

Functional Genomics and Proteomics

National Centre for Biomolecular Research Faculty of Science Masaryk University





## **Protein characterization by mass spectrometry**

**C7250**

**Part IV**

#### **Zbyněk Zdráhal**

*RG Proteomics, CEITEC-MU Proteomics CF, CEITEC-MU NCBR FS MU zdrahal@sci.muni.cz*

## **Appropriate sample preparation – key stone of success**



**...**





## **Demandingness of proteome analysis**

 **protein number exceeds substantially number of genes**  *human genome contains ~21 000 genů, but human proteome might contain*



 *necessity of protein complex analysis for deeper understanding mechanisms of cellular processes about 80% of proteins perform their funcions only as a part of a complex*

## **Fractionation/separation**

C7250

*to obtain maximum information*

Direct LC-MS/MS analysis of the whole sample



## **Fractionation/separation** C7250

the aim: **to simplify extremely complex mixture to separate specific group of proteins/peptides** (e.g. phosphopeptides)

necessity of combination of separation principles – **multidimensional separation** selection of appropriate combination for given experiment (separation dimension might be also selected method of MS analysis)

#### **electrophoretic techniques:**

- **\*\*** isoelectric focusing (in-gel, in-liquid)
- **\*** SDS PAGE
- **# 2D gel electrophoresis (DIGE)**
- **\*\*** capillary electrophoresis

#### **chromatographic techniques:**

#### immunoprecipitation

- **Example 1** liquid chromatography
	- **reverse phase**
	- ionex
	- molecular sieve
	- affinity (IMAC, MOAC, antibody)
	- HILIC (hydrophilic interaction chromatography)





### **Standard 1-D approaches**

#### **"SIMPLE" MIXTURES**









## **Protein isolate of bacteriophage – 2-D separation**



C7250





MudPIT (multidimensional protein identification technology)

### **2 -D LC** peptides



Fig. 1 Experimental set-up for 2D LC

**2-D LC** (peptides)



Fig. 2 Chromatograms of the digest mixture. Upper trace is the result of a separation without SCX. The next chromatograms are the result of the 5, 50 and 100 mM fractions. (Not all fractions are shown)

*Dionex application note*

C7250



*www.ace-hplc.com*

### **Characterization of proteome and phosphoproteome of HEK293 cells**

cooperation with Assoc. Prof. Bryja group, FS MU



C7250

#### **LC-separation of the digested sample in 1D (high pH)**



1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 **9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23** 24 25 26 27 28 29 30





#### **Number of identified proteins**



### **Diameter of HPLC column** *vs* **sensitivity**



Figure 5 Mass sensitivity benefit. Injection of the same sam ple amount on HPLC columns with decreasing internal di -.<br>ameter. Stationary phase: ZORBAX® SB-C18; length: 150 mm; solvent: water/acetonitrile, 40/60; flow rate: see dia gram; sample: isocratic checkout sample; injection volume: 0.1  $\mu$ l; third peak: biphenyl, 200 nq; temperature: 25 °C; detection wavelength: 230 nm.

*"Sensitivity increases with a decrease in column diameter because the same sample mass (amount) is eluted in a smaller volume. Therefore the concentration of the eluting peak is higher and the detection signal is stronger."*

*Amer. Lab., 2001, 33 (10), 26-38.*

C7250

### **Capillary and nano columns**

Increase in sensitivity ₩ reduction of injected sample amounts 豢 reduced consumption of solvents \*



#### Table 27. Sensitivity Increase



<sup>1</sup>For same sample mass

*www.ace-hplc.com*

**2-D LC** peptides

**sorbents 1-D:** ionex reverse phase **HILIC** IMAC (phospho) affinity (e.g. lectin – glyco)

**2-D: reverse phase**

**On-line** *vs* **Off-line**

automation flexibility

optimalization continuous collection of fractions

### **LC –MALDI** (peptides)



Sample storage



## **LC separation of complex protein mixtures**



C7250

## **LC separation of complex protein mixtures**



C7250

Figure 1: Multidimensional LC work flow.

## **Combination of GE a LC separation**





*from Carter et. al. The Plant Cell, 2004, 16, 3285–3303.*



Figure 2. Distribution of Identified Proteins by Different Methods.

