y, b)$  is *not* the product  $V$ -category  $(X, a) \times (Y, b)$ . Indeed, following the results of Lecture 2,  $V\text{-Cat}$  is a topological category over  $\mathbf{Set}$ , and, therefore, the limits in  $V\text{-Cat}$  are lifted from those in  $\mathbf{Set}$  by the forgetful functor. In particular, the product  $V$ -category of  $(X, a)$  and  $(Y, b)$  is  $V$ -category  $(X \times Y, c)$ , where  $V$ -relation  $c$  is given by  $c((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) = a(x_1, x_2) \wedge b(y_1, y_2)$  for every  $x_1, x_2 \in X$  and every  $y_1, y_2 \in Y$ .  $\blacksquare$

**Example 26.** If  $V = P_+$ , then  $P_+$ -categories  $(X, a), (Y, b)$  are quasi-pseudo-metric spaces (generalized metric spaces in the sense of F. W. Lawvere). It then follows that  $[f, g] = \sup_{x \in X} b(f(x), g(x))$  is the usual “sup-metric” on the function space  $[X, Y]$ , and, moreover,  $(a \otimes b)((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) = a(x_1, x_2) + b(y_1, y_2)$  equips  $X \times Y$  with the usual “+metric”.  $\blacksquare$

**Proposition 27.** *Given a  $V$ -category  $[(X, a), (Y, b)]$ ,  $[f, g] = \bigwedge_{x_1, x_2 \in X} a(x_1, x_2) \dashv\bullet b(f(x_1), g(x_2))$  for every  $f, g \in V\text{-Cat}((X, a), (Y, b))$ .*

PROOF. Given  $x_1, x_2 \in X$ , it follows that  $a(x_1, x_2) \otimes b(f(x_2), g(x_2)) \leq$  ( $f$  is a  $V$ -functor)  $\leq b(f(x_1), f(x_2)) \otimes b(f(x_2), g(x_2)) \leq$  ( $(Y, b)$  is a  $V$ -category)  $\leq b(f(x_1), g(x_2))$ , i.e.,  $b(f(x_2), g(x_2)) \leq a(x_1, x_2) \dashv\bullet b(f(x_1), g(x_2))$ . Thus,  $[f, g] = \bigwedge_{x \in X} b(f(x), g(x)) = \bigwedge_{x_1, x_2 \in X} b(f(x_2), g(x_2)) \leq \bigwedge_{x_1, x_2 \in X} a(x_1, x_2) \dashv\bullet b(f(x_1), g(x_2))$ .

Given  $x \in X$ ,  $k \leq a(x, x)$  and Remark 21 (2) together imply  $a(x, x) \dashv\bullet b(f(x), g(x)) \leq k \dashv\bullet b(f(x), g(x)) \leq b(f(x), g(x))$ . Thus, it follows that  $\bigwedge_{x_1, x_2 \in X} a(x_1, x_2) \dashv\bullet b(f(x_1), g(x_2)) \leq \bigwedge_{x \in X} a(x, x) \dashv\bullet b(f(x), g(x)) \leq \bigwedge_{x \in X} b(f(x), g(x)) = [f, g]$ , which finishes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 28.** By analogy with Proposition 27, one can show that given a  $V$ -category  $[(X, a), (Y, b)]$ ,  $[f, g] = \bigwedge_{x_1, x_2 \in X} b(f(x_1), g(x_2)) \bullet\text{-} a(x_1, x_2)$  for every  $f, g \in V\text{-Cat}((X, a), (Y, b))$ .  $\blacksquare$

**Theorem 29.** *Given a commutative unital quantale  $V$ , the category  $V\text{-Cat}$  is symmetric monoidal closed.*

PROOF. First, define the tensor product functor  $V\text{-Cat} \times V\text{-Cat} \xrightarrow{\otimes} V\text{-Cat}$  by  $\otimes(((X_1, a_1), (Y_1, b_1)) \xrightarrow{(f, g)} ((X_2, a_2), (Y_2, b_2))) = (X_1 \times Y_1, a_1 \otimes b_1) \xrightarrow{f \times g} (X_2 \times Y_2, a_2 \otimes b_2)$ . To show that the functor is correct on morphisms, notice that given  $x_1, x'_1 \in X$  and  $y_1, y'_1 \in Y_1$ , it follows that  $(a_1 \otimes b_1)((x_1, y_1), (x'_1, y'_1)) = a_1(x_1, x'_1) \otimes b_1(y_1, y'_1) \leq$  (both  $f$  and  $g$  are  $V$ -functors)  $\leq a_2(f(x_1), f(x'_1)) \otimes b_2(g(y_1), g(y'_1)) = (a_2 \otimes b_2)((f(x_1), g(y_1)), (f(x'_1), g(y'_1))) = (a_2 \otimes b_2)((f \times g)(x_1, y_1), (f \times g)(x'_1, y'_1))$ .

