

**ScienceDirect** 

# **Current Opinion in Structural Biology**

# Bacterial replisomes Zhi-Qiang Xu and Nicholas E Dixon



Bacterial replisomes are dynamic multiprotein DNA replication machines that are inherently difficult for structural studies. However, breakthroughs continue to come. The structures of Escherichia coli DNA polymerase III (core)–clamp–DNA subcomplexes solved by single-particle cryo-electron microscopy in both polymerization and proofreading modes and the discovery of the stochastic nature of the bacterial replisomes represent notable progress. The structures reveal an intricate interaction network in the polymerase–clamp subassembly, providing insights on how replisomes may work. Meantime, ensemble and single-molecule functional assays and fluorescence microscopy show that the bacterial replisomes can work in a decoupled and uncoordinated way, with polymerases quickly exchanging and both leading-strand and lagging-strand polymerases and the helicase working independently, contradictory to the elegant textbook view of a highly coordinated machine.

#### **Address**

Molecular Horizons and School of Chemistry and Molecular Bioscience, University of Wollongong, and Illawarra Health and Medical Research Institute, Wollongong, New South Wales 2522, Australia

Corresponding author: Dixon, Nicholas E ([nickd@uow.edu.au\)](mailto:nickd@uow.edu.au)

#### Current Opinion in Structural Biology 2018, 53:159–168

This review comes from a themed issue on Catalysis and regulation

Edited by Alice Vrielink and Hazel M Holdenc

For a complete overview see the [Issue](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/0959440X/53) and the [Editorial](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbi.2018.11.008)

Available online 4th October 2018

#### <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbi.2018.09.006>

0959-440X/ã 2018 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license [\(http://creative](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)[commons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)).

### Introduction

Genetic information of living organisms is stored in chromosomal DNA. To faithfully pass it on to the next generation, it is essential that DNA be copied with high efficiency and fidelity. All organisms from bacteria to humans use complex multi-protein molecular machines, called the replisomes, to achieve this feat. Although general functions and mechanisms of replisomes from different domains of life are similar, the components and mechanistic details can be distinct. Here, we focus on bacterial replisomes, particularly that from Escherichia coli.

Bacterial DNA replication can be divided into three stages: initiation, elongation and termination. Each stage requires a different set of proteins with highly coordinated activities [[1\]](#page-7-0). The details of each stage as well as recent insights into the structures and functions of protein components or subcomplexes are discussed separately.

# Initiation of DNA replication

Initiation of bacterial DNA replication is tightly controlled to ensure that the chromosome is duplicated once every cell division. Bacterial chromosomes are usually circular doubled-stranded (ds) DNA molecules with a single initiation locus called the replication origin, oriC. The E. coli chromosome is 4.6 Mb in size with a 250-bp *oriC*. Although there are significant variations in the length and organization of origins in different bacterial species, they are generally comprised of an array of 'DnaA boxes' for origin recognition by the initiator protein DnaA, together with an adjacent ATrich DNA unwinding element (DUE) for strand separation [[2](#page-7-0)] [\(Figure](#page-1-0) 1a). Recently, a string of repeating trinucleotides  $(5'-TA^G_A)$  in the DNA unwinding region, termed DnaA-trio, was identified as an impor-tant element [3<sup>°</sup>[\].](#page-7-0)

DnaA has four domains ([Figure](#page-1-0) 1b). The protein interaction domain 1 interacts with protein partners, including the replicative helicase DnaB, and domain 2 is a flexible linker. Domain 3 is the AAA+ATPase domain, which mediates DnaA oligomerization and binding to singlestranded (ss) DNA [[4\]](#page-7-0). Domain 4 is the dsDNA-recognition domain that binds to DnaA boxes via a helix-turn-helix motif [[5](#page-7-0)] ([Figure](#page-1-0) 1c). Both ATP-bound and ADPbound DnaA can bind to high-affinity DnaA boxes, but only ATP-DnaA binds to lower affinity boxes and oligo-merizes to form a helical filament on oriC [[6,7](#page-7-0)] [\(Figure](#page-1-0) 1a, c). DNA wrapping around the DnaA filament causes torsional strain in the DUE, contributing to DNA melting [[8](#page-7-0),[9\]](#page-7-0). The DnaA filament then extends beyond the DnaA boxes with the AAA+ domain interacting with DnaA-trio. This sequesters and stretches one strand of the DUE, facilitating DNA melting and bubble formation for helicase loading [\[4\]](#page-7-0) [\(Figure](#page-1-0) 1c).

After forming a DNA bubble, two DnaB helicase hexamers are recruited and loaded onto each of the separated ssDNA strands as  $DnaB_6-(DnaC)_6$  complexes. Binding of the helicase loader DnaC inhibits the ATPase and helicase activities of DnaB and traps it like an open righthanded lockwasher, ready to be loaded onto ssDNA [[10](#page-7-0),[11\]](#page-7-0). DnaC is a homolog of DnaA. Its AAA+ domain interacts with the AAA+ domain of DnaA at the end of the filament and serves as an adaptor to load one DnaB–DnaC

<span id="page-1-0"></span>



Schematic representation of the initiation of bacterial DNA replication. (a) E. coli oriC, showing DnaA boxes and the AT-rich DNA unwinding element (DUE). The DnaA boxes contain 9 base pairs with consensus sequence 5'-TTATNCACA (6). The high-affinity DnaA boxes are colored in dark blue and lower affinity boxes in light blue. (b) Domain organization of E. coli DnaA replication initiator protein. (c) DNA melting at oriC and loading of the  $DnAB<sub>6</sub>$ -(DnaC)<sub>6</sub> helicase-loader complex onto the DNA bubble. Lower schematic: ATP-bound DnaA binds to DnaA-boxes via Domain IV, thereby promoting dsDNA to wrap around the DnaA filament, causing torsional strain to the dsDNA [[8,9](#page-7-0)]. Meantime, Domain III of DnaA binds to one of the two ssDNA strands of DUE and stretches the strand. These interactions cause the AT-rich DUE to melt, forming a bubble [[4\]](#page-7-0). At the same time, binding of DnaC traps DnaB like an open lockwasher, to enable its loading onto ssDNA [[10](#page-7-0)]. DnaC interacts with DnaA at the end of the filament and serves as an adaptor to load one DnaB–DnaC complex [[12\]](#page-7-0). It is not known if closing of DnaB around ssDNA to form a hexameric ring occurs before or concomitantly with dissociation of DnaC. Domain I of DnaA interacts with the N-terminal domain of DnaB, helping to load another DnaB–DnaC on the complementary strand [[2\]](#page-7-0). Upper insets: The helical filament of DnaA formed by Domains III (light orange) and IV (pale green) of Aquifex aeolicus DnaA (PDB: 3R8F [[4](#page-7-0)]) and Domain IV of E. coli DnaA (pale green) bound to dsDNA (PDB: 1J1V [\[5](#page-7-0)]). The ssDNA binds in the middle of the DnaA filament via interactions with the AAA+ Domain III of DnaA.

complex onto the strand that DnaA is stretching [[12](#page-7-0)]. Domain I of DnaA interacts with DnaB of the other DnaB–DnaC complex, helping to load it on the complementary strand [[2\]](#page-7-0) (Figure 1c).

