



What's happened?



Do you agree with the hypothesis of blast effect? (Yes why? No why?)

No, because the bones are not destroyed that much.

i dont know, i dont thing the bones are charred

No, because the bones would be more far away from each other after the blast.

Probably yes because the bones are dislokated. But it can be caused by animal. So hard to say but i think yes.

No. I don't see a fire marks on them

No, I think there would be more fractures if this was a result of blast effect

I don't agree with blast effect. These bones are not so separate as after blast effect, but I am not sure

Hard to answer. But I disagree. If it was a blast effect, the body would be more disperse.