Second, define the unit  $E = (\{*\}, k)$ , where  $k(*, *) = k$ .

Third, given  $V$ -categories  $(X, a), (Y, b)$ , and  $(Z, c)$ , define the natural isomorphism  $(X, a) \otimes ((Y, b) \otimes (Z, c)) \xrightarrow{\alpha_{(X, a), (Y, b), (Z, c)}} ((X, a) \otimes (Y, b)) \otimes (Z, c)$  by  $\alpha_{(X, a), (Y, b), (Z, c)}(x, (y, z)) = ((x, y), z)$ . Further, define the natural isomorphism  $E \otimes (X, a) \xrightarrow{\lambda_{(X, a)}} (X, a)$  by  $\lambda_{(X, a)}(*, x) = x$  (the projection map) and the natural transformation  $(X, a) \otimes E \xrightarrow{\rho_{(X, a)}} (X, a)$  by  $\rho_{(X, a)}(x, *) = x$  (the projection map again). To show that, e.g., the map  $\lambda_{(X, a)}$  is a  $V$ -functor, notice that for every  $x_1, x_2 \in X$ , it follows that  $(k \otimes a)((*, x_1), (*, x_2)) = k(*, *) \otimes a(x_1, x_2) = k \otimes a(x_1, x_2) = a(x_1, x_2) = a(\lambda_{(X, a)}(*, x_1), \lambda_{(X, a)}(*, x_2))$ . Moreover, commutativity of the diagrams of Definition 1 is immediate. For example, for the triangle, notice that  $(\rho_{(X, a)} \otimes 1_{(Y, b)}) \cdot \alpha_{(X, a), E, (Y, b)}(x, (*, y)) = \rho_{(X, a)} \times 1_{(Y, b)}((x, *), y) = (x, y) = 1_{(X, a)} \times \lambda_{(Y, b)}(x, (*, y)) = 1_{(X, a)} \otimes \lambda_{(Y, b)}(x, (*, y))$ .

Fourth, given  $V$ -categories  $(X, a)$ ,  $(Y, b)$ , define the natural isomorphism  $(X, a) \otimes (Y, b) \xrightarrow{\sigma_{(X,a),(Y,b)}} (Y, b) \otimes (X, a)$  by  $\sigma_{(X,a),(Y,b)}(x, y) = (y, x)$ . The above structure then makes  $V\text{-Cat}$  a symmetric monoidal category.

Fifth, to show that the category  $V\text{-Cat}$  is closed, by Remark 14(3), it is enough to show that given  $V$ -categories  $(X, a)$  and  $(Y, b)$ , the map  $(X, a) \otimes [(X, a), (Y, b)] \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_{(Y,b)}} (Y, b)$ , defined by  $\text{ev}_{(Y,b)}(x, f) = f(x)$ , provides an  $(X, a) \otimes (-)$ -co-universal arrow for  $(Y, b)$ .

To check that the map  $\text{ev}_{(Y,b)}$  provides a  $V$ -functor, notice that given  $x_1, x_2 \in X$  and  $f, g \in V\text{-Cat}((X, a), (Y, b))$ , it follows that  $(a \otimes [-, -])((x_1, f), (x_2, g)) = a(x_1, x_2) \otimes [f, g] = (\text{Proposition 27}) = a(x_1, x_2) \otimes (\bigwedge_{x'_1, x'_2 \in X} a(x'_1, x'_2) \bullet b(f(x'_1), g(x'_2))) \leq a(x_1, x_2) \otimes (a(x_1, x_2) \bullet b(f(x_1), g(x_2))) \leq (\text{Example 17(7)}) \leq b(f(x_1), g(x_2)) = b(\text{ev}_{(Y,b)}(x_1, f), \text{ev}_{(Y,b)}(x_2, g))$ .

Given a  $V$ -functor  $(X, a) \otimes (Z, c) \xrightarrow{\hat{f}} (Y, b)$ , define a map  $Z \xrightarrow{\hat{f}} V\text{-Cat}((X, a), (Y, b))$  by  $\hat{f}(z) = f(-, z)$ .

To show that the map  $\hat{f}$  is correct, i.e.,  $\hat{f}(z)$  is a  $V$ -functor for every  $z \in Z$ , notice that given  $x_1, x_2 \in X$ , it follows that  $b(\hat{f}(z)(x_1), \hat{f}(z)(x_2)) = b(f(x_1, z), f(x_2, z)) \geq (f \text{ is a } V\text{-functor}) \geq (a \otimes c)((x_1, z), (x_2, z)) = a(x_1, x_2) \otimes c(z, z) \geq ((Z, c) \text{ is a } V\text{-category}) \geq a(x_1, x_2) \otimes k = a(x_1, x_2)$ .