In Gram-positive bacteria, such as *Bacillus subtilis*, the hexameric replicative helicase DnaC (counterpart of DnaB) is believed to be assembled from individual subunits with the assistance of the helicase loader DnaI and two others proteins, DnaD and DnaB [[6\]](#page-7-0). In *Helicobacter*  $p$ ylori, a bacterium with no identified helicase loader, DnaB assembles as a head-to-head double hexamer, which later separates into two hexameric helicases [[13\]](#page-7-0).

Next, the DnaG primase interacts with the N-terminal collar of  $DnaB_6$ , stimulating DnaC dissociation [[14\]](#page-7-0). The two DnaB hexamers later move to the apices of the bubble to form two replication forks moving in opposite directions. DnaG recognizes specific priming sites (preferentially 5'-CTG) to produce a leading-strand RNA primer for DNA elongation, and to repeatedly prime Okazaki-fragment (OF) synthesis on the lagging strand.

# Elongation stage of DNA replication

DNA contains two antiparallel strands that have been thought to be replicated simultaneously by the same replisome. The leading strand is replicated continuously, while the lagging strand is synthesized as short Okazaki fragments. RNA primers of OFs are replaced by DNA by gap filling and nick translation by DNA polymerase I, and the nicks are sealed by DNA ligase.

In E. coli, the major replicative polymerase is the Pol III holoenzyme (HE) comprised of 10 different proteins organized into three functionally distinct but physically interconnected assemblies: the  $\alpha \epsilon \theta$  polymerase core, the  $\beta_2$  sliding clamp and the  $\delta\tau_n\gamma_{3-n}\delta'\psi\chi$  clamp loader com-plex [\[1](#page-7-0)] [\(Figure](#page-2-0) 2a). In the polymerase core,  $\alpha$  is the polymerase subunit, e the 3'–5' proofreading exonuclease and  $\theta$  is a small subunit that stabilizes  $\varepsilon$ . After a RNA primer is made by DnaG, the  $\beta_2$  clamp is loaded onto the primer terminus by the clamp loader. The  $\alpha$  and  $\epsilon$ subunits separately bind the clamp, each via a short linear clamp-binding motif (CBM) to the two symmetrically related CBM-binding pockets of  $\beta_2$ . Tethered to the clamp, Pol III is able to synthesize DNA at high speed  $(\sim 1000 \text{ Nt/s})$  and with much higher processivity  $($ >150 kb) [[1,15](#page-7-0)].

Bacterial replisomes are highly flexible and mobile machines, their dynamics being mediated and controlled by a network of protein–protein interactions of different strengths. Many of the replication proteins are either conformationally flexible or contain flexible or unstructured regions, making structural studies by X-ray crystallography or NMR difficult. However, through decades of efforts, structures of all  $E$ . *coli* replication proteins or their

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

Schematic representation of the E. coli replisome adapted from Lewis et al. [[1](#page-7-0)]. (a) Textbook model of the E. coli replisome with coupled and highly coordinated leading-strand and lagging-strand synthesis. Pol III\* is connected to DnaB via the T subunit of the clamp-loader complex and two or three polymerase cores of the same Pol III\* replicate both leading-strand and lagging-strand DNA. The ssDNA in the lagging-strand loop is bound by ssDNA-binding protein, SSB. (b) Recent studies have shown that E. coli Pol III\* is readily exchangeable at the fork [[33](#page-8-0)\*\*,[34](#page-8-0)\*\*,35\*\*] [and](#page-8-0) that leading-strand and lagging-strand synthesis may not be tightly coupled, or may even be accomplished by different Pol III HEs. The DnaB helicase can also be decoupled from polymerases and translocate ahead at the apex of the fork [[36](#page-8-0)<sup>\*</sup>].

bacterial homologs have been solved as complexes, whole proteins or domains [[1\]](#page-7-0). Recent breakthroughs in singleparticle cryo-electron microscopy (cryo-EM) have seen structures determined of large replisome subassemblies, even the whole bacteriophage T7 replisome, though so far only at modest resolution  $[16^{\bullet\bullet}, 17^{\bullet\bullet}, 18^{\bullet}].$  $[16^{\bullet\bullet}, 17^{\bullet\bullet}, 18^{\bullet}].$ 

Cryo-EM structures of the E. coli Pol III core–clamp– $\tau_C$ (C-terminal domain of the  $\tau$  subunit of the clamp-loader) complexes on primer–template DNA in both polymerization and proofreading modes were recently solved at 8 and 6.7 A, respectively, along with structures of a DNAfree complex  $[16\degree, 17\degree]$  $[16\degree, 17\degree]$  $[16\degree, 17\degree]$  $[16\degree, 17\degree]$  $[16\degree, 17\degree]$  [\(Figure](#page-3-0) 3). These structures resemble previously proposed structural models [\[15](#page-7-0),[19,20](#page-7-0)], with some surprises. For example, in the DNA-bound polymerization complex, the  $\beta_2$  clamp becomes almost perpendicular to the DNA strands [\(Figure](#page-3-0) 3a,b), in contrast to its tilted configuration in the crystal structure of DNA-bound  $\beta_2$  [\[21](#page-7-0)]. While the Pol III  $\alpha$  polymerase subunit binds to DNA in a conformation similar to the crystal structure of DNA-bound Thermus aquaticus (Taq)  $\alpha$ , the locations of C-terminal domains ( $\alpha$ CTD, comprising the OB and the  $\tau$ -binding, TBD, domains) are different [[22,23](#page-7-0)]. In the Taq  $\alpha$  structure and the DNA-free complex, the  $\alpha$ CTD is close to the polymerase active site with the OB domain positioned to bind and deliver the ssDNA template into the active site

