

Lecture 8. Poincaré duality

Last time we proved that if M is an oriented manifold of dim n and $A \subseteq M$ compact that

- $H_i(M, M \setminus A) = 0$ for $i > n$
- there is a class $(\omega_A \in H_n(M, M \setminus A))$ such that
$$(\rho_x)_*(\omega_A) = \omega_x \text{ for all } x \in A,$$
where $\omega_x \in H_n(M, M \setminus x)$ and $\rho_x: (M, M \setminus A) \rightarrow (M, M \setminus x)$.

To formulate Poincaré duality we need another product called cap product

$$\cap: H_n(X; \mathbb{R}) \otimes H^k(X; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H_{n-k}(X; \mathbb{R})$$

defined on chains and cochains by the formula

$$\sigma \cap \varphi = \varphi(\sigma / [v_0, \dots, v_k]) \cdot \sigma / [v_{k+1}, \dots, v_n]$$

\uparrow \uparrow
 \mathbb{R} $C_{n-k}(X)$

One can prove that

$$\partial(\sigma \cap \varphi) = (-1)^k (\partial\sigma \cap \varphi - \sigma \cap \delta\varphi)$$

It enables us to define cap product on the level of homologies and cohomologies:

$$\begin{aligned} \cap &: H_n(X) \otimes H^k(X) \rightarrow H_{n-k}(X) \\ &H_n(X, A) \otimes H^k(X) \rightarrow H_{n-k}(X, A) \\ &H_n(X, A) \otimes H^k(X, A) \rightarrow H_{n-k}(X) \\ &H_n(X, A \cup B) \otimes H^k(X, A) \rightarrow H_{n-k}(X, B) \end{aligned}$$

for A, B open in X .

Naturality For $f: X \rightarrow Y$ we get

$$f_*(a \cap f^*(b)) = f_*(a) \cap b$$

Theorem (Poincaré duality)

Let M be a closed (= compact) \mathbb{R} -oriented manifold of dim n . Then the map

$$D : H^k(M; \mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow H_{n-k}(M; \mathbb{R})$$

$$D(\varphi) = [M] \cap \varphi$$

is an isomorphism.

As for the proof. It shows up that it is better to formulate a more general statement (without assumption that M is compact) and prove this. ("more difficult" is sometimes easier.) To do we need the notion of cohomology with compact support

Consider a space X with a directed system of compact sets (ordering by inclusions). For each pair $K \subseteq L$, the inclusion

$$(X, X-L) \hookrightarrow (X, X-K)$$

induces in cohomology isomorphism

$$H^k(X, X-K) \longrightarrow H^k(X, X-L)$$

So we can define cohomology groups of compact support as

$$H_c^k(X) = \varinjlim_k H^k(X, X-K)$$

If X is compact then

$$H_c^k(X) = H^k(X).$$

Example:

$$H_c^k(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{Z}) = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} H^k(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n - D(0, r))$$

where $D(0, r) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \|x\| \leq r\}$.

$$\text{Then } H_c^n(\mathbb{R}^n) = \lim_{\substack{n \rightarrow \infty \\ n \in \mathbb{N}}} (\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\text{id}} \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\text{id}} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \dots) = \mathbb{Z}$$

$$k \neq n \quad H_c^k(\mathbb{R}^n) = \lim_{\substack{n \rightarrow \infty \\ n \in \mathbb{N}}} (0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \dots) = 0.$$

Generalized Poincaré duality

Let M be an \mathbb{R} -oriented manifold of dimension n .

Let $K \subseteq M$ be compact. Let $\omega_K \in H_n(M, M-K; \mathbb{R})$ is a class such that $(p_x)_* \omega_K = \omega_x$ for all $x \in K$.

Then we define

$$D_K : H^k(M, M-K; \mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow H_{n-k}(M; \mathbb{R}),$$

$$D_K(\varphi) = \omega_K \cap \varphi.$$

If $K \subseteq L$ are two compact sets, we can prove that

$$D_L(\rho^* \varphi) = D_K(\varphi) \quad \varphi \in H^k(M, M-K; \mathbb{R})$$

for $\rho : (M, M-L) \hookrightarrow (M, M-K)$.

