


Yesterday: $M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ submtd., $x \in M$.

$$\psi_x : T_x M \longrightarrow \text{Der}_x(C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R}), \mathbb{R})$$

Claim: ψ_x is a linear isomorphism.

Skip the rest of the proof (of Thm. 3.24); namely, we finish the proof that ψ_x is surjective.

$f \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$, chart around x (U, u) with $u(x) = 0$
and $B_1(0) \subseteq u(U)$.

We have seen that for $y \in U$ with $u(y) \in B_1(0)$ we have

$$f(y) = f(x) + \sum_i u^i(y) h_i(y) \quad h_i : u^{-1}(B_1(0)) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

By Cor. 2.32 we can extend h_i and u^i to smooth fct.s. on M without changing their locally around x : The function

$$f(x) + \sum_i u^i h_i \quad (*)$$

is also extended to fct. on M that coincides with f locally around x .

If $\partial \in \text{Der}_x(C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R}), \mathbb{R})$, then ^{by} Lemma 3.23:

$$\partial(f) = \partial\left(f(x) + \sum_i u^i h_i\right) = \sum_j \partial(u^j) \underbrace{h_j(x)}_{=0} + \underbrace{u^i(x)}_{=0} \partial(h_i)$$

$$= \sum_i \partial(u^i) \frac{\partial f}{\partial u^i}(x) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \partial = \partial_\xi \quad \xi = \sum \partial(u^i) \frac{\partial}{\partial u^i}(x) \quad \square$$

3.5 Tangent bundle (and tangent maps) of abstract manifolds

Suppose (M, \mathcal{A}) abstract mfd. of dim. k .

Then we define **the tangent space of M at x** as the vector space:

$$T_x M := \text{Der}_x(C^\infty(U, \mathbb{R}), \mathbb{R}).$$

Notation: $\xi_x(f) := \xi_x \cdot f \quad \forall f \in C^\infty(U, \mathbb{R})$.

Remark: Alternatively, we could have defined $T_x M$ as the set of equivalence classes of smooth curves $c: I \rightarrow M$, $0 \in I$ and w.h. $c(0) = x$, where $c_1 \sim c_2$, iff $x = c_1(0) = c_2(0)$ and for a (equiv., any) chart (U, α) around x $(\alpha \circ c_1)'(0) = (\alpha \circ c_2)'(0)$.

The tangent bundle of M is defined as

$$TM := \bigsqcup_{x \in M} T_x M = \bigcup_{x \in M} \{x\} \times T_x M$$

$p: TM \rightarrow M$ natural projection.

For a smooth map between mfd's. $f: M \rightarrow N$ we

define

$$Tf(x, \zeta_x) := (f(x), T_x f \zeta_x) \quad \left(Tf(x, \zeta_x) = T_x f \zeta_x \right).$$

we sometimes just write

where $T_x f: T_x M \rightarrow T_{f(x)} N$ is given by

$$T_x f(\zeta_x)(g) := (T_x f \zeta_x) \cdot g := \zeta_x(g \circ f) =: \zeta_x \circ (g \circ f)$$

\uparrow
Thm. 3.24.

$\forall g \in C^0(N, \mathbb{R})$.

One verifies directly that $T(h \circ f) = Th \circ Tf$ for $h: N \rightarrow P$

C^∞ -map between
 manifolds.

• $TId_M = Id_{TM}$

• f is a local diffeomorphism $\Leftrightarrow T_x f: T_x M \rightarrow T_x N$
 is a linear isomorphism, $\forall x \in M$.

$(u, U) \in \mathcal{U}$ $T_u : TU = p^{-1}(U) \rightarrow T_u(U) = u(U) \times \mathbb{R}^k$

There exists a unique topology on TM s.t. $TU \subset TM$ is
 open and $T_u : TU \rightarrow T_u(U)$ is a homeomorphism $\forall (U, u) \in \mathcal{U}$.
 It is second countable and Hausdorff. Moreover, $\mathcal{A}_{TM} := \{(TU, T_u) : (U, u) \in \mathcal{U}\}$

defines a C^k -atlas of charts with values in \mathbb{R}^{2k} (see the corresp. statements for submfld $M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$).