([Figure](#page-3-0) 3c,d). In the DNA-bound cryo-EM structures, these domains are shifted toward the little finger domain of  $\alpha$ , the domain that directly contacts the  $\beta_2$  clamp; they are therefore far away from the template strand entering the active site [\(Figure](#page-3-0) 3e). The OB domain contacts both the little finger and thumb domains of  $\alpha$  as well as the  $\beta_2$ clamp and e. The face of the OB domain that had been thought to be involved in ssDNA template binding [[24](#page-7-0),[25\]](#page-7-0) now directly faces and is relatively close to the dsDNA. Additionally,  $\varepsilon$  wedges between the  $\alpha$  thumb domain and the clamp. This previously unappreciated interaction network apparently stabilizes the whole complex.

The proofreading complex is fairly similar to the polymerization complex, with small movements of individual protein components  $[17\text{°}']$  $[17\text{°}']$  $[17\text{°}']$  ([Figure](#page-3-0) 3a,b). The most significant movements include a rotation and a tilt of duplex DNA against the plane of  $\beta_2$ , locking the DNA against the inner surface of the  $\beta_2$  ring ([Figure](#page-3-0) 3b). The polymerase thumb domain and e also move towards the DNA. The thumb domain wedges between two DNA strands with unmatched base pairs, resulting in a highly distorted and frayed DNA substrate. The newly synthesized strand is therefore able to reach the nuclease active site of e for editing. Considering that the proofreading complex is fairly similar to the polymerization complexes and duplex

<span id="page-3-0"></span>



Structures of the E. coli polymerase-clamp- $\tau_{C}$ -DNA complexes. (a) Surface representations of the polymerization (left) and proofreading (right) complexes [[16](#page-7-0)\*\*,17\*\*]. The N-terminal domains of  $\alpha$  ( $\alpha$ NTD, residues 1–963, are colored in deep salmon), and the OB [\(964–1072\)](#page-7-0) and  $\tau$ -binding domains (TBD, 1173–1160) of  $\alpha$ CTD in brown and dark salmon, respectively,  $\varepsilon$  in yellow,  $\beta_2$  in aquamarine,  $\theta$  in orange and  $\tau_c$  in slate. The polymerization complex does not include  $\theta$ , and  $\tau_c$  and the  $\alpha$ CTD are missing from the proofreading complex. (b) Cartoon representations of

DNA with two unmatched base-pairs tends to fray, it is proposed that e works passively by waiting for DNA to reach its nuclease active center when a wrong nucleotide is incorporated rather than responding actively to the misincorporation event  $[17$ <sup> $\bullet$ </sup>]. In a [complementary](#page-7-0) single-molecule biophysical study [[26\]](#page-7-0), the clamp-bound Pol III core has been shown to be remarkably stable and processive in the proofreading mode in the absence of incoming dNTPs.

A low-resolution  $(13.8 \text{ Å})$  cryo-EM structure of the whole bacteriophage T7 replisome, a simpler system functionally similar to the bacterial replisomes, has been reported [18 ]. In the structure, [leading-strand](#page-7-0) and lagging-strand gp5 polymerases are placed in asymmetric positions and their conformations and interactions with the gp4 helicase–primase protein are also significantly different. The leading-strand polymerase is in a closed conformation, interacting with both helicase and primase domains of gp4 through its finger and exonuclease domains. On the other hand, the lagging-strand polymerase is in an open conformation and interacts exclusively with two other primase domains of adjacent gp4 subunits using a similar region of the exonuclease domain. The two polymerases also interact with each other through the palm domain of the leading-strand polymerase and the finger domain of the lagging-strand polymerase. The structure provides insights into how the two polymerases are organized within the T7 replisome, which may in future be extended to the host bacterial replisomes.

### Coordination of leading-strand and lagging-strand synthesis

While structures of bacterial replisomes and their subassemblies continue to be elucidated, shedding light on their flexibility and dynamics, views of how they work are also undergoing paradigm-shifting changes. It was already known that the bacteriophage T7 replisome, which is far simpler to that from  $E$ . *coli*, is highly dynamic, with the replicating polymerases quickly exchanging with external polymerases at forks.Perhaps anewpolymerase canbeused for every OF and more than one polymerase can simultaneously synthesize different OFs [[27\]](#page-7-0). Polymerases may also be left behind to synthesizeOFs behind the forks[\[28](#page-7-0) ].

Nevertheless, the bacterial replisomes have long been believed to be highly coordinated, highly processive

machines capable of copying the whole chromosome without dissociation. Two or three polymerase cores of the same E. coli Pol III HE were believed to synthesize both DNA strands, with the lagging strand polymerase repeatedly being recycled for new OF synthesis. Lagging-strand polymerase recycling has been debated to be triggered by various collision or signaling mechanisms in a well-controlled manner [\[1](#page-7-0),[29–31\]](#page-8-0). However, this elegant textbook view has now been challenged [\[32](#page-8-0)]. Recent studies find that bacterial polymerases also readily exchange at replication forks and leading-strand and lagging-strand DNA synthesis may not be tightly coupled.

