# 1. Introduction

OH, a prominent flame emitter, absorber.
 Useful for T, X<sub>OH</sub> measurements.



#### G. H. DIEKE and H. M. CROSSWHITE

The vibrational structure of the system was gradually recognized.<sup>(2-4)</sup> It is given in Table 1 which lists the heads of all the bands found until now with an estimate of their intensities according to TANAKA and KOANA<sup>(4)</sup>. For the bands that are underlined, new measurements and a revision of the analysis are given in this report. For the other bands the references indicate where the most complete data can be found. More accurate data on the intensities of a number of bands are given further on in this report (Table 6).

V'' V'''	0	1	2	3	4
0	3064 (10)	3428 (7) <sup>1</sup>			
1	2811 (9)	3122 (9)	<u>3185</u> (6) <sup>1</sup>		
2	<u>2609</u> (4) <sup>4</sup>	2875 (9) <sup>3</sup>	<u>3185</u> (6) <sup>3, 5</sup>		
3	2444 (1) <sup>2, 3</sup>	2677 (5) <sup>2, 3</sup>	2945 (7) <sup>3</sup>	<u>3254</u> (4) <sup>3</sup>	
4		2517 (2) <sup>3</sup>	2753 (4) <sup>3</sup>	3022 (5) <sup>3</sup>	3331 (4) <sup>3</sup>

TABLE 1



Angular momentum energy (nuclei + electrons)  

$$E(n, v, J) = T_e(n) + G(v) + F(J)$$
elec. q. no.  $\downarrow$   $\uparrow$   $\downarrow$  Electronic  $\downarrow$  Vibrational  
ang. mom. q. no.  $\downarrow$  energy energy

Separation of terms: Born-Oppenheimer approximation

• 
$$G(v) = \omega_e(v + 1/2) - \omega_e x_e(v + 1/2)^2$$

• Sources of  $T_e$ ,  $\omega_e$ ,  $\omega_e x_e \Rightarrow$  Herzberg

• Overall system : 
$$A^{2}\Sigma^{+} \leftarrow X^{2}\Pi$$
  
 $A^{2}\Sigma^{+}$ 
 $T_{e}$ 
 $\omega_{e}$ 
 $\omega_{e}$ 
 $\omega_{e}x_{e}$ 
 $Y^{2}\Pi$ 
 $T_{e}$ 
 $\omega_{e}$ 
 $\omega_{e}$ 
 $\omega_{e}x_{e}$ 
 $\Omega_{0.0}$ 
 $M_{0.0}$ 
 $M_{0.0$ 

Let's first look at the upper state 
Hund's case b!

Hund's case b ( $\Lambda$ =0, S≠0) – more standard, especially for hydrides

#### Recall:

- $\Sigma$ ,  $\Omega$  not rigorously defined
- N = angular momentum without spin
- S = 1/2-integer values
- J = N+S, N+S-1, ..., |N-S|
- i = 1, 2, ...

 $F_i(N)$  = rotational term energy



*Now, specifically, for OH?* 

The upper state is A<sup>2</sup>Σ<sup>+</sup>

#### For OH:

- $\Lambda = 0, \therefore \Sigma$  not defined  $\Rightarrow$  use Hund's case b
- N = 0, 1, 2, ...
- S = 1/2
- J = N ± 1/2
- $F_1$  denotes J = N + 1/2

 $F_2$  denotes J = N - 1/2



Common to write either  $F_1(N)$  or  $F_1(J)$ 

The upper state: A<sup>2</sup>Σ<sup>+</sup>

• 
$$F_1(N) = B_v N(N+1) - D_v [N(N+1)]^2 + \gamma_v N$$
 for pure case b  

$$F_2(N) = B_v N(N+1) - D_v [N(N+1)]^2 - \gamma_v (N+1)$$
(splitting constant  $\gamma_v \approx 0.1$  cm<sup>-1</sup> for OH  $A^2 \Sigma^+$ )

•  $\therefore$  the spin-splitting is  $\gamma_v(2N+1) \Rightarrow$  function of v; increases with N



- Notes:
- Progression for  $A^2\Sigma^+$ 
  - "+" denotes positive "parity" for even N [wave function symmetry]
- Importance? Selection rules require parity change in transition



Note:

- 1. Rules less strong for hydrides
- 2. OH behaves like Hund's a @ low N like Hund's b @ large N

→ at large N,  $\vec{L}$  couples more to N,  $\Lambda$  is less defined, S decouples from A-axis

3. Result? OH X<sup>2</sup>Π is termed "intermediate case"

- The ground state: X<sup>2</sup>⊓
  - Notes:
    - 3. For "intermediate/transition cases"

$$F_{1}(N) = B_{\nu} \left\{ (N+1)^{2} - \Lambda^{2} - \frac{1}{2} \left[ 4(N+1)^{2} + Y_{\nu}(Y_{\nu}-4)\Lambda^{2} \right]^{1/2} \right\} - D_{\nu} \left[ N(N+1) \right]^{2}$$
$$F_{2}(N) = B_{\nu} \left\{ N^{2} - \Lambda^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left[ 4N^{2} + Y_{\nu}(Y_{\nu}-4)\Lambda^{2} \right]^{1/2} \right\} - D_{\nu} \left[ N(N+1) \right]^{2}$$

where  $Y_v \equiv A/B_v$  (< 0 for OH); A is effectively the moment of inertia Note:  $F_1(N) < F_2(N)$ 



Behaves like Hund's b, with small (declining) effect from spin  $F_1 \rightarrow B_v [(N+1)^2 - \Lambda^2 - (N+1)]$   $F_2 \rightarrow B_v [N^2 - \Lambda^2 + N]$  $P_1 - F_2 \rightarrow B_v [(N+1)^2 - N^2 - (2N+1)] \rightarrow 0$ 



 $T_e = T_0 + A\Lambda\Sigma$ For OH,  $A = -140 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  $T_e = T_0 + (-140)(1)(1/2), \Sigma = 1/2$ + (-140)(1)(-1/2),  $\Sigma = -1/2$ → ΔT<sub>e</sub> = <u>140</u> cm<sup>-1</sup> Not too far off the 130 cm<sup>-1</sup> spacing for minimum J Recall: Hund's case a has constant difference of  $2(A-B_y)$  for same J  $F(J) = BJ(J+1) + (A-B)\Omega^2$ (A–B)Ω<sup>2</sup> ≈ -158.5Ω<sup>2</sup> (A for OH~ -140, B ~ 18.5),  $\Omega = 3/2$ , 1/2  $\Omega$  = 3/2 state lower by 316 cm<sup>-1</sup> Actual spacing is only 188 cm<sup>-1</sup>, reflects that hydrides quickly go to Hund's case b







 $F_{ic} = F_i(J) + \delta_c J(J+1)$  $F_{id} = F_i(J) + \delta_d J(J+1)$ 

- F<sub>ic</sub>(J) F<sub>id</sub>(J) ≈ 0.04 cm<sup>-1</sup> for typical J in OH
- c and d have different parity (p)
- Splitting decreases with increasing N

Now let's proceed to draw transitions, but first let's give a primer on transition notation.

Transition notations

Full description:  $A^2\Sigma^+$  (v') $\leftarrow X^2\Pi$  (v")  $^{\vee}X_{\alpha\beta}(N" \text{ or } J")$ 

$$^{Y}X_{lphaeta}(N" \text{ or } J")$$

where  $Y - \Delta N$  (O, P, Q, R, S for  $\Delta N = -2$  to +2)  $X - \Delta J$  (P, Q, R for  $\Delta J = -1, 0, +1$ )  $\alpha = i$  in  $F_i$ '; i.e., 1 for  $F_1$ , 2 for  $F_2$  $\beta = i$  in  $F_i$ ''; i.e., 1 for  $F_1$ , 2 for  $F_2$ 

