

Engineering of protein structures

Outline

- ❑ Overview of mutations
- ❑ Databases of mutations
- ❑ Missense mutations
- ❑ Prediction of mutational effects
- ❑ Rational design of proteins

Overview of mutations

❑ **Mutations in DNA or mRNA may occur**

- **EXECT:** Errors in DNA replication during cell division
- Exposure to mutagens (physical or chemical agents)
- Viral infections
- By scientists' intervention

❑ **Mutations can be harmful or not**

Overview of mutations 3

Overview of mutations

❑ **Location in the DNA**

■ Non-coding region -> affect gene expression (transcriptional

regulation, mRNA stability, translation rates, location, etc.)

■ Coding region (exons) -> may affect protein sequence

Overview of mutations 4

Overview of mutations

❑ **Types**

- **Point mutations** a single nucleotide is changed in DNA (or RNA)
	- Substitutions
		- Single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP pronounced "*snip*")
		- **E** Genetic variation; occurs in $> 1\%$ of population
		- About 10,000,000 in the human genome
	- Insertions or deletions
		- Codons have triple nature (3 nucleotides \rightarrow 1 amino acid)
		- Potential for frameshift (change in the grouping of codons, resulting in a different translation)
		- Can be very deleterious
- Other types (duplications, translocations, inversions, etc.)

Point mutations at protein level

❑ **Types of point mutations**

■ Silent (synonymous SNP) – no effect on protein sequence

 \leftarrow protein seq. — nucleotide sea. normak ctu cau mutation mutated: ctg caa act

■ Missense (non-synonymous SNP) – substitution of amino acid

■ Nonsense – introduction of a stop codon -> protein truncation

$$
\begin{array}{ll}\n & \downarrow & \uparrow & \longleftarrow \text{ protein seq.} \\
\text{normal:} & \text{ctg } \text{cag } \text{act} & \longleftarrow \text{ nucleotide seq.} \\
\text{mutated:} & \text{ctg } \text{tag act} & \longleftarrow \text{mutation} \\
& \downarrow & \star \star \star\n \end{array}
$$

Overview of mutations 6

Databases of mutations

❑ **Human Genome Variation Society**

- http://www.hgvs.org
- **EXTERGHEEVIOR III CONTERGHTM** Lists all the available databases of human mutations by types

❑ **Central mutation databases (>20)**

- Substitutions in all genes
- Variability in protein sequences
- Data mainly from literature

❑ **Locus-specific databases (about 700)**

- Substitutions in specific genes
- Typically manually annotated

❑ **Database of Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms - dbSNP**

- <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/snp/>
- Repository for both SNP and short deletion and insertion
- For human genome

❑ **Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man – OMIM**

- **<u>■ <http://omim.org/></u>**
- Comprehensive database of human genes and genetic phenotypes

OMIM Entry Statistics

Number of Entries in OMIM (Updated December 9th, 2020) :

❑ **Human Gene Mutation Database - HGMD**

- <http://www.hgmd.cf.ac.uk/ac/index.php>
- Comprehensive collection of mutations in nuclear genes

that underlie or are associated with human inherited disease

❑ **UniProtKB/Swiss-Prot**

- **E** <http://www.uniprot.org/UniProtKB/>
- **E** High-quality manually annotated protein entries with partial lists of

known sequence variants

Locus-specific databases

❑ For information on gene-specific databases

Missense mutations

Missense mutations 13

Missense mutations

- ❑ Mutations affecting structure
	- Stability & folding
	- **■** Aggregation
- ❑ Mutations affecting function
	- Binding & catalysis
	- **Transport processes**
	- **Protein dynamics**
	- Protein localization

❑ **Major pathogenic consequences of missense mutation**

- Compromised folding the protein has modified folds or presents more unfolded states
- Decreased stability the lifetime of the protein is decreased
- **E** Increased aggregation

- ❑ **Molecular basis of mutations affecting folding & stability**
	- Introduced clashes common for small to large mutations in
		- buried residues

■ Loss of interactions – most pronounced effects related to H-bonds,

salt bridges and aromatic interactions

❑ **Molecular basis of mutations affecting folding & stability**

Altered conformation of protein backbone – mutations concerning residues with specific backbone angles (especially glycine and proline)

NOTE:

- Glycine the most flexible amino acid
- Proline the most rigid

- Changes in charge/hydrophobicity
	- Introducing hydrophilic/charged residue into the protein core
	- **Introducing hydrophobic residue onto the protein surface**

❑ **Mutations can reduce solubility or increase aggregation**

- Alterations on the surface residues may affects the solubility (ex: reduction of charge)
- **E** Hydrophobic mutations can increase protein aggregation
- **■** Aggregating proteins usually have high level of β -structures
- ❑ **Aggregation modulated by short specific sequences**
	- Aggregation-prone regions (APRs) are sequences of 5-15 hydrophobic residues
	- They tend to stack and form amyloid fibrils (cross-β spines)
	- Some mutations can increase the propensity to form such amyloid structures

lle50

- ❑ **Effect on binding and catalysis**
	- Binding sites are tuned to bind specific molecules and stabilize transition states
	- Mutations can disrupt or improve the binding and catalysis
- ❑ Example drug-resistance of HIV-1 protease mutants
	- Loss of interactions with inhibitors

❑ **Effect on ligand transport**

- Pathways are adjusted to permit transport of specific molecules
- Mutations can speed-up or disrupt the transport, or allow the

transport of different molecules

❑ **Effect on protein dynamics**

• Dynamics enables proteins to adapt to their binding partners and

interchanging between conformations

■ Mutations can:

■ Make regions more rigid (targeting hinge or very mobile regions, ex.: loops) -> reduced adaptability

- Increase flexibility of rigid regions (targeting residues with many contacts in mobile elements) -> increased adaptability
- These change may affect activity, specificity or even recognition

❑ **Effect on protein localization**

- **EXTER** After translation, the protein must be translocated to the appropriate cellular compartment
- Translocation can be regulated by short sequences (Signal Peptides) on the N-terminus, by Translocation Complexes, Chaperones, etc.
- Mutations can disrupt or alter the signal, or complex formation -> protein fails to be transported to the correct subcellular location
	- Missing protein -> inactive reaction pathways or unregulated signaling cascades
	- \blacksquare Mislocalized protein -> active in the wrong cellular compartment, causing harmful effects

Prediction of mutational effects

- ❑ Identification of mutable residues
- ❑ Prediction of the effects on structure
- ❑ Prediction of pathogenicity

Prediction of mutational effects - mutable residues 24

- ❑ The effect of mutations on the protein can be predicted directly from the role of the modified residue
- ❑ **Mutation of evolutionary conserved residues**
	- Residues important for protein function or stability tend to be highly conserved over evolution
	- Mutation of highly conserved residues -> often lead to
	- destabilization or loss of function
	- Mutation of highly variable residues -> often neutral

- ❑ **Mutations affecting stability & folding**
	- Mutation of residues with many contacts or with favorable interaction energy -> often destabilizing or compromise folding
	- Mutation of residues in protein core -> often destabilizing
		- Small residue to large -> steric clashes
		- Large to small -> loss of contacts (creation of a void)
		- Polar to non-polar -> loss of H-bond
		- Neutral to charged -> introduction of isolated charge
	- **E** Mutation of residues on protein surface (often neutral)
		- Polar to hydrophobic -> desolvation penalty (destabilizing)
	- Mutation involving proline or glycine -> altered conformation

❑ **Mutations affecting function**

- Mutation of residues in binding or active sites -> modify binding or catalysis
- Mutation of residues in transport pathways -> modify transport
- Mutation of hinge or mobile residues, residues on loops with many contacts -> modify flexibility
- Mutation of residues directing protein localization -> mislocalization of proteins

❑ **Tools for annotating (identifying) the role of residues**

- Individual tools for specific analysis
	- Evolutionary conservation ex:. ConSurf, ...
	- Residue contacts ex: Contact Map Web Viewer, ...
	- Residue interactions ex: Protein Interaction Calculator, ...
	- Accessible surface area ex: AsaView, Naccess, ...
	- Binding sites ex: CASTp, metaPocket 2.0, meta-PPISP, ...
	- Transport pathways ex: CAVER 3.0, POREWALKER, ...
	- Protein dynamics ex: NMA, molecular dynamics, ...
	- Protein localization ex: SignalP, TargetP, Phobius, TMHMM, ...