First, Yuan et al. [33<sup> $\bullet$ </sup>] [showed](#page-8-0) that the E. coli Pol III  $\alpha$ D403E mutant, which can bind to primed DNA but not extend it, can exchange with replicating polymerases. The exchange happens only when the mutant polymerase is attached to a clamp loader containing at least one  $\tau$ subunit. Core polymerase itself is unable to exchange. Soon polymerase exchange was reported inside E. coli cells and in single-molecule *in vitro* assays. Using fluorescence microscopy to track replisome components inside cells, Beattie et al.  $[34\bullet]$  were able to [show](#page-8-0) that several components of Pol III\* (Pol III holoenzyme lacking  $\beta_2$ ), including  $\alpha$ ,  $\varepsilon$ ,  $\tau$ ,  $\delta$  and  $\chi$ , all resided at the forks for about 10 s, only long enough for synthesis of a few OFs. Meanwhile,  $\beta_2$  stayed for 47 s and the DnaB helicase for 15 min. The very similar exchange times of  $\alpha$ ,  $\epsilon$ ,  $\tau$ ,  $\delta$  and  $\chi$  suggest that it is Pol III\* itself rather than individual polymerase components that quickly exchange, while the DnaB helicase in contrast serves as a stable platform for reassembly of replisomes. Using in vitro single-molecule assays, Lewis et al.  $[35\text{°}^{\degree}]$  [dem](#page-8-0)onstrated that Pol III\* exchanges in a concentrationdependent manner; Pol III\* is a stable complex that exchanges as a single entity when it is present in excess in solution, but remains bound and highly processive when no spare Pol III\* is available. These studies suggest that E. coli DNA replication is not as processive as it had been thought, and leading-strand and laggingstrand synthesis is not necessary tightly coupled, considering there is excess of Pol III<sup>\*</sup> in cells. A more recent in vitro single-molecule study showed that leadingstrand and lagging-strand DNA synthesis by the E. coli replisome can indeed be carried out in a decoupled and stochastic way, in which both polymerases and helicase work independently [[36](#page-8-0)<sup>°</sup>].

<sup>(</sup>Figure 3 Legend Continued) complexes showing the differences in the primer-template DNA. In the polymerization complex (left), the DNA has B-form structure, while in the proofreading complex, the primer DNA is frayed with the end of the newly synthesized strand in the active center of  $\varepsilon$ . The proofreading complex is rotated slightly to show DNA in the active center of  $\varepsilon$  and the  $\theta$  subunit is omitted for clarity. (c) Surface representation of «NTD from the DNA-bound polymerization complex ([16\*], PDB: 5FKV), showing the thumb, palm, fingers, and PHP [domains.](#page-7-0) (d) Positioning of the  $\alpha$ CTD in the DNA-free complexes (PDB: 5FKU). (e) Positioning of the  $\alpha$ CTD in the DNA-bound polymerization complex (PDB: 5FKV) [16<sup>\*\*</sup>]. While the OB domain in the DNA-free complex is close to the active site of Pol III  $\alpha$ , it is far away in the [DNA-bound](#page-7-0) complex. The OB domain is colored in marine and the TBD in magenta. The  $\alpha$ NTD (gray) in the two complexes shows relatively minor changes compared to  $\alpha$ CTD.

Considering the exchange of active polymerases at replication forks, perhaps new Pol III\* can be utilized to synthesize new OFs at, or even behind, the replication fork, as happens with the T7 replisome [\[27](#page-7-0),[28](#page-7-0) ] [\(Figure](#page-2-0) 2b). Excess Pol III\* can wait or scan for a new primer and start to synthesize an OF once a new primer is available. This may render unnecessary the various mechanisms proposed to signal polymerase recycling during or at conclusion of OF synthesis. Simultaneous synthesis of more than one OF using different Pol III\*s is also possible, so the lagging-strand polymerase does not need to synthesize faster than that making the leading strand.

It is instructive briefly to explore how we came to believe in the textbook view of fully coordinated replication by the E. coli replisome. After many years of bulk (ensemble) replication assays that defined the importance and roles of the many protein components, it was realized that (initiation) complexes could be assembled on primer–template DNA that could progress, for example on addition of nucleotides, to fast and processive DNA elongation, implying retention of the components of the (initiation) complex within replisomes. Omission of some faster-exchanging components in the elongation stage, like  $\beta_2$  and DnaG primase, reduced processivity, so these components were routinely added in that stage. The  $\beta_2$  clamp was subsequently shown also to be capable of recycling from one lagging-strand primer terminus to the next, but to a limited extent, likely governed by whether the clamp-loader complex had already bound a new  $\beta_2$  clamp from solution [[37](#page-8-0)]. More recent studies, now using single-molecule approaches that reveal alternate pathways for the first time, show that other replisomal components like Pol III\* can also exchange when present in excess in solution. Pol III\* exchange involves a multipoint competitive interaction mechanism that relies on the hierarchy of strong and weak protein–protein and protein– nucleic acid interactions in the replisome  $[27,35^{\bullet\bullet},38]$  $[27,35^{\bullet\bullet},38]$  $[27,35^{\bullet\bullet},38]$  $[27,35^{\bullet\bullet},38]$  $[27,35^{\bullet\bullet},38]$ , and similar mechanisms have now been uncovered in other multiprotein complexes [\[39–45](#page-8-0)] and have been mathematically modeled [\[46–49](#page-8-0)]. These observations are consistent with the basic principles of chemistry, where multiple pathways can exist in parallel, governed by thermodynamics and kinetics [[32](#page-8-0)]. This redundancy of potential pathways presumably enables timely completion of chromosome duplication in the face of impediments and makes the replisome more resilient to mistakes.

# Termination of DNA replication

Proper termination of DNA replication is important for genome stability. E. coli replication terminates in the region opposite *oriC*. There are ten 23-bp termination  $(Ter)$  sites in the region with some sequence variations that determine their binding affinities for the monomeric termination protein Tus [\[50](#page-8-0)] ([Figure](#page-6-0) 4a). Tus binds to  $Ter$ with high affinity in 1:1 ratio, and Tus–Ter can further form a very stable 'lock' complex if cytosine-6 of the strictly conserved  $G-C(6)$  base pair of Ter is flipped out of the DNA duplex and bound in a preformed cytosinebinding pocket of Tus [\[51\]](#page-8-0) ([Figure](#page-6-0) 4b). The Tus–Ter lock complex is polar with a permissive face that allows the replisome to pass unhindered and a non-permissive face that can block the replisome. The ten Ter sites are organized as two oppositely orientated groups of five, allowing the replisome to pass the first group and be blocked at the second. This ensures that the two replication forks converge in the terminus region for proper chromosome segregation. However, the blockage efficiency at any single Ter site never exceeds 50% in vivo [\[52](#page-8-0)], a phenomenon that was recently explained. An in vitro single-molecule study shows that the proportion of replisomes passing or stalled at a Tus–Ter barrier is determined by the speed of the advancing replisome [53<sup>••</sup>]. [Comparison](#page-8-0) of crystal structures of Tus in complex with different Ter variants revealed that the  $\alpha$ 6/L3/ $\alpha$ 7 region of Tus undergoes the most significant conformational changes, with residue Arg198 interacting extensively, but differently, with the lagging-strand template before and after lock formation ([Figure](#page-6-0) 4c). It is suggested that competition between the rates of Tus displacement and rearrangement of the Arg198 interaction is critical for lock formation. At high speed, Tus–*Ter* interactions cannot rearrange quickly enough, resulting in Tus dissociation. At lower speeds, the Tus–Ter interactions are able to rearrange and the lock forms, permanently blocking the replisome.