Overlap of the different protein sets is shown. Numbers in parentheses indicate the total number of proteins found by a particular method.

*from Carter et. al. The Plant Cell, 2004, 16, 3285–3303.*

## **Example of multidimensional proteome analysis (screening)**

**Depleted blood plasma** (3500 – 9000 proteins ??)



**20 fractions**

**LC (RP)**

**0. dimension**

**1. dimension**

**2. dimension**

**1600 fractions**



**3/4. dimension**

**" ∞ " fractions**

*from H. Wang, Molecular & Cellular Proteomics, 2005, 4, 618–625.*

## **A draft map of the human proteome**

Min-Sik Kim et al., Nature 509, 575-581 doi:10.1038/nature13302





## **Targeted separation - immunoaffinity fractionation (Y(phos))**



*from A.D. Zoumaro-Djayoon et al. / Methods 56 (2012) 268–274*

## **Targeted MS analysis of selected proteins**



- quadrupole **Q1** and **Q3** are fixed to selected values of *m/z* ( Q1-precursor and Q3- selected fragment), **only precursors displaying production of selected fragment** during fragmentation in collisional cell **are recorded**
- enables to follow tens of reactions (transitions) during analytical run (MRM)



# High throughput C7250

Figure 6: Schematic representation of an on-line two-dimensional HPLC system, including an integrated sample preparation step. (Adapted from reference 10 with permission.)



## **+ Miniaturization - chip technology**






**OO** Functional Genomics and Proteomics

National Centre for Biomolecular Research Faculty of Science Masaryk University

# Protein quantification by MS

# **Protein quantification by MS**

### **Approaches:**

### **using isotopically different tags**

### **Absolute quantification**

determination of protein concentration (amount) by addition of corresponding standard with known amount (AQUA, PSAQ)

### **Relative quantification**

evaluation of relative changes of the protein content in compared samples

### **label free**

metods of absolute and relative quantification based on statistical processing of MS, or MS/MS data advantage of this approach is possibility of comparison of unlimited number of samples and absence of derivatization reaction or isotopically labeled standards

# **Relative quantification approaches**



# **Overview of relative quantification methods**



W. Yan, S.S. Chen, *Briefings in functional genomics and proteomics* **4** (1), 1–12, (2005)

# **Stable Isotope Labelling with Amino acids in Cell culture (SILAC)**



 *in vivo*

**o** proteins are labeled by growing cells in media containing isotopically labeled amino acids (e.g. <sup>2</sup>H-Leu, <sup>13</sup>C-Lys, <sup>13</sup>C-Tyr,<sup>13</sup>C-Arg, <sup>13</sup>C/<sup>15</sup>N-Arg)



picture from Ong et al.: *MCP* **1**(2002), 376

# **ICAT ... Isotope-Coded Affinity Tags**

technology for protein expression analysis

- $\checkmark$  improved quantitation of a wider range of proteins
- $\checkmark$  overcomes limitations of 2-D gel method (e.g. membrane, low abundant proteins)



 $\triangleright$  tags specific for cysteine-containing peptides (reduction of sample complexity)  $\triangleright$  easy automation of a procedure

# **ICAT analysis**



C7250

### **Comparison of** *in vivo* **and** *in vitro* **quantification methods** (SILAC vs ICAT)



from Ong et al.: *MCP* **1** (2002), 376

# **Mass Coded Abundance Tagging (MCAT)**

### $\blacktriangleright$  tryptic digestion

 $\blacktriangleright$  modification of digest of selected sample  $(K)$ 



**E** mixing **nemodif/modif** in ratio 1:1

Cagney G., Emili A.: *Nature Biotechnol* **20** (2002), 163-170

# **MCAT**

### C PEPTIDE QUANTITATION



Cagney G., Emili A.: *Nature Biotechnol* **20** (2002), 163-170

**MCAT**



Cagney G., Emili A.: *Nature Biotechnol* **20** (2002), 163-170

# **MCAT**

possibility of utilization of derivatization for *de novo* sequencing *b ions unchanged , y ions in doublets (42 Da)*



## Reductive alkylation - dimethylation

- lysine and N-term of peptide
- isotopically labeled formaldehyde (D, <sup>13</sup>C)



*Hsu et al., Anal.Chem. 2003*

# Reductive alkylation - dimethylation



Table 1 The combination of different isotopic reagents in the five-plex isotope dimethyl labeling method



C7250

Reductive alkylation - dimethylation



Fig. 2 Mass resolution of five-plex isotopically labeled peptides. MS spectra of Lys-C digested peptides with different charge states  $(+1, +2, +3)$  $+3$  and  $+4$ )  $(1:1:1:1:1$  ratio).

C7250



# **iTRAQ**



C7250

• isobaric tags (4, 8), preferentially on Lys

- labeled samples have the same behavior during LC separation and MS analysis
- quantification based on intensity ratios of reporter ions after MS/MS



*Applied Biosystems*

similarly TMT tags (Thermo Fisher Scientific)  $-6/10$  tags (see later)

# **iTRAQ**



*Applied Biosystems*

**iTRAQ**



*Applied Biosystems*

# **iTRAQ**



Figure 8. Identification and Quantitation of iTRAQ™ Reagent labeled peptide, ILESHDVIVPPEVR, from Carbamoylphosphate synthetase, which is up-regulated in both Xm1 $\Delta$  and Upf1 $\Delta$  mutants. Illustrated in panel A is the TOF MS Spectrum and the reporter ion region is expanded in panel B. The diagnostic reporter ions of 114.1, 115.1, and 116.1 are those for the Xrn1 $\Delta$ , Upf1 $\Delta$  and wild-type S. cerevisiae strains, respectively. The 117.1 peak is from a specific amount of spiked-in synthetic peptide idenpently labeled with the iTRAQ Reagent 117.

*Applied Biosystems*

### TMT labels

Tandem Mass Tags



*from http://planetorbitrap.com*

**TMT** C7250



- isobaric labels (up to 16-plex)
- MS/MS





lysine labeling



• Cysteine-reactive mass tags (6-plex)

*quantitation of the relative abundance of cysteine modifications, such as Snitrosylation, oxidation and disulfide bonds*

• Carbonyl-reactive mass tags (6-plex) *glycan, steroids, or oxidized proteins quantification*

*Thermo Fischer Scientific*

**TMT**



*Thermo Fischer Scientific*

# **TMT**



Reagents contain different numbers and combinations of 13C and 15N isotopes in the mass reporter. The different isotopes result in a 10-plex set of tags that have mass differences in the reporter that can be detected using **high resolution** Orbitrap MS instruments.



*Thermo Fischer Scientific*

# **EASI-tag**

**Easily Abstractable Sulfoxide-based Isobaric tag**



(**a**) Molecular structures of the triplex version of EASI-tag. The isobaric labeling reagents are composed in a modular way of **four functional groups** and feature a central sulfoxide moiety, which introduces an asymmetric, low-energy cleavage site (zig-zag lines indicate fragmentation site). The stable-isotope labeled positions of the neutral loss and equalizer group for multiplexing are indicated by asterisks. Standard labeling protocols can be applied to couple peptides via **the amine-reactive moiety**.

(**b**) Mass spectra of an EASI-tag-labeled yeast peptide mixed in a ratio of 1:3:10. HCD fragmentation of the doubly charged precursor ion abstracts the neutral loss group and yields **the peptide-coupled reporter ion cluster**.

C7250

# **EASI-tag**

**Easily Abstractable Sulfoxide-based Isobaric tag**



(**c**) Co-isolation of the natural isotope cluster in a standard isolation window centered on the precursor ion (upper panel) convolutes the relative abundance of peptide coupled reporter ions. An asymmetric isolation window (lower panel) that suppresses the signal from adjacent isotope peaks and enables direct quantification of reporter ions. (**d**) The precursor mass information is retained in the peptide-coupled reporter ions for EASI-tag labeled peptides. Colored peaks indicate the peptide-coupled reporter ions from an identified yeast peptide in a two proteome experiment (mixing ratios: 1:3:10 for yeast & 1:1:1 for human). Grey peaks are peptide-coupled reporter ions from a co-isolated peptide.

(**e**) EASI-tag- and TMT-labeled HeLa peptides were fragmented with normalized collision energies between 10 and 34. ( $N = 17,565$  precursors for EASI-tag & 20,610 for TMT)

*bioRxiv preprint first posted online Nov. 27, 2017; doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/225649*

C7250



# **Label – free approach**

- No labels
- Samples measured individually
- Comparison of "unlimited" number of samples

Identification based on MS/MS data, connection of identity to individual signals (intensity, area)



LC-MS/MS noise removal

peak detection intensity/area calculation for individual peaks

normalization imputation of missing values

calculation of fold changes for individual proteins among samples

Statistics evaluation

**significant changes in proteome induced by stimuli under study**

Critical steps:

- data normalization
- imputation of missing values (DIA reduction of number of missing values)

# **Label – free approach**



MA plots - dependence of  $(x-y)$  on  $(x+y)/2$ 



# **Label – free approach**





# **Absolute quantification using AQUA peptides**

your protein of interest

for quantitation

Select an optimal tryptic peptide and stable isotope amino acid from the sequence of

Optimize LC-MS/MS separation protocol

- **AQUA Peptide Selection**
- $\triangleright$  Order selected peptide<br>labeled (<sup>15</sup>N, <sup>13</sup>C) **labeled (<sup>15</sup>N, <sup>13</sup>C)**
- **Adding labeled peptide to protein mix**



 **Digest**



 **Analyze by LC-MS/MS to quantitate protein of interest**

Only for selected protein



# **Absolute quantification**

# **AQUA peptides QconCAT PSAQ**

synthesis of isotopically labeled proteotypic peptides

addition of known amount into sample

digestion

MS analysis

construction of artificial gene for expression of proteotypic peptides originated from up to 20 proteins

expression in *E.coli* using labeled medium

purification of artificial protein

addition of known amount into sample

digestion

MS analysis

*Rivers at al., MCP 6, 1416 (2007)*

expression of the whole izotopically labeled protein (plus tag for purification)

protein purification

addition of known amount into sample

digestion

MS analysis

*Brun et al., MCP 6, 2139 (2007)*

# **Absolute quantification Stable Isotope Standards and Capture by Anti-Peptide Antibodies (SISCAPA)**



### Table 1. Plasma Protein Targets



*N.L. Anderson, J. Proteome Res., 3, 235 (2004)* 

C7250

# **Targeted MS/MS analysis of selected proteins** relative /absolute quantification **multiple reaction monitoring (MRM)**

screening – selection of candidate protein

method establishment (selection of MRM transitions – peptide + selected fragment)

final analysis and data processing





للماس

Protein mixture

Peptides



**Similarly PRM** 

*http://www.srmatlas.org*

**LC-MS/MS – MRM mode**
## **Multiplex Immuno-Liquid Chromatography−Mass Spectrometry− Parallel Reaction Monitoring (LC−MS−PRM)**  Quantitation of immune markers CD8A, CD4, LAG3, PD1, PD-L1, and PD-L2 in Frozen Human Tissues



*Zhang et al., J. Proteome Res. 2018, 17, 3932−3940*

C7250

## **Accurate MS-based Rab10 Phosphorylation Stoichiometry**

*Karayel et al., Mol Cell Proteomics (2020) 19(9) 1546–1560*

assay to measure increased phospho Rab levels using synthetic stable isotope-labeled analogues for both phosphorylated and non-phosphorylated tryptic peptides surrounding Rab10-Thr73



Limit of detection (LOD) of SIL Rab10-pThr73 tryptic peptide (FHpTITTSYYR) with various acquisition methods; full MS, SIM, mxSIM and PRM.



Fig. 1. Schematic overview of QconCAT-based quantification of the yeast proteome using SIL-SRM methodology. The experimental workflow is depicted in schematic form, showing how chemostat grown yeast samples are extracted, using four biological replicates, for analysis. These samples were combined with designer QconCAT proteins, containing surrogate quantotypic peptides, expressed in a stable-isotope labeled media. SRM assays, designed using a digest of the expressed QconCATs to generate Q-peptides, were then used to quantify the parent proteins. Mixtures of purified QconCAT and yeast proteins were mixed at four concentrations (one of which contained yeast but no QconCAT) and analyzed by SRM-MS to yield SRM chromatogram peak groups for both light (endogenous yeast) and heavy (Q-) peptides. Subsequent quality control by signal:noise cutoffs and mProphet FDR (estimated from decoy transitions) yielded peptide-level copies per cell values, which were then integrated to the protein level for a final quantification. *Lawless C., MCP,15, 1309–1322, 2016.*