❑ **HotSpot Wizard – meta-server combining several tools**

- <http://loschmidt.chemi.muni.cz/hotspotwizard/>
- Homology modelling, MSA, conservation, correlation, pockets and

tunnels detection, docking, stability prediction, design of smart library

Functional hot spots of 1CV2

Return to Results browser

Prediction of mutational effects - mutable residues 32

❑ **Prediction of mutant structures – general workflow**

- Mutated residue and its surroundings represented by rotamers from rotamer library (conformations derived form X-ray structures)
- The best set of rotamers selected by Monte Carlo approach
- Optionally energy minimization, backbone flexibility
- Comparing structures of mutant and native protein -> assessment of the mutational effect ($\Delta\Delta G = \Delta G^{\text{Mut}}$ - ΔG^{Native})
- ❑ Available tools
	- Geometric: PyMOL; WhatIF
	- Energy-based: FOLDX, Rosetta-ddG
	- Homology: Swiss Model, MODELLER, etc.

Prediction of mutational effects - structure

❑ **PyMOL**

- <https://pymol.org/>
- Mutagenesis module
- User can choose rotamers and visualize potential clashes
- Very fast; fixed backbone; no mutational scoring

Prediction of mutational effects - structure

❑ **FOLDX**

- <http://foldxsuite.crg.eu/>
- Stand alone, with plug-in to Yasara modeling tool
- Fast (minutes)
- **Example 2** Fixed backbone conformation
- Construction of single or multiple mutants
- **■** Empirical scoring function for calculation of stability change (ΔΔG)

❑ **FOLDX**

Prediction of mutational effects - structure

❑ **Rosetta-ddG**

- Under <https://www.rosettacommons.org/>
- Stand alone with bash and python scripts available
- **E** Slow (hours-days)
- Fixed or flexible backbone conformation
- Construction of single or multiple mutants
- Empirical force field for calculating structure and stability of wild-type and mutant
- **E** Construction of PDB and prediction of stability change ($\Delta\Delta G$)

❑ **AlphaFold 3, ESM Fold, etc. (ML-based)**

■ Only structural prediction (no stability score)

Prediction of pathogenicity

❑ **Prediction of impact of mutation on protein function**

- Tools employ machine learning approaches
- Trained on functional experimental data
- **Predictions can be based on sequence only**
- $Qualitative results i.e. deleterious versus neutral$
- Primarily intended for pathogenicity prediction (leading to disease)

❑ **Available tools**

- MutPred, SNAP, PhD-SNP, SIFT, MAPP ...
- \blacksquare PredictSNP meta server combining a pipeline of many tools

Prediction of pathogenicity

❑ **PredictSNP:**

- ❑ <http://loschmidt.chemi.muni.cz/predictsnp/>
- ❑ Combines many tools for Protein or DNA assessment of SNPs

Consensus classifiers for prediction of disease-related mutations

Consensus classifier for prediction of the effect of amino acid substitutions.

Consensus classifier for prediction of the effect of nucleotide substitutions.

Prediction of mutational effects - pathogenicity

Prediction of pathogenicity

Prediction of mutational effects - pathogenicity

❑ **There are many more tools out there**

Rational design of proteins

- ❑ Protein engineering: sometimes we can use mutagenesis to rationally design proteins according to our needs
- ❑ Properties that can be modified by mutagenesis

Rational design of proteins 43

Rational design of proteins

- ❑ Protein engineering: sometimes we can use mutagenesis to rationally design proteins according to our needs
- ❑ Properties that can be modified by mutagenesis
	- **Stability**
	- Function
		- Binging site (catalytic activity or substrate specificity)
		- Macromolecular interface
		- Molecular tunnels/channels
	- Solubility

❑ **Prediction of stability change upon mutation**

- Structure of mutant protein may not be produced
- Tools often employ
	- **Empirical scoring functions**
	- Evolutionary conservation analysis (ex: back-to-consensus)
	- Machine learning approaches
- ❑ Available tools
	- **Energy-based: Rosetta-ddG, FOLDX** \boxtimes
	- Evolution-based: FireProt^{ASR}
	- Hybrid approaches: FireProt, PROSS

- ❑ **FireProt**
	- <https://loschmidt.chemi.muni.cz/fireprotweb>

❑ **FireProt**

❑ **FireProt**

❑ **PROSS**

- <https://pross.weizmann.ac.il/step/pross-terms/>
- Combination of mutations "allowed" by conservation analysis and Rosetta calculations (energy)