Another question concerning Tus–Ter is whether specific interactions of Tus with the DnaB helicase are required for replisome blockage. Using magnetic tweezers, Berghuis et al. [54<sup>°</sup>] neatly [demonstrated](#page-8-0) that forceinduced, rather than DnaB-induced, separation of duplex DNA is sufficient for Tus–Ter lock formation, ruling out the obligate requirement of specific Tus– DnaB interaction for replication fork blockage. The results are consistent with the model that strand separation itself leads to lock formation. This study also identifies three Tus–Ter states with different lock dwell times, with the longest-lived state corresponding to the lock and two shorter-lived states likely the intermediates before lock formation [\[54](#page-8-0) ,[55](#page-8-0)]. Another study using the T7 replisome showed that the replisome was blocked at the non-permissive face, but T7 polymerase alone proceeds to remove Tus unless the C(6) lock is pre-formed. In contrast, the isolated T7 polymerase approaching from the permissive face is arrested while the replisome and helicase can pass. This suggests that the Tus–Ter complex is also sensitive to the translocation polarity of molecular motors, and further argues against the significance of a specific interaction of Tus with DnaB [[56](#page-9-0)<sup>°</sup>].

<span id="page-6-0"></span>

Mechanisms of replisome blockage by Tus–Ter replication termination complexes. (a) Schematic representation of the E. coli chromosome, showing positions of oriC and Ter sites. The clockwise moving fork passes through the permissive sites shown in green and is arrested at the non-permissive sites shown in red. (b) Schematic representation of structure of the 'locked' Tus-Ter complex (PDB: 2l06), showing cytosine-6 in its binding pocket in Tus. (c) Interactions of residue Arg198 of Tus with both strands of Ter in complexes with double-stranded wild-type Ter (PDB: 2l05, left) and the Tus-Ter UGLC complex (GC(6) base pair inverted; PDB: 4XR3, right) [[53](#page-8-0)<sup>\*</sup>"].

#### **Conclusions**

Bacterial DNA replication and the replisomes that mediate it have been studied extensively for decades. Nevertheless, our understanding continues to develop, and the replisomes are still among the best experimental systems to probe the 'design principles' that determine function of highly dynamic multiprotein machines. Current insights are primarily driven by use of single-particle cryo-EM to probe structures and single-molecule biophysics to probe dynamics. Recent progress includes the cryo-EM structures of E. *coli* polymerase–clamp subassemblies in both polymerization and proofreading modes and the whole phage T7 replisome, coupled with changing views of function driven by single-molecule biochemical studies of the extent of coordination of leading-strand and lagging-strand DNA synthesis by prokaryotic replisomes.

Biophysical studies reveal an intricate interaction network in the polymerase core–clamp–clamp loader assemblies, providing functional and structural insights into replisomes. Meantime, ensemble and single-molecule functional assays and fluorescence microscopy show that the bacterial replisomes can work in a decoupled and uncoordinated way, with polymerases able to quickly exchange. Both leading and lagging-polymerases and the replicative helicase appear to be able to work independently, which is contradictory to the textbook view of a highly coordinated machine.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors thank Slobodan Jergic, Jacob Lewis, Lisanne Spenkelink, Samir Hamdan and Antoine van Oijen for lively discussions. This work was supported in part by the Australian Research Council (DP150100956 and

<span id="page-7-0"></span>DP180100858) and King Abdullah University of Science and Technology, Saudi Arabia (OSR-2015-CRG4-2644).

### References and recommended reading

Papers of particular interest, published within the period of review, have been highlighted as:

- of special interest
- •• of outstanding interest
- 1. Lewis JS, Jergic S, Dixon NE: The E. coli DNA [replication](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0005) fork. Enzymes 2016, 39[:31-88.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0005)
- 2. [Chodavarapu](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0010) S, Kaguni JM: Replication initiation in bacteria. [Enzymes](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0010) 2016, 39:1-30.
- 3.  $\bullet$ [Richardson](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0015) TT, Harran O, Murray H: The bacterial DnaA-Trio replication origin element specifies [single-stranded](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0015) DNA initiator binding. Nature 2016, 534[:412-416.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0015)

This study identifies a repeating trinucleotide motif,  $3'-\frac{G}{A}AT-5'$ , in the DNA unwinding region as a critical element of the bacterial DNA replication origin. The AAA+ domains of the initiation protein DnaA bind to these motifs and form a filament on the ssDNA, facilitating duplex DNA melting.