It enables us to define

$$D_M : H_c^k(M; \mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow H_{n-k}(M; \mathbb{R})$$

$$D(\varphi) = \omega_K \cap \varphi$$

since every $\varphi \in H_c^k(M; \mathbb{R})$ comes from an element in $H^k(M, M-K; \mathbb{R})$ for some $K \subseteq M$ compact.

THEOREM (Poincaré duality for all manifolds)

If M is an \mathbb{R} -oriented manifold of dimension n , then

$D_M : H_c^k(M; \mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow H_{n-k}(M; \mathbb{R})$
is an isomorphism.

The proof is based on: If $M = U \cup V$ where U and V are open, then the following diagram with LES commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 H_c^k(U \cap V) & \longrightarrow & H_c^k(U) \oplus H_c^k(V) & \longrightarrow & H_c^k(M) & \longrightarrow & H_c^{k+1}(U \cap V) \\
 \downarrow D_{U \cap V} & & \downarrow D_U \oplus D_V & & \downarrow D_M & & \downarrow D_{U \cap V} \\
 H_{n-k}(U \cap V) & \longrightarrow & H_{n-k}(U) \oplus H_{n-k}(V) & \longrightarrow & H_{n-k}(M) & \longrightarrow & H_{n-k-1}(U \cap V)
 \end{array}$$

From this diagram we can prove

(A) If $M = U \cup V$, U, V open and $D_U, D_V, D_{U \cap V}$ are isos, then D_M is an iso.

Using definition of cohomology with compact support we can prove:

(B) If $M = \bigcup U_i$ where U_i are open, $U_1 \subset U_2 \subset U_3 \subset \dots$ and $D_{U_i} \stackrel{i=0}{\text{are}}$ isos, then D_M is an iso.

The proof of duality itself can be carried out in 4 steps.

(1) $M = \mathbb{R}^n$ We have $H_c^k(\mathbb{R}^n) \cong H^k(\Delta^n, \partial \Delta^n)$
 $H_{n-k}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^k, \Delta^n) \cong H_{n-k}(\Delta^n, \partial \Delta^n)$

The generator $\omega \in H_{n-k}(\Delta^n, \partial \Delta^n)$ is represented by $\text{id}: \Delta^k \rightarrow \Delta^n$. Take $\varphi \in H^k(\Delta^n, \partial \Delta^n) \cong \text{Hom}(H_{n-k}(\Delta^n, \partial \Delta^n), \mathbb{R})$
 Then

$$\omega \cap \varphi = \varphi(\omega) \cdot 1 = \pm 1.$$

For $\varphi \in H^k(\Delta^n, \partial \Delta^n) = 0$, $k \neq n$, the statement is trivial.

(2) $M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ open. M is a union of countably many open convex sets which are homeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^n . The statement follows from (A) and (B)

(3) M is a manifold which is a countable union of open sets homeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^n . Use again (A) and (B).

(4) General M (see Halder, page 248). □

Corollary: Euler characteristic of odd dimensional orientable manifold is zero.

Euler characteristic of even dimensional oriented manifold is even number.

Proof: $\text{rank } H_{n-k}(M; \mathbb{Z}) = \text{rank } H^k(M; \mathbb{Z}) =$
 $= \text{rank } \text{Hom}(H_k(M), \mathbb{Z})$
 $= \text{rank } H_k(M).$

Then $\sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \text{rank } H_i(M; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ for n odd
 $\in 2\mathbb{Z}$ for n even

Example: Real projective spaces of even dimensions are not orientable.

We have computed that $H_n(\mathbb{R}P^n; \mathbb{Z}) \cong 0$
but $H^0(\mathbb{R}P^n; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. So $\mathbb{R}P^n$ for n even cannot satisfy assumption of Poincaré duality theorem. □

Duality and cup product:

For $c \in C_m(X; \mathbb{R})$ and $\varphi \in C^k(X; \mathbb{R})$ and $\psi \in C^{k-c}(X; \mathbb{R})$
 we have

$$\psi(c \cap \varphi) = (\varphi \cup \psi)(c)$$

Left hand side is

$$\psi(\varphi(c/[v_0, \dots, v_k] \cdot c/[v_{k+1}, \dots, v_n])) = \varphi(c/[v_0, \dots, v_k]) \cdot \psi(c/[v_{k+1}, \dots, v_n])$$

For closed \mathbb{R} -oriented manifolds we define bilinear form

$$(*) \quad H^k(M; \mathbb{R}) \otimes H^{n-k}(M; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

$$\varphi \otimes \psi \longmapsto (\varphi \cup \psi)[M]$$

The form $A \otimes B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is regular if induced maps

$$A \rightarrow \text{Hom}(B, \mathbb{R})$$

$$B \rightarrow \text{Hom}(A, \mathbb{R})$$

are isomorphisms.