$\Rightarrow (TM, \sigma_{TM})$ is a smooth manifold of dim $2k$.

Moreover, as for submfld. of \mathbb{R}^n , $p: TM \rightarrow M$ is smooth and it defines a vector bundle of rank k over M , and vector fields on M are defined as (smooth) sections of $p: TM \rightarrow M$.

Local coordinate expressions for the tangent map Tf of a smooth map $f: M \rightarrow N$, which is again smooth, and ~~the~~ for vector fields remain valid.

Definitions / Statements about pull-back of vector fields via local diffeom. and local flows of vector fields remain valid

without any change.

3.6 Vector fields as derivations and the Lie bracket

(M, \mathcal{A}) a manifold.

For $\zeta \in \mathcal{X}(M)$ and $f \in C^0(M, \mathbb{R})$

$$\zeta \cdot f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

$$(\zeta \cdot f)(x) := \zeta_x \cdot f = T_x f \zeta_x$$

defines a smooth fct, since $\zeta \cdot f$ is the second component of $Tf \circ \zeta : M \rightarrow TM \rightarrow T\mathbb{R} \cong \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$, which is smooth -

Def. 3.25 A **derivation** of the algebra $C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$ is a linear map $D: C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$ s.t. $D(fg) = D(f)g + fD(g)$ $\forall f, g \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$.

Notation: $\text{Der}(C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})) := \{ D: C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R}) : D \text{ is a derivation} \}$.

This is a vector space in the obvious way.

Thm 3.26 The map $\Psi: \xi \mapsto (f \mapsto \xi \cdot f)$ defines a linear isomorphism $\mathcal{X}(M) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Der}(C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R}))$.

Proof: $f \mapsto \xi \cdot f$ linear $C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$ ✓

$$\xi \cdot (fg)(x) = \xi_x \cdot (fg) = (\xi_x \cdot f)g(x) + f(x)(\xi_x \cdot g) = ((\xi \cdot f)g + f(\xi \cdot g))(x)$$

So Ψ has image in $\text{Der}(C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R}))$.

Fix $x \in M$ and a chart (U, α) with $x \in U$.

As in the proof of Thm. 3.24, we may extend u^i ($i=1, \dots, k$) to a smooth fct $\tilde{u}^i: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that coincide with u^i on some open neighborhood $V \subset U$ of x .

Then $D(\tilde{u}^i): M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth fct and

$$\xi_y = \sum_i (\xi_y \cdot \tilde{u}^i) \frac{\partial}{\partial u^i} (y) \quad \forall y \in V \quad (\text{see proof of Thm. 3.24}).$$

Hence, $\xi|_V = \sum_{i=1}^k D(\tilde{u}^i)|_V \frac{\partial}{\partial u^i}$ is a smooth vector field on V .

□

Recall that for a chart (U, α) , $\frac{\partial}{\partial u^i} \cdot f = \frac{\partial f}{\partial u^i}$

equals the i -th partial deriv. of local coordinate expression $f \circ \alpha^{-1}$ of f . This implies that for any $\zeta \in \mathcal{X}(M)$ with $\zeta|_U = \sum_i \zeta^i \frac{\partial}{\partial u^i}$ we have $(\zeta \cdot f)|_U = \sum_i \zeta^i \frac{\partial f}{\partial u^i}$.

Lemma 3.27 $\zeta, \eta \in \mathcal{X}(M)$ vector fields on a manifold M .

Then $f \mapsto \underline{(\zeta \cdot (\eta \cdot f))} - \eta \cdot (\zeta \cdot f)$ defines a derivation of $C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$.

