
HISTORY OF LIFE ON EARTH



Systematics and taxonomy

systematics, paleontology \rightarrow history of evolutionary changes

systematics = study of relationships between organisms
taxonomy = theory and practice of classification

category: class, order, family, species, ... taxon: Mammalia, Primates, Hominidae, *Homo sapiens*, ...

1. Before Linnaeus

honeybee = Apis pubescens, thorace subgriseo, abdomine fusco, pedibus posticis glabris utrinque margine ciliatis

[Bee with soft short hairs, gray chest, dark brown abdomen, legs with no hair, and small sacs with hair-like outgrowths along the edge]

Acaciae quodammodo accedens, Myrobalano chebulo Veslingii similis arbor Americana spinosa, foliis ceratoniae in pediculo geminatis, siliqua bivalvi compressa corniculata seu cochlearum vel arietinorum cornuum in modum incurvata, sive Unguis cati

[A spiny American tree, in some way resembling Acacia, similar to Vesling's *Myrobalanus chebulae*, with *Ceratonia* leaves in pairs on the pedicle, a silique with two valves, which is compressed, and horn-shaped or curved like the horns of snailshells or ram's horns, or like a cat's claws]

European bison = buffle, urus, bubalus, catoblepas, theur, the bubalus of Belon, Scottish bison

... Aristotle: bonasus \rightarrow the same?

2. Carolus Linnaeus:


1735 Systema Naturae

binomial nomenclature: genus + species

hierarchical classification:

kingdom, phylum, class, order, family, genus, species





Carolus Linnaeus

3. Darwin:

cladogenesis (branching) and anagenesis (change without branching) a system should reflect a real phylogeny \rightarrow but HOW?

Evolutionary systematics

before 1950: common ancestor + adaptive divergence

discussions if adaptive or neutral traits better

subjective and unclear criteria of choosing and weighing of traits ⇒ taxonomy in crisis (⇒ the word "taxonomy" itself replaced by "systematics")

controversy between splitters and lumpers



E. Mayr


Numerical taxonomy (phenetics)

1957: Charles Michener, Robert Sokal, P.H.A. Sneath

taxonomy should be based on a total similarity rather than on a small number of "important" traits

⇒as many traits as possible

numerical methods: morphological and genetic distances, ordination (PCA, DFA, CVA, MDS, ...), cluster analysis (UPGMA)





Phylogenetic systematics (cladistics)

1950, 1966: Willi Hennig: *Phylogenetic Systematics* only genealogies, not adaptive divergence strict monophyly monophyletic group = clade




W. Hennig






"Pongidae"




characters:


plesiomorphic (= ancestral, "primitive")
 symplesiomorphic (= shared ancestral)
apomorphic (= derived)
 synapomorphic (= shared derived)
 autapomorphic (= unique derived)









Definition of clades and classification of extinct taxa:






cladograms



PhyloCode (International Code of Phylogenetic Nomenclature) till now somewhat controversial and impractical

problems: homoplasy, rapid evolution





Rivet: the simplest structure \Rightarrow we suppose that it is the most similar to the common ancestor of all modern types of fasteners

We can define 7 derived character states (ie. those nonexistent in rivets):

1) notched heads, 2) rounded heads, 3) hex heads, 4) threaded shafts, 5) tapered shafts, 6) pointed tips, and 7) thick diameter.

Character states of all 4 types are listen in the following table where

",1" = apomorphic (derived) state

Head notch 0 0 1 0 Rounded head 0 0 1 0 Hex head 0 0 0 1 0 Threaded shaft 0 0 1 1 1
Hex head 0 0 0 1
Threaded shaft 0 0 1 1
Tapered shaft 0 0 1 0
Pointed tip 0 1 1 0
Thick diameter 0 0 1 1

Bolt

4

3

2

Character	Rivet	Nail	Screw	Bolt		P	henetic	Com	parison	
Head notch			1	0		(Total of all shared state			ed states)	ļ
Rounded head			1	0			Rivet	Nail	Screw]
Hex head			0	1	İ	Rivet	-	6	1	Γ
Threaded shaft			1	1		Nail			2	F
Tapered shaft			1	0				-	- 4	
Pointed tip			1	0		Screw			-	
Thick diameter			1	1		Bolt				Γ

If we use the phenetic approach we count the total number of shared states (both ancestral and derived).