To show that the map  $\hat{f}$  is a  $V$ -functor, one could observe that given  $z_1, z_2 \in Z$ , it follows that  $[\hat{f}(z_1), \hat{f}(z_2)] = (\text{Definition 23(1)}) = \bigwedge_{x \in X} b(\hat{f}(z_1)(x), \hat{f}(z_2)(x)) = \bigwedge_{x \in X} b(f(x, z_1), f(x, z_2)) \geq (f \text{ is a } V\text{-functor}) \geq \bigwedge_{x \in X} (a \otimes c)((x, x), (z_1, z_2)) \geq \bigwedge_{x \in X} (a(x, x) \otimes c(z_1, z_2)) \geq ((X, a) \text{ is a } V\text{-category}) \geq \bigwedge_{x \in X} (k \otimes c(z_1, z_2)) = \bigwedge_{x \in X} c(z_1, z_2) \geq c(z_1, z_2)$ .

Lastly, it is easy to see that  $\hat{f}$  is the unique  $V$ -functor making the following triangle commute

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (X, a) \otimes (Z, c) & & \\ \downarrow \mathbf{1}_{(X,a)} \otimes \hat{f} & \searrow f & \\ (X, a) \otimes [(X, a), (Y, b)] & \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_{(Y,b)}} & (Y, b). \end{array}$$

□

### Example 30.

- (1) If  $V$  is the two-element unital quantale  $2 = (\{\perp, \top\}, \wedge, \top)$  (recall Lecture 1), then Theorem 29 confirms that the category **Prost** of preordered sets is cartesian closed (cf. Example 17(2)).
- (2) If  $V$  is the extended real half-line  $\mathbf{P}_+ = ([0, \infty]^{op}, +, 0)$  (recall Lecture 1), then Theorem 29 confirms that the category **QPMet** of quasi-pseudo-metric spaces (generalized metric spaces in the sense of F. W. Lawvere) is monoidal closed. Following Remark 25, notice that given  $\mathbf{P}_+$ -categories  $(X, a)$ ,  $(Y, b)$ , the monoidal product  $(X, a) \otimes (Y, b)$  is *not* the product  $\mathbf{P}_+$ -category  $(X, a) \times (Y, b)$ , since  $V$ -category structure on  $(X, a) \otimes (Y, b)$  is given by the “+ -metric” (cf. Example 26), whereas  $V$ -category structure on  $(X, a) \times (Y, b)$  is given by  $c((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) = \max\{a(x_1, x_2), b(y_1, y_2)\}$ . ■

### 2.2. Dual $V$ -categories and their induced functor

**Definition 31.** Given a  $V$ -category  $(X, a)$ , define a  $V$ -relation  $a^\circ$  on  $X$  by  $a^\circ(x, y) = a(y, x)$ . ■

**Proposition 32.** Given a  $V$ -category  $(X, a)$  over a commutative unital quantale  $V$ ,  $(X, a^\circ)$  is a  $V$ -category.

PROOF. First, given  $x \in X$ , it follows that  $a^\circ(x, x) = a(x, x) \geq ((X, a) \text{ is a } V\text{-category}) \geq k$ . Second, given  $x, y, z \in X$ , it follows that  $a^\circ(x, y) \otimes a^\circ(y, z) = a(y, x) \otimes a(z, y) = (V \text{ is commutative}) = a(z, y) \otimes a(y, x) \leq ((X, a) \text{ is a } V\text{-category}) \leq a(z, x) = a^\circ(x, z)$ , i.e.,  $a^\circ(x, y) \otimes a^\circ(y, z) \leq a^\circ(x, z)$ . □

**Remark 33.** Given a  $V$ -category  $(X, a)$  over a commutative unital quantale  $V$ ,  $(X, a)^{op} = (X, a^\circ)$  is called the *dual*  $V$ -category of  $(X, a)$ . ■

**Proposition 34.** Given a commutative unital quantale  $V$ , there exists a functor  $V\text{-Cat} \xrightarrow{(-)^{op}} V\text{-Cat}$  defined by  $((X, a) \xrightarrow{f} (Y, b)) = (X, a^\circ) \xrightarrow{f} (Y, b^\circ)$ .

PROOF. Given a  $V$ -functor  $(X, a) \xrightarrow{f} (Y, b)$ , it is enough to show that  $(X, a^\circ) \xrightarrow{f} (Y, b^\circ)$  is a  $V$ -functor. Given  $x_1, x_2 \in X$ ,  $a^\circ(x_1, x_2) = a(x_2, x_1) \leq (f \text{ is a } V\text{-functor}) \leq b(f(x_2), f(x_1)) = b^\circ(f(x_1), f(x_2))$ . □



### 2.3. Unital quantale $V$ as a $V$ -category

**Proposition 35.** *Given a unital quantale  $V$ , the pair  $(V, -\bullet)$  is a  $V$ -category.*

PROOF. Recall that Example 17 (7) defined the map  $V \xrightarrow{(-)\bullet(-)} V$  by  $a -\bullet b = \bigvee \{c \in V \mid a \otimes c \leq b\}$ , which is a  $V$ -relation  $V \xrightarrow{(-)\bullet(-)} V$ . We show the two required properties of a  $V$ -category (see Lecture 1).