Notes:Strongest trans.1. Y suppressed when  $\Delta N = \Delta J$ e.g., R<sub>1</sub>(7) or R<sub>1</sub>72. β suppressed when  $\alpha = \beta$ 

3. Both N" and J" are used

Example:  ${}^{S}R_{21}$ :  $\Delta J = +1, \Delta N = +2$   $F' = F_2(N')$  $F'' = F_1(N'')$ 

- General selection rules
  - Parity must change  $+ \rightarrow \text{ or } \rightarrow +$
  - $\Delta J = 0, \pm 1$
  - No Q (J = 0) transitions,  $J = 0 \rightarrow J = 0$  not allowed

#### G. H. DIEKE and H. M. CROSSWHITE

$$O_{12}(K) = F_1(K-2) - f'_2(K) \qquad J-1 \to J$$
 (5a)

$$P_1(K) = F_1(K-1) - f_1(K) \qquad J-1 \to J$$
 (5b)

$$P_2(K) = F_2(K-1) - f_2(K) \qquad J-1 \to J$$
 (5c)

$$P_{12}(K) = F_1(K-1) - f_2(K) \qquad J \to J$$
 (5d)

$$Q_1(K) = F_1(K) - f'_1(K) \qquad J \to J$$
 (5e)

$$Q_{21}(K) = F_2(K) - f'_1(K) \qquad J - 1 \to J$$
 (5f)

$$Q_2(K) = F_2(K) - f_2(K) \qquad J \to J$$
 (5g)

$$Q_{12}(K) = F_1(K) - f_2(K) \qquad J+1 \to J$$
 (5h)

$$R_1(K) = F_1(K+1) - f_1(K) \qquad J+1 \to J$$
 (5i)

$$R_{21}(K) = F_2(K+1) - f_1(K) \qquad J \to J$$
 (5j)

$$R_2(K) = F_2(K+1) - f_2(K) \qquad J+1 \to J$$
 (5k)

$$S_{21}(K) = F_2(K+2) - f'_1(K) \qquad J+1 \to J$$
 (51)

The following remarks will make it easier to utilize the results of the earlier papers. In the previous publications often different notations were used. In the papers by HEURLINGER<sup>(9)</sup>, WATSON<sup>(10, 14)</sup>, FORTRAT<sup>(13)</sup>, DIEKE<sup>(2)</sup>, the numbering of the lines is K+1 of the final state and the indices 1 and 2 of the levels are interchanged, e.g.  $R_2(5)$  of the present paper was  $R_1(6)$ .

MULLIKEN<sup>(17)</sup> uses the notation for case (a), i.e. he numbers the lines, and names the branches by their J values. He indicates by a prefixed superscript the type of branch as follows:

Present paper  $O_{12} P_1 P_2 P_{12} Q_1 Q_{21} Q_2 Q_{12} R_1 R_{21} R_2 S_{21}$ Mulliken  $PPP P_1 P_2 PQ Q_1 Q_P Q_2 Q_B R_1 R_Q R_2 RRR$ 

The later papers usually use a notation similar to Mulliken's notation or the one adopted in the present paper.

#### Allowed transitions

Allowed rotational transitions from N"=13 in the  $A^2\Sigma^+ \leftarrow X^2\Pi$  system



- 12 bands possible (3 originating from each *lambda-doubled*, *spin-split* X state)
- <u>Main branches</u>:  $\alpha = \beta$ ; <u>Cross-branches</u>:  $\alpha \neq \beta$
- Cross-branches weaken as N increases

#### Allowed transitions

Allowed rotational transitions from N"=13 in the  $A^2\Sigma^+ \leftarrow X^2\Pi$  system



#### Notes:

- A given J" (or N") has 12 branches (6 are strong;  $\Delta J = \Delta N$ )
- +  $\leftrightarrow$  rule on parity
- $F_{1c}-F_{1d} \approx 0.04N(N+1)$  for OH  $\Rightarrow$  for N~10, A-doubling is ~ 4cm<sup>-1</sup>, giving clear separation
- If upper state has Λ-doubling, we get <u>twice</u> as many lines!