- 4. [Duderstadt](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0020) KE, Chuang K, Berger JM: DNA stretching by bacterial initiators promotes [replication](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0020) origin opening. Nature 2011, 478[:209-213.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0020)
- 5. Fujikawa N, [Kurumizaka](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0025) H, Nureki O, Terada T, Shirouzu M, Katayama T, Yokoyama S: Structural basis of [replication](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0025) origin [recognition](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0025) by the DnaA protein. Nucleic Acids Res 2003, 31[:2077-2086.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0025)
- 6. Jameson KH, [Wilkinson](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0030) AJ: Control of initiation of DNA replication in Bacillus subtilis and [Escherichia](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0030) coli. Genes [2017,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0030) 8:E22.
- 7. Shimizu M, Noguchi Y, Sakiyama Y, [Kawakami](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0035) H, Katayama T, Takada S: [Near-atomic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0035) structural model for bacterial DNA [replication](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0035) initiation complex and its functional insights. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2016, 113[:E8021-E8030.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0035)
- 8. Bleichert F, Botchan MR, Berger JM: [Mechanisms](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0040) for initiating cellular DNA [replication](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0040). Science 2017, 355:eaah6317.
- 9. Erzberger JP, Mott ML, Berger JM: [Structural](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0045) basis for ATPdependent DnaA assembly and [replication-origin](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0045) remodeling. Nat Struct Mol Biol 2006, 13[:676-683.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0045)
- 10. [Arias-Palomo](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0050) E, O'Shea VL, Hood IV, Berger JM: The bacterial DnaC [helicase](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0050) loader is a DnaB ring breaker. Cell 2013, 153[:438-448.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0050)
- 11. [Chodavarapu](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0055) S, Jones AD, Feig M, Kaguni JM: DnaC traps DnaB as an open ring and [remodels](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0055) the domain that binds primase. Nucleic Acids Res 2016, 44[:210-220.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0055)
- 12. Mott ML, Erzberger JP, Coons MM, Berger JM: [Structural](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0060) synergy and [molecular](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0060) crosstalk between bacterial helicase loaders and [replication](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0060) initiators. Cell 2008, 135:623-634.
- 13. Stelter M, [Gutsche](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0065) I, Kapp U, Bazin A, Bajic G, Goret G, Jamin M, Timmins J, Terradot L: Architecture of a [dodecameric](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0065) bacterial [replicative](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0065) helicase. Structure 2012, 20:554-564.
- 14. [Makowska-Grzyska](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0070) M, Kaguni JM: Primase directs the release of DnaC from DnaB. Mol Cell 2010, 37[:90-101.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0070)
- 15. Jergic S, Horan NP, Elshenawy MM, Mason CE, [Urathamakul](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0075) T,<br>Ozawa K, Robinson A, [Goudsmits](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0075) JMH, Wang Y, Pan X et al.: A<br>**direct [proofreader–clamp](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0075) interaction stabilizes the Pol III** replicase in the [polymerization](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0075) mode. EMBO J 2013, 32:1322- [1333.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0075)
- 16. [Fernandez-Leiro](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0080) R, Conrad J, Scheres SHW, Lamers MH: Cryo-
- $\ddot{\phantom{0}}$ EM structures of the E. coli replicative DNA [polymerase](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0080) reveal its dynamic [interactions](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0080) with the DNA sliding clamp, [exonuclease](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0080) and  $\tau$ . eLife 2015, 4:e11134.

The authors report cryo-EM structures of DNA-bound and DNA-free E. coli  $\alpha \varepsilon$ -B<sub>2</sub>-t<sub>C</sub> complexes at  $\sim$ 8 A<sup> $\alpha$ </sup>. In both complexes,  $\varepsilon$  bridges the  $\alpha$ thumb domain and the clamp. In the DNA-bound complex, the  $\beta_2$  clamp rotates and becomes almost perpendicular to the DNA. The polymerase  $\alpha$ subunit binds to DNA in a conformation similar to the DNA-bound Taq  $\alpha$ . However, the C-terminal domains of  $\alpha$  undergo significant movements with an  $\sim 35^\circ$  rotation enabling the OB domain to contact the clamp,  $\varepsilon$  and finger and thumb domains of  $\alpha$ , rather than being near the polymerase active site.

- 17. [Fernandez-Leiro](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0085) R, Conrad J, Yang JC, Freund SMV,
- $\ddot{\phantom{0}}$ Scheres SHW, Lamers MH: [Self-correcting](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0085) mismatches during [high-fidelity](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0085) DNA replication. Nat Struct Mol Biol 2017, 24:140- [143.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0085)

The authors present a cryo-EM structure of the E. coli  $\alpha \epsilon \theta - \beta_2 - \tau_C - DNA$ complex in the proofreading mode. In the structure, the duplex DNA rotates and tilts against the plane of  $\beta_2$ , locking the DNA against the inner surface of the  $\beta_2$  ring that stabilizes the complex. The polymerase thumb domain wedges between two DNA strands near the polymerase active center, resulting in a highly distorted and flayed DNA substrate, enabling the newly synthesized strand to reach the nuclease active site of  $\varepsilon$  for editing. It is proposed that  $\varepsilon$  works passively by waiting for DNA to reach its active site after an incorrect nucleotide is incorporated.

#### 18. Kulczyk AW, Moeller A, Meyer P, Sliz P, [Richardson](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0090) CC: Cryo-EM

#### Î structure of the replisome reveals multiple [interactions](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0090) [coordinating](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0090) DNA synthesis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2017, 114: [E1848-E1856.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0090)

This study presents a cryo-EM structure of the whole T7 replisome, in which the leading-strand and lagging-strand polymerases are in asymmetrical positions. Their conformations and interactions with the gp4 helicase–primase protein are different. The leading-strand polymerase is in a closed conformation and interacts with both helicase and primase domains of gp4, while the lagging-strand polymerase is in an open conformation and interacts exclusively with two other primase domains of adjacent gp4 subunits. The two polymerases also interact with each other.

- 19. Ozawa K, Horan NP, [Robinson](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0095) A, Yagi H, Hill FR, Jergic S, Xu Z-Q,<br>Loscha KV, Li N, Tehei M *et al.*: **[Proofreading](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0095) exonuclease on a** tether: the complex between the E. coli DNA [polymerase](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0095) III subunits  $\alpha$ ,  $\varepsilon$ ,  $\theta$  and  $\beta$  reveals a highly flexible [arrangement](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0095) of the [proofreading](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0095) domain. Nucleic Acids Res 2013, 41:5354-[5367.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0095)
- 20. Toste Rêgo A, Holding AN, Kent H, Lamers MH: [Architecture](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0100) of the Pol [III–clamp–exonuclease](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0100) complex reveals key roles of the [exonuclease](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0100) subunit in processive DNA synthesis and repair. EMBO J 2013, 32[:1334-1343.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0100)
- 21. [Georgescu](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0105) RE, Kim SS, Yurieva O, Kuriyan J, Kong X-P, O'Donnell M: [Structure](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0105) of a sliding clamp on DNA. Cell 2008, 132[:43-54.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0105)
- 22. Bailey S, Wing RA, Steitz TA: The structure of T. [aquaticus](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0110) DNA [polymerase](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0110) III is distinct from eukaryotic replicative DNA [polymerases](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0110). Cell 2006, 126:893-904.
- 23. Wing RA, Bailey S, Steitz TA: Insights into the [replisome](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0115) from the structure of a ternary complex of the DNA [polymerase](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0115) III  $\alpha$ -subunit. J Mol Biol 2008, 382[:859-869.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0115)
- 24. Theobald DL, [Mitton-Fry](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0120) RM, Wuttke DS: Nucleic acid [recognition](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0120) by OB-fold proteins. Annu Rev Biophys Biomol Struct 2003, 32[:115-133.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0120)
- 25. [Georgescu](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0125) RE, Kurth I, Yao NY, Stewart J, Yurieva O, O'Donnell M: Mechanism of [polymerase](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0125) collision release from sliding clamps on the lagging strand. EMBO J 2009, 28[:2981-2991.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0125)
- 26. Park J, Jergic S, Jeon Y, Cho W-K, Dixon NE, Lee J-B: [Dynamics](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0130) of [proofreading](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0130) by the E. coli Pol III replicase. Cell Chem Biol 2018, 25[:57-66.e4.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0130)
- 27. Geertsema HJ, van Oijen AM: A [single-molecule](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0135) view of DNA replication: the dynamic nature of [multi-protein](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0135) complexes revealed. Curr Opin Struct Biol 2013, 23[:788-793.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0135)
- 28. [Duderstadt](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0140) KE, Geertsema HJ, Stratmann SA, Punter CM,
- $\cdot$ Kulczyk AW, Richardson CC, van Oijen AM: [Simultaneous](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0140) realtime imaging of leading and lagging strand [synthesis](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0140) reveals the [coordination](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0140) dynamics of single replisomes. Mol Cell 2016, 64[:1035-1047.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0140)