- (1) Given  $v \in V$ ,  $v \otimes k = v \leq v$  implies  $k \leq v -\bullet v$ .
- (2) Given  $u, v, w \in V$ ,  $u -\bullet v \leq u -\bullet v$  and  $v -\bullet w \leq v -\bullet w$  imply  $u \otimes (u -\bullet v) \leq v$  and  $v \otimes (v -\bullet w) \leq w$  imply  $u \otimes (u -\bullet v) \otimes (v -\bullet w) \leq v \otimes (v -\bullet w) \leq w$  implies  $(u -\bullet v) \otimes (v -\bullet w) \leq u -\bullet w$ .  $\square$

**Example 36.**

- (1) If  $V$  is the two-element unital quantale  $2 = (\{\perp, \top\}, \wedge, \top)$  (recall Lecture 1), then  $(-) -\bullet (-)$  is the partial order of  $2$ , i.e., for every  $u, v \in 2$ , it follows that  $u -\bullet v = \top$  iff  $u \leq v$ .
- (2) If  $V$  is the extended real half-line  $\mathbb{P}_+ = ([0, \infty]^{op}, +, 0)$  (recall Lecture 1), then  $(-) -\bullet (-)$  is the truncated difference, i.e., for every  $u, v \in \mathbb{P}_+$ ,

$$u -\bullet v = \inf\{w \in [0, \infty] \mid v \leq u + w\} = \begin{cases} v - u, & u \leq v < \infty \\ 0, & v \leq u \\ \infty, & u < v = \infty. \end{cases}$$

■

### 2.4. The category $V\text{-Mod}$

#### 2.4.1. Ordered categories and quantaloids

**Definition 37.** A category  $\mathbf{C}$  is called *preordered* provided that every its hom-set  $\mathbf{C}(A, B)$  is a preordered set, and the composition of morphisms is monotone in both variables, i.e., given  $\mathbf{C}$ -morphisms

$A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow[g_2]{g_1} C \xrightarrow{h} D$ , if  $g_1 \leq g_2$ , then  $h \cdot g_1 \leq h \cdot g_2$  and  $g_1 \cdot f \leq g_2 \cdot f$ . Moreover, if the preorder on the hom-sets of  $\mathbf{C}$  is a partial order, then the category  $\mathbf{C}$  is called *partially ordered*. ■

**Remark 38.** The condition on composition of morphism in a preordered category  $\mathbf{C}$  of Definition 37 is equivalent to the following: given  $\mathbf{C}$ -morphisms  $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow[g_2]{g_1} C \xrightarrow{h} D$ , if  $g_1 \leq g_2$ , then  $h \cdot g_1 \cdot f \leq h \cdot g_2 \cdot f$ . ■

**Example 39.**

- (1) **Prost** is a preordered category, where  $\mathbf{Prost}((X, \leq_X), (Y, \leq_Y))$  is equipped with a pointwise order.
- (2) **Sup** is a partially ordered category.
- (3) The category  $V\text{-Rel}$  of sets (as objects) and  $V$ -relations (as morphisms) is partially ordered by pointwise

evaluation of  $V$ -relations (for  $V$ -relations  $X \xrightarrow[s]{r} Y$ ,  $r \leq s$  iff  $r(x, y) \leq s(x, y)$  for every  $x \in X, y \in Y$ ).

- (4)  $V\text{-Cat}$  is a partially ordered category with the partial order inherited from the category  $V\text{-Rel}$ .
- (5) Every category is partially ordered if equipped with the partial order given by equality. ■

**Remark 40.**

- (1) Given a preordered category  $\mathbf{C}$ , its dual category  $\mathbf{C}^{op}$  is also preordered.
- (2) For every preordered category  $\mathbf{C}$ , there exists the *conjugate* preordered category  $\mathbf{C}^{co}$ , which has the same morphisms but employs the dual preorder on hom-sets, i.e.,  $\mathbf{C}^{co}(A, B) = (\mathbf{C}(A, B), \geq) = (\mathbf{C}(A, B))^{op}$ . Moreover, it is easy to verify that  $\mathbf{C}^{op\,co} = \mathbf{C}^{co\,op}$ . ■

**Definition 41.** A morphism  $A \xrightarrow{f} B$  of a preordered category  $\mathbf{C}$  is said to be a *map* provided that there exists a  $\mathbf{C}$ -morphism  $B \xrightarrow{g} A$  such that  $1_A \leq g \cdot f$  and  $f \cdot g \leq 1_B$ . One uses the notation  $f \dashv g$ , where  $f$  is the *left adjoint* and  $g$  is the *right adjoint* of the *adjunction*. ■

