

Lecture 8 - There was no week 7 (suaték)

(Reminder of last time.)

- \mathcal{R} a signature
- X a set, $\text{Tr}(X)$ is set defined ind. by
 - if $x \in X$ then $x \in \text{Tr}(X)$
 - if $s \in \mathcal{R}_n$ & $t_1, \dots, t_n \in \text{Tr}(X)$ then $s(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in \text{Tr}(X)$.
- $\text{Tr}(X)$ is an \mathcal{R} -alg w' substitution of terms:

if $s \in \mathcal{R}_n$, $t_1, \dots, t_n \in \text{Tr}(X) \mapsto s(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in \text{Tr}(X)$
- It is the free \mathcal{R} -algebra on X :

$\text{Tr}X \xrightarrow{\exists! \bar{v} \text{ an } \mathcal{R}\text{-alg hom st } \bar{v} \circ \pi_X = v}$

we have $\begin{array}{ccc} x & \xrightarrow{x} & \text{Tr}X \\ x & \xrightarrow{nx} & \end{array} \xrightarrow{\bar{v}} A$ n an \mathcal{R} -alg
 \downarrow
 an X -tuple of elements of A

- $\bar{v}(x) = v(x)$
- $\bar{v}(s(t_1, \dots, t_n)) = s^A(\bar{v}(t_1), \dots, \bar{v}(t_n))$.
- What \bar{v} does is substitutes the X -tuple v for the variables in X .

Eg. $\mathcal{R} = \{\cdot\}$, $e \not\in$ for magmas

$$X = \{x, y, z\} \xrightarrow{v = (a, b, c)} A$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Tr}(X) & & \\ \Downarrow & & \\ (x \cdot y) \cdot z & \xrightarrow{\bar{v}} & (a \cdot b) \cdot c \\ x \cdot (y \cdot z) & & a \cdot (b \cdot c) \end{array}$$

Equations

Defⁿ) Let \mathcal{R} be signature, X a set. By an \mathcal{R} -equation in variables X , we mean a pair $(s, t) \in \text{Tr}(X)^2$.

Remark) Often informally write an \mathcal{R} -equation (s, t) as " $s = t$ ".

Example

$\mathcal{R} = (\mathbb{A}, \cdot)$ sig. For magmas, the following are equations:

- $x \cdot e = x$
 - $e \cdot x = x$
 - $(x \cdot y) \cdot z = x \cdot (y \cdot z)$
- } in variables $\{x, y, z\}$

Defⁿ) Let $(s, t) \in \text{Tr}(X)^2$ be an equation.

- An X -tuple $v: X \rightarrow A$ of elements of an \mathcal{R} -algebra A satisfies the equation $s = t$

if $\bar{v}(s) = \bar{v}(t)$ where

$\bar{v}: \text{Tr}(X) \rightarrow A$ is the map described above.

- The \mathcal{R} -algebra A sat. the equation $s = t$ if each X -tuple v of A sat. the equation $s = t$.
(We sometimes write $A \models s = t$.)

Example

$$\mathcal{R} = (\cdot, e)$$

$(x \cdot y) \cdot z, x \cdot (y \cdot z) \in \text{Tr}(\{x, y, z\})$.

- Then $\{x, y, z\} \xrightarrow{(a, b, c)} A$ sat the eqⁿ $\Leftrightarrow (a \cdot b) \cdot c = a \cdot (b \cdot c) \in A$
- $A \models (x \cdot y) \cdot z = x \cdot (y \cdot z)$
iFF A is associative.

Defⁿ) let \mathcal{R} be a sig., & E a set of equations. By an (\mathcal{R}, E) -algebra we mean an \mathcal{R} -algebra A such that $A \models s = t$ for each equation $(s, t) \in E$.

Examples

- For $\mathcal{R} = (\cdot, e)$ &

$$E = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (x \cdot y) \cdot z = x \cdot (y \cdot z) \\ x \cdot e = x \\ e \cdot x = e \end{array} \right\}$$

an (\mathcal{R}, E) -alg is a monoid,

- If take

$$\mathcal{R}' = (\cdot, e, (-)^{-1})$$

many op.

$$\Delta E' = E \cup \{x \cdot x^{-1} = e, x^{-1} \cdot x = e\}$$

Then (\mathcal{R}, E) -algebra is a group.

Defⁿ) For (\mathcal{R}, E) as above, we define

$$\underline{(\mathcal{R}, E)\text{-Alg}} \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{R}\text{-Alg}$$

as the Full subcategory of (\mathcal{R}, E) -algebras.

This means:

- objs: are (\mathcal{R}, E) -algebras
- morphisms: \mathcal{R} -alg. homomorphisms.
- The inclusion $\underline{(\mathcal{R}, E)\text{-Alg}} \xrightarrow{i} \mathcal{R}\text{-Alg}$ is then a Fully Faithful functor.
- We obtain a composite Forgetful Functor to Set, as depicted below

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mathcal{R}, E)\text{-Alg} & \xrightarrow{i} & \mathcal{R}\text{-Alg} \\ u \downarrow = & & \downarrow u_{\mathcal{R}} \\ & & \text{Set} \end{array}$$

- Later, we will see that i & u have left adjoints.