The authors use multidimensional single-molecule tethered-bead assays to simultaneously image leading-strand and lagging-strand DNA synthesis by the T7 replisome. They observe that most DNA loops form during primer synthesis. The study also suggests that the majority of polymerases loaded onto the new primers are left behind the replisome to complete Okazaki fragment synthesis.

- <span id="page-8-0"></span>29. [Dohrmann](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0145) PR, Manhart CM, Downey CD, McHenry CS: The rate of [polymerase](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0145) release upon filling the gap between Okazaki fragments is [inadequate](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0145) to support cycling during lagging strand [synthesis](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0145). J Mol Biol 2011, 414:15-27.
- 30. Yuan Q, [McHenry](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0150) CS: Cycling of the E. coli lagging strand [polymerase](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0150) is triggered exclusively by the availability of a new primer at the [replication](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0150) fork. Nucleic Acids Res 2014, 42:1747-[1756.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0150)
- 31. Spiering MM, Hanoian P, [Gannavaram](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0155) S, Benkovic SJ: RNA [primer–primase](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0155) complexes serve as the signal for polymerase [recycling](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0155) and Okazaki fragment initiation in T4 phage DNA replication. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2017, 114[:5635-5640.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0155)
- 32. van Oijen AM, Dixon NE: Probing molecular [choreography](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0160) through [single-molecule](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0160) biochemistry. Nat Struct Mol Biol 2015, 22[:948-952.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0160)
- 33. Yuan Q, [Dohrmann](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0165) PR, Sutton MD, McHenry CS: DNA
- $\ddot{\phantom{0}}$ polymerase III, but not [polymerase](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0165) IV, must be bound to a t[-containing](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0165) DnaX complex to enable exchange into replication forks. J Biol Chem 2016, 291[:11727-11735.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0165)

The E. coli Pol III  $\alpha$  D430E mutant, which can bind to primed DNA but is incapable of nucleotide incorporation, inhibits DNA synthesis by preassembled replisomes containing wild-type  $\alpha$ . The inhibition only occurs when the mutant polymerase core is attached to a clamp loader containing at least one  $\tau$  subunit. The mutant polymerase core itself does not inhibit, suggesting that Pol III\*, and only Pol III\*, can exchange with a replicating polymerase.

- 34.
- $\ddot{\phantom{0}}$ Beattie TR, Kapadia N, Nicolas E, Uphoff S, [Wollman](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0170) AJM,<br>Leake MC, [Reyes-Lamothe](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0170) R: **Frequent exchange of the DNA** polymerase during bacterial [chromosome](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0170) replication. eLife 2017, 6[:e21763.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0170)

The authors study the behavior of replication proteins inE. coli live cells using fluorescence microscopy. Their results suggest that Pol III\* in the replisome exchanges on a 10 s timescale with free Pol III\* from solution. Meanwhile, the DnaB helicase stays bound to the replication fork for much longer, providing a platform for reassembly of a new replisome. Based on the results, it is proposed that both leading-strand and laggingstrand synthesis can be discontinuous.

- 35.
- $\ddot{\phantom{0}}$ Lewis JS, Spenkelink LM, Jergic S, Wood EA, [Monachino](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0175) E,<br>Horan NP, [Duderstadt](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0175) KE, Cox MM, Robinson A, Dixon NE e*t al.*: [Single-molecule](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0175) visualization of fast polymerase turnover in the bacterial [replisome](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0175). eLife 2017, 6:e23932.

Usingin vitro single-molecule assays with fluorescently labeled poly-<br>merases, the authors demonstrate that replicating polymerases can quickly exchange with excess Pol III\* complex at replication forks in a concentration-dependent manner. This exchange mechanism ensures a balance between stability and plasticity of the replisome, allowing its components to be replaced when necessary.

36. Graham JE, Marians KJ, [Kowalczykowski](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0180) SC: Independent and  $\bullet$ stochastic action of DNA [polymerases](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0180) in the replisome. Cell 2017, 169[:1201-1213.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0180)

Using single-moleculein vitro assays, the authors show that DNA replication by the E. coli replisome is kinetically discontinuous. The leadingstrand and lagging-strand polymerases function independently and are not coordinated. The DnaB helicase can continue to unwind dsDNA when polymerases pause, but at a significantly reduced rate, allowing polymerases to catch up. The authors suggest that the stochastic behavior of individual components allows DNA to be replicated without coordination of synthesis of both strands.