**Remark 42.**

- (1) The terminology of Definition 41 is motivated by the category  $\mathbf{Rel}$ , where a relation  $X \xrightarrow{r} Y$  is a map in  $\mathbf{Rel}$  exactly when it is the graph of a morphism  $X \xrightarrow{r} Y$  in  $\mathbf{Set}$ . The existence of a relation  $Y \xrightarrow{s} X$  such that  $1_X \leq s \cdot r$  means that for every  $x \in X$ , there exists  $y \in Y$  such that  $xry$  and  $ysx$ ; and  $r \cdot s \leq 1_Y$  means that for every  $x \in X$  and every  $y_1, y_2 \in Y$ , if  $y_1sx$  and  $xry_2$ , then  $y_1 = y_2$ . One can thus define a unique map  $X \xrightarrow{r} Y$  in  $\mathbf{Set}$  by  $r(x) = y$  iff  $xry$ . One can also check that  $s = r^\circ$ .
- (2) In every preordered category  $\mathbf{C}$ , a right adjoint  $g$  of a map  $f$  as in Definition 41 is uniquely determined up to “ $\cong$ ”, i.e., if both  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  are right adjoints of  $f$ , then  $g_1 \leq g_2$  and  $g_2 \leq g_1$ . Moreover, in a partially ordered category  $\mathbf{C}$ , a right adjoint  $g$  to a map  $f$  is determined uniquely. ■

**Definition 43.** A category  $\mathbf{C}$  is said to be a *quantaloid* provided that every its hom-set  $\mathbf{C}(A, B)$  is a  $\vee$ -semilattice, and the composition of morphisms is  $\vee$ -preserving in both variables, i.e., given  $\mathbf{C}$ -morphisms  $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g_i} C \xrightarrow{h} D$  with  $i \in I$ , it follows that  $h \cdot (\bigvee_{i \in I} g_i) = \bigvee_{i \in I} (h \cdot g_i)$  and  $(\bigvee_{i \in I} g_i) \cdot f = \bigvee_{i \in I} (g_i \cdot f)$ . ■

**Remark 44.** Every quantaloid is a partially ordered category. ■

**Example 45.**

- (1) The categories  $\mathbf{Sup}$  and  $V\text{-Rel}$  are quantaloids.
- (2) A category  $\mathbf{C}$  partially ordered by equality is a quantaloid iff its hom-sets have at most one element.
- (3) Unital quantales are precisely the quantaloids with one object.
- (4) Given a quantaloid  $\mathbf{C}$ , the dual category  $\mathbf{C}^{op}$  is a quantaloid, but the conjugate category  $\mathbf{C}^{co}$  is generally not a quantaloid, since composition of morphisms will generally preserve  $\wedge$  and not  $\vee$ . ■

**Definition 46.** A *homomorphism of quantaloids*  $\mathbf{C} \xrightarrow{F} \mathbf{D}$  is a functor which preserves  $\vee$  on hom-sets, i.e., given  $\mathbf{C}$ -morphisms  $A \xrightarrow{f_i} B$  for  $i \in I$ , it follows that  $F(\bigvee_{i \in I} f_i) = \bigvee_{i \in I} Ff_i$ . ■

**Example 47.** Every homomorphism of unital quantales provides a homomorphism of quantaloids. ■

**Definition 48.** A quantaloid  $\mathbf{C}$  is said to be *involution* provided that it comes equipped with a quantaloid homomorphism  $\mathbf{C}^{op} \xrightarrow{(-)^\circ} \mathbf{C}$  (called *involution*) such that  $C^\circ = C$  for every  $\mathbf{C}$ -object  $C$  and  $(f^\circ)^\circ = f$  for every  $\mathbf{C}$ -morphism  $A \xrightarrow{f} B$ . In particular, given  $\mathbf{C}$ -morphisms  $A \xrightarrow{f_i} B$  for  $i \in I$ ,  $(\bigvee_{i \in I} f_i)^\circ = \bigvee_{i \in I} f_i^\circ$ . ■

#### 2.4.2. $V$ -modules

**Definition 49.** Given  $V$ -categories  $(X, a)$ ,  $(Y, b)$  over a unital quantale  $V$ , a  $V$ -relation  $X \xrightarrow{r} Y$  is called  *$V$ -module* (also  *$V$ -bimodule*,  *$V$ -profunctor*, or  *$V$ -distributor*) provided that  $r \cdot a \leq r$  and  $b \cdot r \leq r$ . One denotes a  $V$ -module between  $V$ -categories  $(X, a)$  and  $(Y, b)$  by  $(X, a) \xrightarrow{r} (Y, b)$ . ■

**Proposition 50.** For a  $V$ -relation  $X \xrightarrow{r} Y$  between  $V$ -categories  $(X, a)$  and  $(Y, b)$  equivalent are:

- (1)  $r$  is a  $V$ -module;
- (2)  $r \cdot a = r$  and  $b \cdot r = r$ ;
- (3)  $b \cdot r \cdot a = r$ .