Allowed transitions

Allowed rotational transitions from N"=13 in the  $A^2\Sigma^+ \leftarrow X^2\Sigma^+$  system



• Note:

- 1. The effect of the parity selection rule in reducing the number of allowed main branches to 4
- 2. The simplification when  $\Lambda=0$  in lower state, i.e., no  $\Lambda$ -doubling

Absorption oscillator strength



 $\mathscr{I}$  Notes:  $q_{v''v'}$  and  $S_{J''J'}$  are normalized

• 
$$\sum_{v'} q_{v''v'} = 1$$
  
• 
$$\sum_{J'} S_{J''J'} = (2J''+1)\underbrace{(2S+1)\delta}_{g''_{el}=4 \text{ for } X^2\Pi}$$

this sum includes the S values for all states with J"

- Is  $S_{J''J'} = S_{J'J''}$ ?  $\Rightarrow$  Yes, for our normalization scheme!
  - From g<sub>1</sub>f<sub>12</sub> = g<sub>2</sub>f<sub>21</sub>, and recognizing that 2J+1 is the ultimate (non removable) degeneracy at the <u>state</u> level, we can write, for a specific transition between single states

$$(2J''+1) \cdot f_{el}'' \cdot q_{v'v'} \cdot \frac{S_{J''J'}}{2J''+1} = (2J'+1) \cdot f_{el}' \cdot q_{v'v''} \cdot \frac{S_{J'J''}}{2J'+1}$$

In this way, there are no remaining electronic degeneracy and we require, for detailed balance, that  $f_{el}$  "=  $f_{el}$ ',  $q_{v"v"} = q_{v'v"}$  and  $S_{J"J"} = S_{J'J"}$ 

- Do we always enforce  $\sum_{T'} S_{J''J'} = (2J''+1)$  for a state?  $\Rightarrow$  No!
  - But note we do enforce  $\sum_{J'} S_{J''J'} = (2J''+1)(2S+1)\delta$  (14.17) and  $\sum_{J''} S_{J''J'} = (2J'+1)(2S+1)\delta$  (14.19) where, for OH  $\Lambda^2 \Sigma \in X^{2} \Pi$  (2S+1) = 2 and  $\overline{\Sigma} = 2$

where, for OH  $A^2\Sigma \leftarrow X^2\Pi$ , (2S+1) = 2 and  $\delta$  = 2.

#### • When is there a problem?

• Everything is okay for  $\Sigma$ - $\Sigma$  and  $\Pi$ - $\Pi$ , where there are equal "elec. degeneracies", i,e.,  $g''_{el} = g'_{el}$ . But for  $\Sigma$ - $\Pi$  (as in OH), we have an issue. In the X<sup>2</sup> $\Pi$  state,  $g_{el} = 4$  (2 for spin and 2 for  $\Lambda$ -doubling), meaning each J is split into 4 <u>states</u>. Inspection of our H-L tables for  $S_{J''J'}$  for OH A<sup>2</sup> $\Sigma$  (absorption) confirms  $\Sigma S_{J''J'}$  from each state is 2J"+1. All is well. But, in the upper state, <sup>2</sup> $\Sigma$ , we have a degeneracy  $g'_{el}$  of 2 (for spin), not 4, and now we will find that the sum of  $\sum_{J''J''} S_{J'J''}$  is twice 2J'+1 for a single J' when we use the H-L values for  $S_{J''J'}$  for  $S_{J'J''}$ . However, as there are 2 states with J', the overall sum  $\sum_{T''} S_{J'J''} = (2J'+1)^4$  as required by (14.19)

Absorption oscillator strength for  $f_{00}$  in OH A<sup>2</sup> $\Sigma^+$ –X<sup>2</sup> $\Pi$ 