- 37. Tanner NA, Tolun G, [Loparo](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0185) JJ, Jergic S, Griffith JD, Dixon NE, van Oijen AM: E. coli DNA [replication](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0185) in the absence of free  $\beta$ clamps. EMBO J 2011, 30[:1830-1840.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0185)
- 38. Geertsema HJ, Kulczyk AW, [Richardson](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0190) CC, van Oijen AM: [Single-molecule](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0190) studies of polymerase dynamics and stoichiometry at the [bacteriophage](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0190) T7 replication machinery. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2014, 111[:4073-4078.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0190)
- 39. Delalez NJ, [Wadhams](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0195) GH, Rosser G, Xue Q, Brown MT, Dobbie IM, Berry RM, Leake MC, Armitage JP: [Signal-dependent](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0195) turnover of the [bacterial](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0195) flagellar switch protein FliM. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2010, 107[:11347-11351.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0195)
- 40. Graham JS, Johnson RC, Marko JF: [Concentration-dependent](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0200) exchange [accelerates](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0200) turnover of proteins bound to double-stranded DNA. Nucleic Acids Res 2011, 39[:2249-2259.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0200)
- 41. [Paramanathan](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0205) T, Reeves D, Friedman LJ, Kondev J, Gelles J: A general mechanism for [competitor-induced](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0205) dissociation of molecular [complexes](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0205). Nat Commun 2014, 5:5207.
- 42. Gibb B, Ye LF, [Gergoudis](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0210) SC, Kwon Y, Niu H, Sung P, Greene EC: [Concentration-dependent](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0210) exchange of replication protein A on single-stranded DNA revealed by [single-molecule](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0210) imaging. PLoS One 2014, 9[:e87922.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0210)
- 43. Chen TY, Santiago AG, Jung W, Krzemiński Ł, Yang F, Martell DJ, Helmann JD, Chen P: [Concentration-](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0215) and chromosome-[organization-dependent](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0215) regulator unbinding from DNA for [transcription](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0215) regulation in living cells. Nat Commun 2015, 6[:7445.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0215)
- 44. Ma CJ, Gibb B, Kwon Y, Sung P, Greene EC: Protein [dynamics](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0220) of human RPA and RAD51 on ssDNA during [assembly](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0220) and [disassembly](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0220) of the RAD51 filament. Nucleic Acids Res 2017, 45[:749-761.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0220)
- 45. Chen T-Y, Cheng Y-S, Huang P-S, Chen P: [Facilitated](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0225) unbinding via [multivalency-enabled](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0225) ternary complexes: new paradigm for [protein–DNA](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0225) interactions. Acc Chem Res 2018, 51[:860-868.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0225)
- 46. Sing CE, Olvera de la Cruz M, Marko JF: [Multiple-binding-site](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0230) mechanism explains [concentration-dependent](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0230) unbinding rates of [DNA-binding](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0230) proteins. Nucleic Acids Res 2014, 42[:3783-3791.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0230)
- 47. Åberg C, [Duderstadt](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0235) KE, van Oijen AM: Stability versus exchange: a paradox in DNA [replication](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0235). Nucleic Acids Res<br>2016, 44[:4846-4854.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0235)
- 48. Tsai MY, Zhang B, Zheng W, Wolynes PG: Molecular [mechanism](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0240) of facilitated [dissociation](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0240) of Fis protein from DNA. J Am Chem Soc 2016, 138[:13497-13500.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0240)
- 49. Dahlke K, Sing CE: Facilitated [dissociation](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0245) kinetics of dimeric [nucleoid-associated](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0245) proteins follow a universal curve. Biophys J 2017, 112[:543-551.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0245)
- 50. Neylon C, Kralicek AV, Hill TM, Dixon NE: Replication [termination](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0250) in Escherichia coli: structure and [antihelicase](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0250) activity of the Tus–Ter complex. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 2005, 69[:501-526.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0250)
- 51. Mulcair MD, [Schaeffer](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0255) PM, Oakley AJ, Cross HF, Neylon C,<br>Hill TM, Dixon NE: A molecular mousetrap [determines](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0255) polarity of [termination](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0255) of DNA replication in E. coli. Cell 2006, 125:1309- [1319.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0255)
- 52. Duggin IG, Bell SD: [Termination](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0260) structures in the Escherichia coli [chromosome](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0260) replication fork trap. J Mol Biol 2009, 387[:532-539.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0260)
- 53.  $\ddot{\phantom{0}}$ [Elshenawy](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0265) MM, Jergic S, Xu Z-Q, Sobhy MA, Takahashi M,<br>Oakley AJ, Dixon NE, Hamdan SM: **[Replisome](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0265) speed** [determines](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0265) the efficiency of the Tus–Ter replication<br>[termination](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0265) barrier. Nature 2015, 525:394-398.

This study shows that the proportion of replisome passing or stalled at Tus–Ter barriers is determined by the speed at which the replisome is advancing. Comparison with the crystal structures of Tus in complex with Ter variants reveals that residue Arg198 of Tus has extensive, but different, interactions with the lagging strand before and after lock formation. It is therefore suggested that competition between rates of Tus displacement and rearrangement of Tus–Ter interactions is critical for lock formation.

54. Berghuis BA, Dulin D, Xu Z-Q, Van Laar T, Cross B, [Janissen](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0270) R,  $\bullet$ Jergic S, Dixon NE, Depken M, Dekker NH: Strand [separation](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0270) [establishes](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0270) a sustained lock at the Tus–Ter replication fork barrier. Nat Chem Biol 2015, 11[:579-585.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0270)

The authors use magnetic tweezers to show that formation of a stable Tus–Ter lock complex requires physical separation of duplex DNA but not protein–protein interactions, excluding the possibility that a Tus–DnaB interaction is required for replisome blockage. The study also identifies three Tus–Ter states with different lock dwell times, with the longest-lived state corresponding to the lock and the two shorter-lived states likely to be intermediates before lock formation.

55. Berghuis BA, Raducanu V-S, [Elshenawy](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0275) MM, Jergic S, Depken M,<br>Dixon NE, [Hamdan](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0275) SM, Dekker NH: What is all this fuss about Tus? [Comparison](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0275) of recent findings from biophysical and biochemical [experiments](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0275). Crit Rev Biochem Mol Biol 2018, 53[:49-63.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0275)

- <span id="page-9-0"></span>56.
- $\bullet$ Pandey M, [Elshenawy](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0280) MM, Jergic S, Takahashi M, Dixon NE,<br>Hamdan SM, Patel SS: **Two [mechanisms](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0280) coordinate replication** termination by the *[Escherichia](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0280) coli* Tus–Ter complex. Nucleic<br>Acids Res 2015, 43[:5924-5935.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0959-440X(18)30095-2/sbref0280)

This study shows that the T7 replisome is blocked at the non-permissive face of the Tus–Ter complex. Surprisingly, isolated T7 polymerase

can bypass the Tus-Ter barrier from the non-permissive end unless C<br>(6) is unpaired beforehand. In contrast, isolated T7 polymerase approaching from the permissive face is arrested. This suggests that the Tus–Ter complex is sensitive to the translocation polarity of molecular motors.