Examples

- When I spoke of "algebraic categories" earlier in course, the precise meaning is cat. of the form $(\mathcal{R}, E)\text{-Alg}$.

This framework captures all

of the examples we have been talking about -

Vect, Grp, Ring, Mon, G-Set.

Goal now: study good properties of categories of the form $\mathcal{R}\text{-Alg}$ & $(\mathcal{R}, E)\text{-Alg}$.

- Firstly (today) we look at $\mathcal{R}\text{-Alg}$ - the case of $(\mathcal{R}, E)\text{-Alg}$ follows easily from $\mathcal{R}\text{-Alg}$.
- In particular, will study limits, kernels and quotients.

Firstly, subalgebras, homomorphic images & image factorisation.

Def) - let $A \in \mathcal{R}\text{-Alg}$. A subalgebra $B \hookrightarrow A$ of A is a subset B of A such that -

if $s \in \mathcal{R}_n$ & $b_1, \dots, b_n \in B$ then $s^A(b_1, \dots, b_n) \in B$.

- In particular, B is then an $\mathcal{R}\text{-alg}$ & the incl. $B \hookrightarrow A$ a injective homomorphism.

Defⁿ) let $A \in \mathcal{R}\text{-Alg}$. A homomorphic image of A is a surjective homomorphism

$f: A \longrightarrow B$. means surjective

- Let $f: A \longrightarrow B \in \mathcal{R}\text{-Alg}$.

- Then let inf = $\{ b \in B : \exists a \in A \text{ with } f_a = b \}$
- Then inf $\hookrightarrow B$ is a subalgebra of B : indeed, if $s \in \mathbb{N}_n$, $b_1 = f_{a_1}, \dots, b_n = f_{a_n}$, then $s(b_1, \dots, b_n) = s(f_{a_1}, \dots, f_{a_n}) = f_s(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \text{inf}$.
- In particular, we obtain a factorisation

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A & \xrightarrow{f} & B \text{ in } \mathcal{R}\text{-Alg} \\
 q \searrow & \nearrow e & \nearrow i \\
 & \text{homomorphic image} & \text{subalgebra inclusion}
 \end{array}$$

f

- Later, we will look at the first isomorphism theorem which explains how to view inf as a quotient.

Limits of \mathcal{R} -algebras

Proposition

$\mathcal{R}\text{-Alg}$ has (infinite) products and equalisers
& $U: \mathcal{R}\text{-Alg} \longrightarrow \text{Set}$ preserves them.

(Remark: these generate all limits - not proved in course.)

Proof

- Consider a set I and family $(A_i)_{i \in I}$

of \mathcal{R} -algebras. (i.e. $A : I \rightarrow \mathcal{R}\text{-Alg}$)

- Their product as sets is the direct product

$$\prod_{i \in I} A_i = \{ \bar{a} = (a_i)_{i \in I} : a_i \in A_i \} \xrightarrow{\pi_i} A_i$$
$$(a_i)_{i \in I} \xrightarrow{\quad} a_i$$

- We want to show that $\prod_{i \in I} A_i$ has the structure of \mathcal{R} -algebra such that each π_i is a homomorphism:

This says given $s \in \mathcal{R}_n$ and $\bar{a}^1, \dots, \bar{a}^n$ we have $s(\bar{a}^1, \dots, \bar{a}^n)_i = s^i(\bar{a}^1_i, \dots, \bar{a}^n_i) \in A_i$.

In other words, we are forced to equip $\prod_{i \in I} A_i$ with component-wise \mathcal{R} -algebra structure.

- Given \mathcal{R} -alg. B & homs $(f_i : B \rightarrow A_i)_{i \in I}$ we have a unique function

$$f : B \longrightarrow \prod_{i \in I} A_i \text{ such that } \pi_i \circ f = f_i,$$

namely $(f_b)_i = f_i(b)$.

- Must check f is a homomorphism:

$$fs(b^1, \dots, b^n) = s(fb^1, \dots, fb^n) \in \prod_{i \in I} A_i$$

Check components at $i \in I$

$$f_i s(b^1, \dots, b^n) = s((fb^1)_i, \dots, (fb^n)_i)$$

pointwise
 \mathcal{R} -alg.
structure

\cong

$$s(f_i b^1, \dots, f_i b^n)$$

structure

- Given $A \xrightarrow{f} B$ their equaliser

$$E = \{x \in A : fx = gx\} \hookrightarrow A \text{ in } \underline{\text{Set}}$$

In fact, E is a subalgebra of A :

If $s \in \mathbb{S}_n$ & x_1, \dots, x_n st $f x_i = g x_i$; Then
 $f s(x_1, \dots, x_n) = s(f x_1, \dots, f x_n) = s(g x_1, \dots, g x_n) = g s(x_1, \dots, x_n)$
f a hom. assump. g hom.

In partic., $i: E \hookrightarrow A$ is a homomorphism
and easy to check uni. prop. of the
equaliser. \square

What about colimits?

- Key sovT - quotients by congruences.

Congruences generalise: l. rels for sets

- normal subgroups of groups
- 2 sided ideals for comm rings

Def) Let A be an \mathcal{R} -algebra. An equivalence relation $E \subseteq A^2$ is called a congruence
if E is a subalgebra of A .

- In elementary terms, a cong. is an l-rel
 $((x,x) \in E, (x,y) \in E \Rightarrow (y,x) \in E, (x,y) \in E, (y,z) \in E \Rightarrow (x,z) \in E)$
such that
 - $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathbb{S}_n, (x_1, y_1) \in E, \dots, (x_n, y_n) \in E,$
 $(s(x_1, \dots, x_n), s(y_1, \dots, y_n)) \in E$.
 - I will write $x E y$ to mean $(x, y) \in E$.

- If $E \xrightarrow{i} A^2$ is a congruence, can form diagram $E \xrightarrow{i} A^2 \xrightarrow{\begin{matrix} p_1 \\ p_2 \end{matrix}} A$ in $\mathcal{R}\text{-Alg}$
 & so obtain $E \xrightarrow{d} A : (x, y) \in E \xrightarrow{\begin{matrix} d \\ c \end{matrix}} \begin{matrix} x \\ y \end{matrix}$

a pair of \mathcal{R} -algebra homomorphisms.

- We are interested in their coequaliser

defined as follows:

- elements of A/E are equiv. classes $[a]$ with $p(a) = [a] = \{x : x \in a\}$.
- Observe p is surjective. Therefore if p is to be a homomorphism we are forced to define

$$s^{A/E}([a_1], \dots, [a_n]) = [s^A(a_1, \dots, a_n)].$$

- Is this well defined?

Suppose $[b_1] = [a_1], \dots, [b_n] = [a_n]$
 Then $b_1 \in a_1, \dots, b_n \in a_n$ so as E a congruence we have

$$s(b_1, \dots, b_n) \in s(a_1, \dots, a_n)$$

so $[s(b_1, \dots, b_n)] = [s(a_1, \dots, a_n)]$
as required.

- In particular, A/E is a \mathcal{R} -algebra
 & $p : A \rightarrow A/E$ a surjective homomorphism.

Proposition

$$E \xrightarrow{\begin{matrix} d \\ c \end{matrix}} A \xrightarrow{P} A/E \text{ is a coequaliser in } \mathcal{S}\text{-Alg}.$$

Proof

- Firstly if $(x, y) \in E$ then

$$pd(x, y) = [x] = [y] = pc(x, y)$$

so $pd = pc$.

- Given $A \xrightarrow{f} B$ with $f_d = f_c$.

This means precisely that if

$$(x, y) \in E \text{ then } fx = fy.$$

Therefore $[x] = [y] \implies fx = fy$.

- Therefore we can extend f along P

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{P} & A/E \\ & \searrow f & \downarrow \bar{F} \\ & & B \end{array}$$

where $\bar{F}[a] = fa$

- Clearly \bar{F} is a homomorphism, since f is.

- Since p is surjective, \bar{F} is only map extending f along P .

Def) Let $f: A \rightarrow B \in \mathcal{S}\text{-Alg}$.

The kernel of f is the congruence

$$K_f = \{(x, y) : fx = fy\} \hookrightarrow A^2.$$

- Easy to see this is a congruence: check it!

Categorically, K_f is the pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (x,y) & \xrightarrow{b} & x \\ K_f & \xrightarrow{d} & A \\ c \downarrow & \downarrow f & \\ A & \xrightarrow{f} & B \end{array}$$

so, in particular, we have $f d = f c$.

Therefore, we get a unique Factorisation of f through the coequaliser

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A & \xrightarrow{f} & B \\ a \swarrow \text{p} \searrow & A/K_f & \xrightarrow{f} & fa \\ & [a] & & \nearrow & \end{array}$$

First isomorphism theorem

- Given $f: A \rightarrow B \in \mathcal{U}\text{-Alg}$, we have an isomorphism

$$t: A/K_f \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{im } f$$

commuting with the factorisations of f : that is,

the diag:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & p & \nearrow A/K_F & \searrow \bar{f} & \\ A & & s/t & & B \\ & e & \nearrow \text{im } f & \searrow i & \end{array}$$

Proof

- Define $t[a] = fa$.

Clearly makes diagram commute.

It is a homom. as \bar{f} is.

- For surj., if $b \in \text{im } f$, then $b = fa$ so
 $t[a] = fa = b$.

- For inj., suppose $t[a] = t[b]$.

That is, $fa = fb$.

Then $(a, b) \in K_f$ so $[a] = [b]$.

□

Corollary

If $f: A \rightarrow B$ is surjective,

then $\underline{A/K_F} \cong B$. In particular,

$K_f \xrightarrow[c]{d} A \xrightarrow{f} B$ is a wequaliser

Proof

In this case $\text{im } f = B$

so $A/K_f \xrightarrow{t} B$

& $\begin{array}{ccc} & p & \\ & \searrow & \downarrow st \\ A & \xrightarrow{p} & A/K_f \\ & \swarrow f & \\ & B & \end{array}$

& then use that coequalisers are invariant up to iso, so as p coequaliser map, $f = t \circ p$ is also coequaliser.