Source	f <sub>oo</sub>
Oldenberg, et al. (1938)	0.00095 ± 0.00014
Dyne (1958)	0.00054 ± 0.0001
Carrington (1959)	0.00107 ± 0.00043
Lapp (1961)	0.00100 ± 0.0006
Bennett, et al. (1963)	$0.00078 \pm 0.00008$
Golden, et al. (1963)	0.00071 ± 0.00011
Engleman, et al. (1973)	0.00096
Bennett, et al. (1964)	$0.0008 \pm 0.00008$
Anketell, et al. (1967)	0.00148 ± 0.00013

#### Absorption oscillator strength

Transition	S <sub>J"J'</sub> /(2J"+1)	ΣF <sub>1</sub> (J)	ΣF <sub>2</sub> (J)	$\Sigma[F_1(J)+F_2(J)]$	Transition	S <sub>J"J'</sub> /(2J"+1)	ΣF <sub>1</sub> (J)	ΣF <sub>2</sub> (J)	$\Sigma[F_1(J)+F_2(J)]$
Q <sub>12</sub> (0.5)	0.667	0	2	2	P <sub>1</sub> (3.5)	0.515	2	2	4
Q <sub>2</sub> (0.5)	0.667				P <sub>12</sub> (3.5)	0.056			
R <sub>12</sub> (0.5)	0.333				P <sub>21</sub> (3.5)	0.167			
R <sub>2</sub> (0.5)	0.333				P <sub>2</sub> (3.5)	0.405			
P <sub>1</sub> (1.5)	0.588	2	2	4	Q <sub>1</sub> (3.5)	0.790			
P <sub>12</sub> (1.5)	0.078				Q <sub>12</sub> (3.5)	0.195			
P <sub>21</sub> (1.5)	0.392				Q <sub>21</sub> (3.5)	0.170			
P <sub>2</sub> (1.5)	0.275				Q <sub>2</sub> (3.5)	0.814			
Q <sub>1</sub> (1.5)	0.562				R <sub>1</sub> (3.5)	0.316			
Q <sub>12</sub> (1.5)	0.372				R <sub>12</sub> (3.5)	0.131			
Q <sub>21</sub> (1.5)	0.246				R <sub>21</sub> (3.5)	0.044			
Q <sub>2</sub> (1.5)	0.678				R <sub>2</sub> (3.5)	0.402			
R₁(1.5)	0.165				P <sub>1</sub> (9.5)	0.511	2	2	4
R <sub>12</sub> (1.5)	0.235				P <sub>12</sub> (9.5)	0.016			
R <sub>21</sub> (1.5)	0.047				P <sub>21</sub> (9.5)	0.038			
R <sub>2</sub> (1.5)	0.353				P <sub>2</sub> (9.5)	0.488			
P <sub>1</sub> (2.5)	0.530	2	2	4	Q <sub>1</sub> (9.5)	0.947			
P <sub>12</sub> (2.5)	0.070				Q <sub>12</sub> (9.5)	0.050			
P <sub>21</sub> (2.5)	0.242				Q <sub>21</sub> (9.5)	0.048			
P <sub>2</sub> (2.5)	0.358				Q <sub>2</sub> (9.5)	0.950			
Q <sub>1</sub> (2.5)	0.708				R <sub>1</sub> (9.5)	0.441			
Q <sub>12</sub> (2.5)	0.263				R <sub>12</sub> (9.5)	0.035			
Q <sub>21</sub> (2.5)	0.214				R <sub>21</sub> (9.5)	0.014			
Q <sub>2</sub> (2.5)	0.757				R <sub>2</sub> (9.5)	0.462			
R <sub>1</sub> (2.5)	0.256								
R <sub>12</sub> (2.5)	0.173				Hönl-I on	don factors f	or colo	ctad O	H transitions
R <sub>21</sub> (2.5)	0.050						0 300		
$R_{0}(2.5)$	0 379								