Theorem (Modified Poincaré duality)

Let M be a closed \mathbb{R} -orientable manifold and let \mathbb{R} be a field. Then the bilinear form $(*)$ is regular.

Let M be a closed \mathbb{Z} -orientable manifold. Then the bilinear form

$$\left(H^k(M; \mathbb{Z}) / \text{Tor } H^k(M; \mathbb{Z}) \right) \otimes \left(H^{n-k}(M; \mathbb{Z}) / \text{Tor } H^{n-k}(M; \mathbb{Z}) \right) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

is regular.

Example: Using the theorem above we will prove that as a graded ring

$$H^*(\mathbb{C}P^n; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}[w] / \langle w^{n+1} \rangle$$

where $w \in H^2(\mathbb{C}P^n; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ is a generator.

Proof by induction: $n=1$, $\mathbb{C}P^1 = e_0 \cup e_2 = S^2$ and hence

$$H^*(\mathbb{C}P^1; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}[w] / \langle w^2 \rangle.$$

Let $n \geq 2$. Then the inclusion

$$\mathbb{C}P^{n-1} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}P^n$$

induces isomorphism $H^k(\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^k(\mathbb{C}P^n; \mathbb{Z})$ for $k \leq 2n-2$.

So using inductive assumption we get that $H^k(\mathbb{C}P^n; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ is generated by w^k where $w \in H^2(\mathbb{C}P^n; \mathbb{Z})$, for $k \leq n-1$.

It suffices to show that w^n is a generator of $H^{2n}(\mathbb{C}P^n; \mathbb{Z})$. $\mathbb{C}P^n$ is orientable (it is simply connected), so

$$H^2(\mathbb{C}P^n) \otimes H^{2n-2}(\mathbb{C}P^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

is regular, which means that

$$w \cup w^{n-1} [\mathbb{C}P^n] = \pm 1$$

And this means that w^n is a generator of $H^{2n}(\mathbb{C}P^n)$.

Poincaré duality for manifolds with boundary

Let M be a compact \mathbb{R} -orientable manifold with boundary $\partial M = A \cup B$, where A, B are $(n-1)$ -dim manifolds such that $\partial A = \partial B = A \cap B$. Then the cap product defines Poincaré duality isomorphism

$$D : H^k(M, A; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H_{n-k}(M, B; \mathbb{R}).$$

ALEXANDER DUALITY

Let $K \subsetneq S^m$ be compact subset of S^m which is a deformation retract of an open neighbourhood. Then

$$\bar{H}_i(S^m \setminus K; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \bar{H}^{m-i-1}(K; \mathbb{Z})$$

Proof: For $i > 0$ and a neighbourhood U of K

$$H_i(S^m \setminus K) \cong H_c^{m-i}(S^m \setminus K) \quad \text{by Poincaré duality}$$

$$\cong \varinjlim_U H^{m-i}(S^m \setminus K, U \setminus K) \quad \text{by definition}$$

$$\cong \varinjlim_U H^{m-i}(S^m, U) \quad \text{by excision}$$

$$\stackrel{\text{not true for } i=0}{\cong} \varinjlim_U H^{m-i-1}(U) \quad \text{by connecting homomorphism}$$

$$\cong H^{m-i-1}(K) \quad \text{K is a def retract of some small U}$$

For $i=0$ we can use the first three isos:

$$\bar{H}_0(S^m \setminus K) \cong \text{Ker}(H_0(S^m \setminus K) \rightarrow H_0(\mathbb{R}^n))$$

$$\cong \text{Ker}(H_0(S^m \setminus K) \rightarrow H_0(S^m))$$

$$\cong \text{Ker}\left(\varinjlim_U H^0(S^m, U) \rightarrow H^0(S^m)\right)$$

$$\cong \varinjlim_U (\text{Ker}(H^0(S^m, U) \rightarrow H^0(S^m)))$$

$$\cong \varinjlim_U H^{-1}(U) \cong H^{-1}(K)$$