Proof $f, g \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$

$$\zeta \cdot (\eta \cdot (fg)) = \zeta \cdot (\underbrace{(\eta \cdot f)g + f(\eta \cdot g)}_{=}) = \overbrace{(\zeta \cdot (\eta \cdot f))g + (\eta \cdot f)(\zeta \cdot g)}_{+ (\zeta \cdot f)(\eta \cdot g) + f(\zeta \cdot (\eta \cdot g))} \quad \square$$

Def. 3.28 M mfd. For two vector fields $\xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ the
 Lie bracket of ξ and η is the unique vector field $[\xi, \eta] \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$
 s.t. $[\xi, \eta] \cdot f = \xi \cdot (\eta \cdot f) - \eta \cdot (\xi \cdot f) \quad \forall f \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$.

Prop. 3.29 M mfd., $\xi, \eta, \rho \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$.

$$\textcircled{1} \quad [\xi, \eta] = -[\eta, \xi] \quad \text{and} \quad [\xi, [\eta, \rho]] + [\eta, [\rho, \xi]] + [\rho, [\xi, \eta]] = 0 \quad (\text{Jacobi identity}).$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad [\xi, f\eta] = f[\xi, \eta] + (\xi \cdot f)\eta$$

$$\text{and} \quad [f\xi, \eta] = f[\xi, \eta] - (\eta \cdot f)\xi.$$

Proof.

① skew-symmetry ✓ and Jacobi identity follows from mindless computations.

$$\textcircled{2} f, g \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(U, \mathbb{R}) \quad [s, f\eta] \cdot g = \dots \quad \Leftarrow$$

$$((f\eta) \cdot g)(x) = f(x) \eta_x \cdot g = (f(\eta \cdot g))(x) \quad \dots$$

$$\Rightarrow \underline{s \cdot ((f\eta) \cdot g)} = s \cdot (f(\eta \cdot g)) = \underline{(s \cdot f)(\eta \cdot g)} + \underline{f(s \cdot (\eta \cdot g))} \quad (*)$$

$$(f\eta) \cdot (s \cdot g) = \underline{f(\eta \cdot (s \cdot g))} \quad (**)$$

$$\Rightarrow [s, f\eta] \cdot g = (*) - (**) = f([s, \eta] \cdot g) + (s \cdot f) \eta \cdot g -$$

$$\Rightarrow [s, f\eta] = f[s, \eta] + (s \cdot f) \eta \quad \checkmark$$

Prop. 3.30 M, N manifolds, $f: M \rightarrow N$ a local diffeomorphism.

$$\textcircled{1} f^*[\zeta, \eta] = [f^*\zeta, f^*\eta] \quad \forall \zeta, \eta \in \mathcal{X}(N).$$

In particular, if $U \subseteq N$ is an open subset, $[\zeta, \eta]|_U = [\zeta|_U, \eta|_U]$

($i: U \xrightarrow{\cong} i(U) \subseteq N$ diffeomorphism).

\nearrow inclusion is diffeom. onto its image; $i^*\zeta = \zeta|_U$.

Hence, $\zeta|_U \equiv 0$ implies $[\zeta, \eta]|_U = 0$.

$$\textcircled{2} \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \underbrace{(F_{t*}^i \eta)}_{\in T_x M}(x) = [\zeta, \eta](x) \quad \forall \zeta, \eta \in \mathcal{X}(M), x \in M.$$

③ Suppose (U, α) is a chart on M and $\zeta, \eta \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ with

$$\zeta|_U = \sum s^i \frac{\partial}{\partial u^i} \text{ and } \eta|_U = \sum \eta^i \frac{\partial}{\partial u^i}, \text{ then}$$

$$[\zeta, \eta]|_U = \sum_{i=1}^n [\zeta, \eta]^i \frac{\partial}{\partial u^i},$$

$$\text{where } [\zeta, \eta]^i = \sum_{j=1}^n \left(s^j \frac{\partial \eta^i}{\partial u^j} - \eta^j \frac{\partial s^i}{\partial u^j} \right).$$

