MDA104: Tutorial 3 Functional Dependencies

Vlastislav Dohnal

Functional dependency: Definition

What does functional dependence express?

faculty \rightarrow address

Is this dependency satisfied in the following relations?

faculty	address	department	faculty	address	area
FI	Botanická 68a, 602 00 Brno	Geogr	PřF	Kotlářská 267/2, 611 37 Brno	250
PřF	Kotlářská 267/2, 611 37 Brno	KIT	FI	Botanická 68a, 602 00 Brno	200
		KPGD	FI	Botanická 68a, 602 00 Brno	180
		КТР	FI	Botanická 68a, 602 00 Brno	210

- If the dependency holds on to the relational schema, then each instance satisfies that dependency.
 - □ i.e., the definition of integrity constraint.

Creation of func. deps and their validity

- Consider the registry of enrolled courses with the following requirements:
 - The student has a name and his or her own unique ID;
 - □ The course has a title, number of credits obtained when passed, and its own unique code;
 - The student can enroll in more courses;
 - More students can enroll in one course;
 - □ The student has a certain completion selected for the course he or she has signed up for.
- Task: Formulate functional dependencies.

Creation of func. deps and their validity (solution)

- Consider the registry of enrolled courses with the following requirements:
 - □ The student has a name and his or her own unique ID;
 - □ The course has a title, number of credits obtained when passed, and its own unique code;
 - The student can enroll in more courses;
 - More students can enroll in one course;
 - The student has a certain completion selected for the course he or she has signed up for.
- Functional dependencies:
 - \Box student_id \rightarrow first_name, last_name
 - course_code \rightarrow title, credits
 - □ student_id, course_code \rightarrow completion_type
- Commentary:
 - There is many-to-many relationship between students and courses.
 - student_id \rightarrow course_code
 - It models "one course can have multiple students", but a student cannot enroll into multiple courses.
 - $\ \ \, \ \ \, course_code \rightarrow student_id$
 - vice versa ("one students can enroll more courses, but just one student can join a course!)

Creation of func. deps and ... (solution) (cont.)

- Consider the registry of enrolled courses with the following requirements:
 - The student has a name and his or her own unique ID;
 - □ The course has a title, number of credits obtained when passed, and its own unique code;
 - The student can enroll in more courses;
 - More students can enroll in one course;
 - □ The student has a certain completion selected for the course he or she has signed up for.
- The issue is how to handle many-to-many relationship using functional dependencies:
 - $\hfill \label{eq:code}$ student_id, course_code \rightarrow completion_type
 - □ If there is no "completion_type", then we cannot have the left-hand side only,
 - i.e. "student_id, course_code $\rightarrow \emptyset$ "
 - □ Instead, we may not write any dep.
 - and the process of decomposition will leave "student_id, course_code" in a table.

Inference of additional dependencies

- Armstrong axioms
 - *Reflexivity*:
 - Augmentation:
 - Transitivity:
- Consider the following dependencies
 - F = { student_id → first_name
 student_id → last_name
 student_id → avg_grade
 course_code → title, credits
 student_id, course_code → completion_type
 study_program, avg_grade → scholarship_amount }
- Task: Prove that the following dependencies are satisfied:
 - $\ \ \, \texttt{student_id} \rightarrow \texttt{first_name, last_name}$
 - $\Box \quad course_code \rightarrow title$
 - \Box student_id, study_program \rightarrow scholarship_amount

if $\beta \subseteq \alpha$, then $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$

if $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$, then $\gamma \alpha \rightarrow \gamma \beta$

if $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$, and $\beta \rightarrow \gamma$, then $\alpha \rightarrow \gamma$

Inference of add...: Solution

Armstrong axioms
 Reflexivity:

Augmentation:
 Transitivity:

- if $\beta \subseteq \alpha$, then $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$
- if $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$, then $\gamma \alpha \rightarrow \gamma \beta$
- if $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$, and $\beta \rightarrow \gamma$, then $\alpha \rightarrow \gamma$
- Consider the following dependencies
 - F = { student_id → first_name student_id → last_name student_id → avg_grade course_code → title, credits student_id, course_code → completion_type study_program, avg_grade → scholarship_amount }

- Solution:
 - \Box student_id \rightarrow first_name, last_name
 - augmentation of student_id → first_name with last_name: student_id, last_name → first_name, last_name
 - augmentation of student_id \rightarrow last_name with student_id: student_id \rightarrow last_name, student_id
 - transitivity of both these gets the needed.
 - $\Box \quad course_code \rightarrow title$
 - reflexivity: title, credits \rightarrow title
 - transitivity: course_code \rightarrow title, credits and title, credits \rightarrow title gets the needed.
 - \Box student_id, study_program \rightarrow scholarship_amount
 - augmentation of student_id → avg_grade with study_program: student_id, study_program → avg_grade, study_program
 - transitivity of the augmented f.d. and study_program, avg_grade → scholarship_amount gets the needed.

Schema keys

- Revision:
 - K is the super-key for the relation schema R, if and only if $K \rightarrow R$
- K is the candidate key R if and only if it holds
 - $K \rightarrow R$, and at the same time
 - □ for none $\alpha \subset K$, the functional dep. $\alpha \rightarrow R$ holds
- Consider the following relation schema and functional dependencies: r(student_id, first_name, last_name, course_code, title, credits, completion_type)
 - $F = \{ student_id \rightarrow first_name, last_name \\ course_code \rightarrow title, credits \\ student_id, course_code \rightarrow completion_type \\ \}$
 - Task: Resolve the primary key.

Revision: Attribute set closure algorithm

- For a set of attributes α, we define the closure of it, denoted as α⁺, as a set of attributes that are functionally dependent according to the set of functional dependencies F.
- Algorithm to get α^+ :

```
result := \alpha;
previousResult := null;
while (result != previousResult ) do {
    previousResult := result;
    for each \beta \rightarrow \gamma in F do {
        if \beta \subseteq result then result := result \cup \gamma;
    }
}
```

Use this algorithm to verify the choice of keys from the previous task.

Schema keys: Solution

- Consider the following relation schema and functional dependencies: r(student_id, first_name, last_name, course_code, title, credits, completion_type)
- F = { student_id → first_name, last_name
 course_code → title, credits
 student_id, course_code → completion_type
 }
 Task: Resolve the primary key.
- Solution to "Resolve the primary key".
 - □ We need to identify all candidate keys, so then we may pick one as the primary key.
 - Candidate key is the minimal super key, so we start with individual attributes.
 - No individual attribute "implies" R.
 - So, a pair of attributes... Possible pairs can be formed by the attributes on the left-hand sides of F.D.s. -> There is only one:
 - {student_id, course_code}⁺ = R, so this holds:
 - student_id, course_code → student_id, first_name, last_name, course_code, title, credits, completion_type)

First normal form (1NF)

- Definition:
 - □ The relation schema R is in first normal form if the domains of all attributes are atomic.
- Task: Are the following relations in 1NF?
 - □ If not, then convert them to 1NF.

<u>employee</u>	address	phone_numbers	;
Zdeněk	Plotní 30, Brno	6 09 1809}	
Petr	Horní 12, Brno	9 49 1911}	
course			
<u>course_code</u>	title		credits
FI:PB154	Základy databá	3	
PřF:Bi8150	Evoluční biologi	3	

address

First normal form (1NF)

- Solution:
 - Attributes may not contain more than "one" value.
- