

Introduction to Computational Quantum Chemistry

Lesson 11 : Relativistic Calculations of NMR Shifts



Why Relativistic Quantum Chemistry?

- recall the non-relativistic Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{i=1} \frac{\hat{p}_i^2}{2m} + \sum_i V_{Ni} + V_{ee} + V_{nuc}$$

- $\frac{\hat{p}_i^2}{2m}$ is the non-relativistic kinetic energy operator
- in heavy atoms (HA) the inner shell electrons has a speed comparable with speed of light.
- the core electrons of HA show sizable relativistic effects:

$$m_{rel} = \frac{m_e}{\sqrt{1 - v_e/c^2}}$$

- "magnetic properties" is very sensitive to this effect

Relativistic Quantum Chemistry

- relativistic corrections to non-relativistic energy value

$$H^R = H^{NR} - \frac{p^2}{8c^2} + \frac{\Delta v}{8c^2} + \frac{1}{2c^2} \frac{1}{r} \frac{dv}{dr} \mathbf{1} \cdot \mathbf{s}$$

- $-\frac{p^2}{8c^2}$, **mass-velocity**: variation of the mass with the velocity; affects contraction and stabilization of s and p shells ; expansion and destabilization of the d and f shells)
- $+\frac{\Delta v}{8c^2}$, **Darwin**: correction potential energy
- $+\frac{1}{2c^2} \frac{1}{r} \frac{dv}{dr} \mathbf{1} \cdot \mathbf{s}$ **spin-orbit coupling**: interaction of the electron spin and the orientation of electronic motions (orbital angular momentum) accurately described by the “fine structure” splitting of the Hydrogen spectrum (Michelson-Morley, 1887).

from full Dirac to ZORA equation

● Two-Component Relativistic Methods

- the high computational cost of four-components relativistic calculations has motivated the development of computationally less demanding two-component Hamiltonians.
- two component relativistic Hamiltonians (involving only positive energy orbitals): pseudopotential and all- electron methods.

● ZORA: accurate and efficient relativistic DFT

- the zeroth order regular approximation (ZORA) to the Dirac equation accurately and efficiently treats relativistic effects in chemistry and can be applied with **scalar** and **spin-orbit** corrections.

from Dirac to ZORA equation

- from **Four-component Dirac**

$$\begin{bmatrix} V_{nuc} + J\phi\phi - K\phi\phi + J\chi\chi & c\sigma \cdot p - k\phi\chi \\ c\sigma \cdot p - k\chi\phi & -2c^2 + V_{nuc} + J\phi\phi - K\phi\phi + J\chi\chi \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ \chi \end{pmatrix} = E \begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ \chi \end{pmatrix}$$

- (after a long derivation) to **Two-Component Zeroth Order Approximation**

$$H^{ZORA}\Psi^{ZORA} = E^{ZORA}\Psi^{ZORA}$$

$$H^{ZORA} = V + p \frac{c^2}{2c^2 - v} p + p \frac{c^2}{2c^2 - v} \sigma(\nabla V \times p)$$

- scalar** and **spin-orbit** effects

ReSpect vs ADF

- **ReSpect** (RelativisticSpectroscopy) program enables the prediction and understanding of molecular and material properties using full Dirac Hamiltonian.
(<http://www.respectprogram.org/>)
- **ADF** (Amsterdam Density Functional) program enables the prediction and understanding of molecular and material properties using Zeroth Order Approximation (ZORA)
(<https://www.scm.com/product/adf/>)

Activity 1: ADF

- recall, the NMR Shifts [δ] of specific atom (i) in a molecule is obtained by subtracting NMR shielding [σ] constants from a reference:

$$\delta_i = \sigma_{ref} - \sigma_i$$

- ADF NMR Shielding calculations
 - calculate the NMR properties of hydrogen in HI, HCl, and Benzene
 - use the prepared input files distributed in IS (**HCl_Scalar.inp**, **nmr.inp**, etc)
 - take a look at the script **run_adf.sh** to see how adf job submission works.
 - NOTE: there are two parts of adf calculation, SCF module-calculation and the NMR shielding calculation.

Activity 1: ADF, *cont.*

- after the successful NMR run, check the **.out** file, look for the keyword “PARAMAGNETIC (), DIAMAGNETIC () and SPIN ORBIT (NMR SHIELDING TENSOR)” and below the “**isotropic**” **shielding value(s)** of the atom(s) sought.
- NOTE: PARA eigenvalues is associated with ground and excited state transitions, DIA contribution is only associated with the ground-state (this is beyond the scope of our session).

Activity 1: ADF, *cont.*

- after the successful calculations, ZORA Scalar and ZORA Spin-Orbit approximations, compare the values with the experiment, complete this table:

	Calc. Shielding (ref-TMS)	Calc. Shielding Total				Calc Shift	Exp Shift	
	σ_{ref}	para	dia	σ_i (para + dia)	δ_i ($\sigma_{ref} - \sigma_i$)	SO	$\delta_i + SO$	* δ_{exp}
HCl_Scalar	31.35							0.45
HCl_SO	31.41							0.45
HI_Scalar	31.35							13.25
HI_SO	31.41							13.25
Benzene_Scalar	31.35							7.15
Benzene_SO	31.41							7.15

Activity 2: ReSpect

- ReSpect NMR Shielding Calculations
 - calculate the NMR properties of hydrogen in HI, and HCl
 - use the prepared input files distributed in IS
 - take a look at the script **run_respect.sh** to see how ResPect run works.
 - NOTE: analogous to ADF, there are two parts of ReSpect calculation.
 - SCF module-calculation of unperturbed ground state MO coefficient, in our case we started a guess SCF from (ks-dkh2/pbe0) to (mdks/pbe0, i.e. Dirac Kohn Sham Implementation)
 - CS module-calculation of the chemical shift properties

Activity 2: ReSpect, (Cont.)

- after the successful CS calculation, check the **.out_cs** file and look for the keyword “**CHEMICAL SHIELDING FOR NUCLEUS (your ATOM)**” and below is the “**Principal values of the NMR shielding tensor**” where the PARA and DIA part shielding value(s) of the atom(s) sought.
- NOTE: in this full Diract calculation, the “SO effect” is embedded in PARA and DIA, thus we can’t isolate the SO effects as opposed to the ADF ZORA calculations.

Activity 1: ResSpect, *cont.*

- after the successful calculation, compare the values with the experiment, complete this table:

	Calc. Shielding (ref-TMS)	Calc. Shielding		Calc. Shielding Total		Calc Shift	Exp Shift
	σ_{ref}	para	dia	σ_i (para + dia)	$- [\delta_i (\sigma_{ref} - \sigma_i)]$	δ_i	$*\delta_{exp}$
HCl_Full	31.41						0.45
HI_Full	31.41						13.25

Questions

- which system(s) are sensitive to relativistic effects?
- from these activities, which method has the calculated value(s) that corroborates best with experimental ^1H NMR shifts?
- is it worth choosing a computational method that contains approximations that are cheap and has a practical results?

END