For example rivet vs. nail: 6 similarities, 1 difference

Character	Rivet	Nail	Screw	Bolt	Cladistic Comparison (Total of derived states only)				
Head notch	0	0			(10	tal of de	rived s	tates only	y)
Rounded head	0	0		0		Rivet	Nail	Screw	Bolt
Hex head	0	0	0		Rivet	-	0	0	0
Threaded shaft	0	0			Nail			1	0
Tapered shaft	0	0		0		-		1	0
Pointed tip	0			0	Screw			-	2
Thick diameter	0	0			Bolt				-

If we use the cladistic approach we take into account only shared derived states.

For example. screw vs. bolt: 2 synapomorphies

	Phenetic Comparison (Total of all shared states)					Cladistic Comparison (Total of derived states only)					
	Rivet	Nail	Screw	Bolt			Rivet	Nail	Screw	Bolt	
Rivet	-	6	1	4		Rivet	-	0	0	0	
Nail		-	2	3		Nail		-	1	0	
Screw			-	2		Screw			-	2	
Bolt				-		Bolt				-	



Evolutionary systematics – a response

phylogenetic relationships + degree of divergence \Rightarrow combination of phenetic and cladistic approach

reflection of both clades and grades

An evolutionary grade is a group of similar species that has given rise to another group that differs markedly from the ancestral condition, and is thus not considered part of the ancestral group.


 \Rightarrow the ancestral group is then paraphyletic


eg. reptiles (without birds), fishes in a traditional sense




E. Mayr

HISTORY OF LIFE ON EARTH








All the major and many of the minor living branches of life are shown on this diagram, but only a few of those that have gone extinct are shown. Example: Dinosaurs - extinct


© 2008 Leonard Eisenberg. All rights reserved evogeneao.com


Precambrian



EON	ERA	PERIOD	MILLIONS OF YEARS AGO	KEY EVENTS		
		Quaternary		Humans evolve		
	Caenozoic	Tertiary	1.6			
	Mesozoic	Cretaceous	420	Extinction of Dinosaurs		
		Jurassic	138			
ioic		Triassic		Dinosaurs		
LOZ	Paleaozoic	Permian	240	Permian mass extinction		
Phanerozoic		Carboniferous	330			
1.00		Devonian		Invertebrates become common		
		Silurian	410			
		Ordovician				
		Cambrian	500			
Pre	oterozoic	Also known				
4	Archean	as	3500	Earliest life		
	Hadean	Precambrian				

Precambrian
















Phanerozoic




Cambrian explosion




Charles Doolittle Walcott (1909)















Simon Conway Morris






















Pikaia gracilens (Chordata)











Transition from sea to land?









diversity and disparity:

interpretation of Burgess fossils **Stephen Jay Gould vs. Simon Conway Morris** diversity = number of species disparity = number of "Bauplans"












Michael J. Benton (1997): curve for terrestrial organisms differs exponential model




Geological time



If we take into account incompleteness of the fossil record \rightarrow no trend?




Obr. 7.27: Růst globální diverzity: červená křivka popisuje růst počtu "rodů" na základě prvního a posledního výskytu ve fosilním záznamu, modrá křivka počet "rodů" po odstranění "tahu přítomnosti" (viz text).




Extinction:

background extinctions mass extinctions \rightarrow "Big Five" greatest: end of the Permian




Paleozoic




Cambrian:


single supercontinent Rodinia (Proterozoic) → Gondwana, Laurentia, Baltica, Angara (Siberia), Avalonia ...



Ordovician:

increase of diversity (marine organisms) the end: 1st mass extinction







Laurentia+Baltica = Laurasia

Silurian:

gnathostomes first terrestrial o. (plants, scorpions)








Devonian:

radiation of fishes, first sharks, lobe-finned fishes, amphibians the end: 2nd mass extinction

Edaphosaurus (Pelycosauria)






Carboniferous:

calamites, insects, first reptiles

Archaeothyris (Synapsida)






Permian:

Pangea Therapsida (→ mammals) the end: 3rd mass extinction




Mesozoic



Triassic:

butterflies, dipterans radiation of reptiles (tortoises, ichthyosars, plesiosaurs, pterosaurs) the end: dinosars, mammals, 4th extinction