PROOF. For (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2), it will be enough to verify that given a  $V$ -module  $(X, a) \xrightarrow{r} (Y, b)$ , it follows that  $r \leq r \cdot a$  and  $r \leq b \cdot r$ . For the former, notice that given  $x \in X$  and  $y \in Y$ , one gets that  $(r \cdot a)(x, y) = \bigvee_{x' \in X} a(x, x') \otimes r(x', y) \geq a(x, x) \otimes r(x, y) \geq ((X, a) \text{ is a } V\text{-category}) \geq k \otimes r(x, y) = r(x, y)$ ; and for the latter, observe that given  $x \in X$  and  $y \in Y$ , one obtains that  $(b \cdot r)(x, y) = \bigvee_{y' \in Y} r(x, y') \otimes b(y', y) \geq r(x, y) \otimes b(y, y) \geq ((Y, b) \text{ is a } V\text{-category}) \geq r(x, y) \otimes k = r(x, y)$ .

For (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3), notice that  $b \cdot r \cdot a = b \cdot (r \cdot a) = b \cdot r = r$ .

For (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1), observe that, first,  $r \cdot a = 1_Y \cdot r \cdot a \leq ((Y, b) \text{ is a } V\text{-category}) \leq b \cdot r \cdot a = r$  and, second,  $b \cdot r = b \cdot r \cdot 1_X \leq ((X, a) \text{ is a } V\text{-category}) \leq b \cdot r \cdot a = r$ .  $\square$

**Example 51.** If  $V = 2$ , then 2-modules are precisely the classical *modules* between preordered sets, i.e., relations  $X \xrightarrow{r} Y$  (where  $(X, \leq_X)$ ,  $(Y, \leq_Y)$  are preordered sets) such that  $(\leq_Y) \cdot r \cdot (\leq_X) \leq r$ . The latter condition means that for every  $x_1, x_2 \in X$ ,  $y_1, y_2 \in Y$ ,  $x_2 \leq_X x_1$  and  $x_1 r y_1$  and  $y_1 \leq_Y y_2$  together imply  $x_2 r y_2$ , i.e., the map  $X^{op} \times Y \xrightarrow{r} 2$  is monotone, where  $X^{op} \times Y$  is given the component-wise preorder.  $\blacksquare$

**Proposition 52.**

- (1) If  $(X, a) \xrightarrow{r} (Y, b)$ ,  $(Y, b) \xrightarrow{s} (Z, c)$  are  $V$ -modules, then  $X \xrightarrow{s \cdot r} Z$  is a  $V$ -module.
- (2) Given a  $V$ -category  $(X, a)$ ,  $X \xrightarrow{a} X$  is a  $V$ -module.

PROOF.

- (1) In view of Proposition 50,  $c \cdot s \cdot r \cdot b = (c \cdot s) \cdot (r \cdot b) = (\text{both } r \text{ and } s \text{ are } V\text{-modules}) = s \cdot r$ .
- (2) First,  $a \cdot a \leq a$  ( $(X, a)$  is a  $V$ -category), and, second,  $a = a \cdot 1_X \leq ((X, a) \text{ is a } V\text{-category}) \leq a \cdot a$ . As a consequence, it follows that  $a \cdot a = a$ .  $\square$

**Remark 53.**

- (1) In view of Proposition 50 (2) and Proposition 52, there exists the category  $V\text{-Mod}$  of  $V$ -categories (as objects) and  $V$ -modules (as morphisms), where given a  $V$ -category  $(X, a)$ , it follows that  $V$ -relation  $X \xrightarrow{a} X$  provides the identity morphism on  $(X, a)$ .
- (2) The category  $V\text{-Mod}$  is a partially ordered category with the partial order on hom-sets inherited from the partially ordered category  $V\text{-Rel}$ . Moreover, the category  $V\text{-Mod}$  is a quantaloid with  $\bigvee$  in hom-sets formed by pointwise evaluation precisely as in the category  $V\text{-Rel}$ .  $\blacksquare$

**Remark 54.** Recall from Lecture 1 that for a unital quantale  $V$ , there is a functor  $\mathbf{Set} \xrightarrow{(-)_\circ} V\text{-Rel}$ , which is a non-full embedding if  $V$  has at least two elements. There also exists a functor  $\mathbf{Set}^{op} \xrightarrow{(-)_\circ} V\text{-Rel}$ .  $\blacksquare$

**Lemma 55.** Given a  $V$ -functor  $(X, a) \xrightarrow{f} (Y, b)$  over a unital quantale  $V$ , it follows that  $a \cdot f^\circ \leq f^\circ \cdot b$ .

