



"Populační ekologie živočichů"

Stano Pekár

Spatial ecology - describes changes in spatial pattern over time
processes - colonisation / immigration and local extinction / emigration

 local populations are subject to continuous colonisation and extinction

wildlife populations are fragmented

Metapopulation - a population consisting of many local populations (sub-populations) connected by migrating individuals with discrete breeding opportunities (not patchy populations)

Distribution of individuals

- population density changes also in space
- for migratory animals (salmon) seasonal movement is the dominant cause of population change
- movement of individuals between patches can be density-dependent
- distribution of individuals have three basic models:



most populations in nature are aggregated (clumped)

Regular distribution

described by hypothetical uniform distribution

$$P(x) = \frac{1}{n}$$

n.. is number of samples*x*.. is category of counts (0, 1, 2, 3, 4, ...)

- all categories have similar probability
- mean: $\mu = \frac{1}{2}(n+1)$

• variance:
$$\sigma^2 = \frac{1}{12}(n^2 - 1)$$

for regular distribution:

$$\mu > \sigma^2$$

Random distribution

described by hypothetical Poisson distribution

$$P(x) = \frac{\mu^x e^{-\mu}}{x!}$$

- μ .. is expected value of individuals x.. is category of counts (0, 1, 2, 3, 4, ...)
 - probability of x individuals at a given area usually decreases with x
- observed and expected frequencies are compared using χ^2 statistics

for random distribution:

$$\mu = \sigma^2$$

Aggregated distribution

described by hypothetical negative binomial distribution

$$P(x) = \left(1 - \frac{\mu}{k}\right)^{-k} \frac{(k+x-1)!}{x!(k-1)!} \left(\frac{\mu}{\mu+k}\right)$$

- μ .. is expected value of individuals x.. is category of counts (0, 1, 2, 3, 4, ...)
- k ... is category of counts (0, 1, 2, 3, 4, ...)
- k... degree of clumping, the smaller $k (\rightarrow 0)$ the greater degree of clumping
- approximate value of k:

$$k \approx \frac{\mu^2}{\sigma^2 - \mu}$$

for aggregated:

 $\mu < \sigma^2$

Coefficient of dispersion (CD)

CD < 1 ... uniform distribution CD = 1 ... random distribution CD > 1 ... aggregated distribution

$$CD = \frac{s^2}{\overline{x}}$$

x



- **Geographic range** radius of space containing 95% of individuals
- individual makes blind random walk
- random walk of a population undergoes diffusion in space
- radial distance moved in a random walk

Elton 1958

is proportional to \sqrt{time}

- area occupied (radius²)

is proportional to time



Spread of muskart in Europe

Pure dispersal



 Difussion model - solved to **2**dimensional Gaussian distribution

 N_0 - initial density ρ .. radial distance from point of release (range)



D - diffusion coefficient (distance²/time)

Dispersal + population growth



Skellam's model
added exponential
population growth

r.. intrinsic rate of increase

 $N(\rho,t) = \frac{N_0}{4\pi Dt} \exp\left(rt - \frac{-\rho^2}{4Dt}\right)$

c - expansion rate [distance/time]

$$c = 2\sqrt{rD}$$

Skellam 1951

Metapopulation ecology

• Levins (1969) distinguished between dynamics of a single population and a set of local populations which interact via individuals moving among populations

Hanski (1997) developed the theory - suggested core-satellite model

the degree of isolation may vary depending on the distance among patches



• unlike growth models that focus on population size, metapopulation models concern persistence of a population - ignore fate of a single subpopulation and focus on fraction of sub-population sites occupied

Levin's model

p .. proportion of patches occupied*m* .. colonisation rate*e* .. extinction rate

$$\frac{dp}{dt} = mp(1-p) - ep$$

- assumptions
- sub-populations are identical in size, distance, resources, etc.
- extinction and colonisation are independent of p
- many patches are available
- m ... proportion of open sites colonised per unit time
- e ...proportion of sites that become unoccupied per unit time

Levin 1969

• equilibrium is found for dp/dt = 0

$$p^* = \frac{m-e}{m} = 1 - \frac{e}{m}$$

- sub-populations will persist $(p^* > 0)$ only if colonisation is larger than extinction

- all patches can be occupied only if e = 0



Example 13

In a field the abundance of spiders on leaves was studied. The following counts per leaf were made:

Plant	Counts
1	0, 0, 1, 5, 7
2	0, 1, 1, 4, 1
3	0, 0, 2, 0, 0
4	3, 1, 8, 1, 1
5	1, 2, 6, 3, 2

What is the distribution of spiders per leaf and per plant?
 If aggregated, what is the coefficient of dispersion (*CD*) and the degree of aggregation (*k*)?



```
spider<-c(0,0,1,5,7,0,1,1,4,1,0,0,2,3,0,0,1,6,1,1,1,2,6,3,2)
table(spider)
CD1<-var(spider)/mean(spider); CD1
k1<-mean(spider)^2/(var(spider)-mean(spider)); k1</pre>
```

```
plant<-c(rep(1,5),rep(2,5),rep(3,5),rep(4,5),rep(5,5))
a<-tapply(spider,plant,mean)
CD2<-var(a)/mean(a); CD2</pre>
```

Example 14

A dragonfly is spreading along a river. The spreading is anisotropic faster down the stream than up the stream. During 6 years the dragonfly has spread as follows:

Rok	Plocha [km2]	
	po proudu	proti proudu
0	0	0
1	3	0.2
2	7	0.5
3	13	1
4	17	1.4
5	26	1.8
6	30	2.2

- 1. Estimate D in both directions.
- 2. Estimate expansion rate in both directions if finite growth rate $\lambda = 1.4$.
- 2. Model the spread using Skellam's model.

```
year<-0:6
po<-c(0,3,7,13,17,26,30)
rho1<-sqrt(po)
plot(year,rho1)
m1<-lm(rho1~year-1)
abline(m1)
m1</pre>
```

```
pro<-c(0,0.2,0.5,1,1.4,1.8,2.2)
rho2<-sqrt(pro)
plot(year,rho2)
m2<-lm(rho2~year-1)
abline(m2)
m2</pre>
```

r<-0:50 y<-10*exp(0.34*1-r^2/(4*0.25*1))/(4*pi*0.25*1) plot(r,y,type="l") y<-10*exp(0.34*10-r^2/(4*0.25*10))/(4*pi*0.25*10);lines(r,y) y<-10*exp(0.34*20-r^2/(4*0.38*20))/(4*pi*0.38*20);lines(r,y) y<-10*exp(0.34*30-r^2/(4*0.38*30))/(4*pi*0.38*30);lines(r,y) y<-10*exp(0.34*40-r^2/(4*0.38*40))/(4*pi*0.38*40);lines(r,y) y<-10*exp(0.34*50-r^2/(4*0.38*50))/(4*pi*0.38*50);lines(r,y)</pre>

Example 15

A population of toads has been split into two sub-populations by a new highway. One has 100 and the other 10 individuals. The first one has exploited its resources so their finite rate of population increase (λ_1) is 0.8. The other has a lot of resources, therefore their $\lambda_2 = 1.2$. Is it necessary to built a corridor connecting populations? If so how large it should be in terms of the rate of exchange (*d*) between sub-populations.

1. Use discrete density-independent models to simulate fate of populations for 20 years that are completely isolated (d = 0).

2. Simulate the dynamics of the two sub-populations for 20 years with various levels of exchange, d = 0.1 to 1.

 $N_{1,t+1} = \lambda_1 N_{1,t} (1-d) + d\lambda_1 N_{2,t} \qquad N_{2,t+1} = \lambda_2 N_{2,t} (1-d) + d\lambda_2 N_{1,t}$

```
N12<-data.frame(N1<-numeric(1:20),N2<-numeric(1:20))
N12[,1]<-100
N12[,2]<-10</pre>
```

d=0

```
for(t in 1:20) N12[t+1,]<-{
N1<-0.8*((1-d)*N12[t,1]+0.8*d*N12[t,2])
N2<-1.2*((1-d)*N12[t,2]+1.2*d*N12[t,1])
c(N1,N2)}
matplot(N12, type="l",lty=1:2)
legend(1,200,c("N1","N2"),lty=1:2)</pre>
```

```
d=0.2
for(t in 1:20) N12[t+1,]<-{
N1<-0.8*((1-d)*N12[t,1]+0.8*d*N12[t,2])
N2<-1.2*((1-d)*N12[t,2]+1.2*d*N12[t,1])
c(N1,N2)}
matplot(N12, type="l",lty=1:2)
legend(1,150,c("N1","N2"),lty=1:2)</pre>
```

d=0.4

```
for(t in 1:20) N12[t+1,]<-{
N1<-0.8*((1-d)*N12[t,1]+0.8*d*N12[t,2])
N2<-1.2*((1-d)*N12[t,2]+1.2*d*N12[t,1])
c(N1,N2)}
matplot(N12, type="l",lty=1:2)
legend(15,100,c("N1","N2"),lty=1:2)</pre>
```