❑ **FireProtASR**

- **<u><https://loschmidt.chemi.muni.cz/fireprotasr></u>**
- Ancestral sequence reconstruction (ASR)
- Automated ancestral inference & phylogenetic tree
- Useful to find stable ancestral enzymes

❑ **FireProtASR**

- <https://loschmidt.chemi.muni.cz/fireprotasr>
- Ancestral sequence reconstruction (ASR)
- Automated ancestral inference & phylogenetic tree
- Useful to find stable ancestral enzymes

❑ **RosettaDesign**

- <http://rosettadesign.med.unc.edu/>
- Monte Carlo sampling (random search) to predict minimum-energy structure of mutants
- **•** Predicts free energy changes upon mutations ($\Delta\Delta G$)
- Helps design mutations to optimize the binding site and increase

interactions with a ligand/substrate

❑ **PocketOptimizer**

- <https://github.com/Hoecker-Lab/pocketoptimizer/>
- Aimed at maximizing the affinity of a binding site towards a ligand
- Modular pipeline with different tools
	- Flexibility, docking, mutagenesis, energy calculation
	- Predicts global minimum-energy designs

Rational design of proteins - function

❑ **FuncLib**

- **E** https://funclib.weizmann.ac.il
- To redesign and/or optimize binding site
- Utilizes evolution (conservation) and Rosetta calculations (energy) to introduce multiple-point mutations to modify the properties of the binding site
- Can be used to improve the binding affinity towards a ligand
- Outputs up to 50 multiple-point mutants for protein synthesis

❑ **FuncLib**

Rational design of proteins - function

❑ **AffiLib**

- https://affilib.weizmann.ac.il
- To optimize protein-protein interface
- Utilizes evolution (conservation) and Rosetta (energy) to introduce mutations and optimize macromolecular interface
- Suggests mutations on the interface residues to improve the binding affinity
- Outputs up to 50 multiple-point mutants for protein synthesis

❑ **Mutation Cutoff Scanning Matrix (mCSM-PPI2)**

- http://biosig.unimelb.edu.au/mcsm_ppi2/
- To optimize protein-protein interface
- Based on machine learning, evolutionary data and energy (FoldX)
- **Provides mutational** $\triangle\triangle G$
- Modes of calculations
	- Single mutation $-$ single point mutations on interface
	- \blacksquare Mutation list single mutations accordingly to a user
	- Alanine scanning (all interface residues are mutated to alanine)
	- Systematic position saturation (all interface residues are mutated to all other 19 amino acids)

❑ **Aggrescan3D; SoluProt** (see lecture 7 - Analysis of protein structures)

❑ **SolubiS**

- <https://solubis.switchlab.org/>
- To identify stabilizing mutations that reduce the aggregation tendency of a protein
- 1) Identifies exposed APRs
- 2) Introduces "gatekeeper" residues (P, R, K, D and E) into APRs
- 3) Assesses the stability changes of mutations (ΔΔG)

References I

- ❑ Ng, P. C. & Henikoff, S. (2006) Predicting the effects of amino acid substitutions on protein function. *Annual Review of Genomics and Human Genetics* **7**: 61-80.
- ❑ Thusberg, J. & Vihinen, M. (2009) Pathogenic or not? And if so, then how? Studying the effects of missense mutations using bioinformatics methods. *Human Mutation* **30**: 703-714.
- ❑ Potapov, V. *et al.* (2009) Assessing computational methods for predicting protein stability upon mutation: good on average but not in the details. *Protein Engineering, Design & Selection* **22**: 553-560.

References II

- ❑ Khan, S. & Vihinen, M. (2010) Performance of protein stability predictors. *Human Mutation* **31**: 675-684.
- ❑ Bendl, J. *et al.* (2016) PredictSNP2: A Unified Platform for Accurately Evaluating SNP Effects by Exploiting the Different Characteristics of Variants in Distinct Genomic Regions. *PLOS Computational Biology* **12**: e1004962.
- ❑ Musil, M. et al. (2019) Computational Design of Stable and Soluble Biocatalysts. ACS Catalysis **9**: 1033−1054.
- ❑ Planas-Iglesias, J. *et al.* (2021) Computational design of enzymes for biotechnological applications. *Biotechnology Advances* **47**:107696