PROOF. Since  $f$  is a  $V$ -functor, it follows that  $f \cdot a \leq b \cdot f$ . Recall from Lecture 1 that  $1_X \leq f^\circ \cdot f$  and  $f \cdot f^\circ \leq 1_Y$  for every map  $X \xrightarrow{f} Y$ . Thus,  $a \leq f^\circ \cdot f \cdot a \leq f^\circ \cdot b \cdot f$  implies  $a \cdot f^\circ \leq f^\circ \cdot b \cdot f \cdot f^\circ \leq f^\circ \cdot b$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 56.**

- (1) There exists a functor  $V\text{-Cat} \xrightarrow{(-)_*} V\text{-Mod}$  defined by  $((X, a) \xrightarrow{f} (Y, b))_* = (X, a) \xrightarrow{f_*} (Y, b)$ , where  $f_* = b \cdot f$ , i.e.,  $f_*(x, y) = b(f(x), y)$  for every  $x \in X$  and every  $y \in Y$ .
- (2) There exists a functor  $(V\text{-Cat})^{op} \xrightarrow{(-)^*} V\text{-Mod}$  defined by  $((X, a) \xrightarrow{f} (Y, b))^* = (Y, b) \xrightarrow{f^*} (X, a)$ , where  $f^* = f^\circ \cdot b$ , i.e.,  $f^*(y, x) = b(y, f(x))$  for every  $x \in X$  and every  $y \in Y$ .

PROOF.

- (1) To show that  $f_*$  is a  $V$ -module, consider Definition 49:  $f_* \cdot a = b \cdot f \cdot a \leq (f \text{ is a } V\text{-functor}) \leq b \cdot b \cdot f \leq ((Y, b) \text{ is a } V\text{-category}) \leq b \cdot f = f_*$  and  $b \cdot f_* = b \cdot b \cdot f \leq ((Y, b) \text{ is a } V\text{-category}) \leq b \cdot f = f_*$ .  
 To show that  $(-)_*$  preserves composition of morphisms, notice that given  $V$ -functors  $(X, a) \xrightarrow{f} (Y, b)$ ,  $(Y, b) \xrightarrow{g} (Z, c)$ , it follows that  $(g \cdot f)_* = c \cdot g \cdot f = c \cdot g \cdot 1_Y \cdot f \leq ((Y, b) \text{ is a } V\text{-category}) \leq c \cdot g \cdot b \cdot f = g_* \cdot f_*$ . Moreover,  $g_* \cdot f_* = c \cdot g \cdot b \cdot f \leq (g \text{ is } V\text{-functor}) \leq c \cdot c \cdot g \cdot f \leq ((Z, c) \text{ is a } V\text{-category}) \leq c \cdot g \cdot f = (g \cdot f)_*$ .  
 To show that  $(-)_*$  preserves identities, notice that given a  $V$ -category  $(X, a)$ ,  $(1_X)_* = a \cdot 1_X = a$ .
- (2) To show that  $f^*$  is a  $V$ -module, consider Definition 49:  $f^* \cdot b = f^\circ \cdot b \cdot b \leq ((Y, b) \text{ is a } V\text{-category}) \leq f^\circ \cdot b = f^*$  and  $a \cdot f^* = a \cdot f^\circ \cdot b \leq (\text{Lemma 55 for } f) \leq f^\circ \cdot b \cdot b \leq ((Y, b) \text{ is a } V\text{-category}) \leq f^\circ \cdot b = f^*$ .  
 To show that  $(-)^*$  preserves composition of morphisms, notice that given  $V$ -functors  $(X, a) \xrightarrow{f} (Y, b)$ ,  $(Y, b) \xrightarrow{g} (Z, c)$ , it follows that  $(g \cdot f)^* = (g \cdot f)^\circ \cdot c = f^\circ \cdot g^\circ \cdot c = f^\circ \cdot 1_Y \cdot g^\circ \cdot c \leq ((Y, b) \text{ is a } V\text{-category}) \leq f^\circ \cdot b \cdot g^\circ \cdot c = f^* \cdot g^*$ . Moreover,  $f^* \cdot g^* = f^\circ \cdot b \cdot g^\circ \cdot c \leq (\text{Lemma 55 for } g) \leq f^\circ \cdot g^\circ \cdot c \cdot c \leq ((Z, c) \text{ is a } V\text{-category}) \leq f^\circ \cdot g^\circ \cdot c = (g \cdot f)^\circ \cdot c = (g \cdot f)^*$ .  
 To show that  $(-)^*$  preserves identities, one should observe that given a  $V$ -category  $(X, a)$ , it follows that  $(1_X)^* = (1_X)^\circ \cdot a = 1_X \cdot a = a$ .  $\square$

**Remark 57.**

- (1) The functors of Proposition 56 provide a structured version of the functors of Remark 54, i.e.,  $\mathbf{Set} \xrightarrow{(-)^\circ} V\text{-Rel} \xleftarrow{(-)^\circ} \mathbf{Set}^{op}$  is replaced with  $V\text{-Cat} \xrightarrow{(-)_*} V\text{-Mod} \xleftarrow{(-)^*} (V\text{-Cat})^{op}$ .
- (2) In case the quantale  $V$  has at least two elements, unlike the functor  $\mathbf{Set} \xrightarrow{(-)^\circ} V\text{-Rel}$ , the functor  $V\text{-Cat} \xrightarrow{(-)_*} V\text{-Mod}$  is not faithful. Consider, e.g., a  $V$ -category  $(\mathbb{R}, \underline{k})$ , where  $\underline{k}(x, y) = k$  for every real numbers  $x, y$ . Then every map  $\mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{R}$  provides a  $V$ -functor  $(\mathbb{R}, \underline{k}) \xrightarrow{f} (\mathbb{R}, \underline{k})$ . However,  $f_* = \underline{k} \cdot f$  implies  $f_*(x, y) = \underline{k}(f(x), y) = k$  for every  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ , which then gives  $f_* = \underline{k} = 1_{(\mathbb{R}, \underline{k})}$ .  $\blacksquare$

**Proposition 58.** *Given a  $V$ -functor  $(X, a) \xrightarrow{f} (Y, b)$ , it follows that  $f_* \cdot f^* \leq (1_Y)^*$  and  $(1_X)^* \leq f^* \cdot f_*$ .*

PROOF. First,  $f_* \cdot f^* = b \cdot f \cdot f^\circ \cdot b \leq (\text{since } f \cdot f^\circ \leq 1_Y) \leq b \cdot b \leq ((Y, b) \text{ is a } V\text{-category}) \leq b = 1_Y \cdot b = (1_Y)^\circ \cdot b = (1_Y)^*$ . Second,  $f^* \cdot f_* = f^\circ \cdot b \cdot b \cdot f \geq (f \text{ is a } V\text{-functor}) \geq a \cdot f^\circ \cdot f \cdot a \geq (\text{since } f^\circ \cdot f \geq 1_X) \geq a \cdot a = (\text{Proposition 52 (2)}) = a = 1_X \cdot a = (1_X)^\circ \cdot a = (1_X)^*$ .  $\square$

**Remark 59.**

- (1) Proposition 58 provides a structured version of the adjunction  $f_\circ \dashv f^\circ$  valid in  $V\text{-Rel}$  for every map  $X \xrightarrow{f} Y$ , in the form of  $f_* \dashv f^*$  valid in  $V\text{-Mod}$  for every  $V$ -functor  $(X, a) \xrightarrow{f} (Y, b)$ .
- (2)  $(1_Y)^*$  and  $(1_X)^*$  in Proposition 58 can be replaced with  $(1_Y)_*$  and  $(1_X)_*$ .  $\blacksquare$

**Proposition 60.** *Given the dual  $V$ -category functor  $V\text{-Cat} \xrightarrow{(-)^{op}} V\text{-Cat}$ , it follows that  $(f^{op})_* = (f^*)^\circ$  and  $(f^{op})^* = (f_*)^\circ$  for every  $V$ -functor  $(X, a) \xrightarrow{f} (Y, b)$ .*

PROOF. Given a  $V$ -functor  $(X, a) \xrightarrow{f} (Y, b)$ , since  $((X, a) \xrightarrow{f} (Y, b))^{op} = (X, a^\circ) \xrightarrow{f} (Y, b^\circ)$  by Proposition 34, it follows that  $(f^{op})_* = b^\circ \cdot f = (f^\circ \cdot b)^\circ = (f^*)^\circ$  and  $(f^{op})^* = f^\circ \cdot b^\circ = (b \cdot f)^\circ = (f_*)^\circ$ .  $\square$

## References

- [1] F. Borceux, *Handbook of Categorical Algebra. Volume 2: Categories and Structures*, Cambridge University Press, 1994.
- [2] M. M. Clementino and D. Hofmann, *Exponentiation in  $V$ -categories*, *Topology Appl.* **153** (2006), no. 16, 3113–3128.
- [3] P. Eklund, J. Gutiérrez García, U. Höhle, and J. Kortelainen, *Semigroups in Complete Lattices. Quantales, Modules and Related Topics*, vol. 54, Cham: Springer, 2018.
- [4] D. Hofmann, G. J. Seal, and W. Tholen (eds.), *Monoidal Topology: A Categorical Approach to Order, Metric and Topology*, Cambridge University Press, 2014.
- [5] G. M. Kelly, *Basic Concepts of Enriched Category Theory*, *Repr. Theory Appl. Categ.* **2005** (2005), no. 10, 1–136.
- [6] F. W. Lawvere, *Metric spaces, generalized logic and closed categories*, *Repr. Theory Appl. Categ.* **1** (2002), 1–37.
- [7] S. Mac Lane, *Categories for the Working Mathematician*, 2nd ed., Springer-Verlag, 1998.
- [8] K. I. Rosenthal, *Quantales and Their Applications*, Addison Wesley Longman, 1990.
- [9] K. I. Rosenthal, *The Theory of Quantaloids*, Addison Wesley Longman, 1996.