Evolution of mammals

Sphenacodon: Lower Permian (270 M) – mandible from several bones, reptile-like articulation, no eardrum


Biarmosuchia: Upper Permian – one of the first therapsids, articulation already more mammal-like, knit upper jaw, hind legs more upright



Procynosuchus: end of Permian – primitive cynodont



Thrinaxodon: Lower Triassic – more derived cynodont, eardrum in the lower jaw




Probainognathus: Middle Triassic (~ 235 M) – 2 joints: mammalian+reptilian




Diarbrognathus: Lower Jurassic (~ 209 M) – advanced cynodont, although still 2 joints, but the reptilian one used almost entirely for hearing


Morganucodon: Lower Jurassic (~ 220 M) – still a residue of the reptilian joint *Hadrocodium*: Lower Jurassic – transition of the middle ear ossicles from the jaw to the cranium













Cretaceous:

angiosperms modern sharks and rays, mosasaurs, first snakes, birds

mammals: divergenc of marsupials and placentals





the end: 5th extinction, 66 M

 \rightarrow the cause??



Ichthyornis

mosasaurs

Extinkce na K/T* (K/Pg**) boundary:


^{*)} Cretaceous/Tertiary
 ^{**)} Cretaceous /Paleogene

1980 Louis Alvarez et al.:

catastrophic hypothesis – asteroid 10 km in diameter $10^9 \times$ more than Hiroshima





L. Alvarez





Extinction on the K/T (K/Pg) boundary:





Problems of the impact theory:

extinctions not that sudden for most animals, occurring before the impact

species have been disappearing in several phases from more thermophilic to less thermophilic

- the impact by ca. 300 ky older than the extinction (but it may have trigger tsunamies and earthquakes \Rightarrow mixing of layers)
- locality El Penon (Mexico): same species above and below the "impact" layer)

Alternative hypothesis:

gradual cooling caused by giant volcano erruptions on the Deccan Plateau in India

basalt layer 1200-1800 m thick, 100 000 km² \Rightarrow during 1 MY \rightarrow min. 1,5 mil. km³ of basalts

the origin of the plateau at the turn of the Cretaceous and Tertiary

Recent findings:

According to new dating the Deccan event appeared before the impact – problém: inaccurate dating of the Indian event

More precise dating: the Chicxulub crater corresponds with the extinction

 100 ky before the impact cooling by 6–8 °C, probably as a consequence of the Deccan catastrophe – the impact then as the "coup of grace"


Cyanobacteria as a result of the greenhouse effect?

Some theories: two consecutive impacts

Animations:

eg. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bU1QPtOZQZU

eon: Phanerozoic



Paleontological vs. molecular data

When have animal phyla and mammalian/bird orders emerged?

Cambrian explosion?

molecular data (Wray et al. 1996):

Protostomia-Deuterostomia ~ 1200 M Chordata-Echinodermata ~ 1000 M

"phylogenetic fuse"?


Paleontological vs. molecular data

When have animal phyla and mammalian/bird orders emerged?

Cambrian explosion?

recent molecular estimates closer to the Cambrian explosion:

```
Metazoa ~ 650 M (Peterson et al. 2004)
Protostomia-Deuterostomia ~ 582 M
(Aris-Brosou and Yang 2003)
```




Cambrian explosion?


fauna of Chengjiang (Chína) \sim 525 M




Yunnanozoon lividum



Haikouella lanceolata






Cambrian explosion?


fauna of Chengjiang (China) ~ 525 M

Doushantuo formation (S China), 590–560 M: many species





Dorsal fin -



Haikouichthys ercaicunensis 525 M


early embryonic stages?



Paleontological vs. molecular data When have animal phyla and mammalian/bird orders emerged?

recent groups of mammals and birds and the K/T boundary


cetacean evolution: mesonychids \rightarrow moving to water \rightarrow cetaceans



cetacean evolution



mesonychids $\sim 56~M$



Ambulocetus 50-49 M





Rodhocetus 47 M



Basilosaurus 40-34 M

Cetotherium 15 M



General principles

diversity: stock market analogy extinction: foot soldier model lifetime of lineages: gambler's bankruptcy model

David Raup, Jack Sepkoski: periodicity? (26 M)



D. Raup



J. J. Sepkoski

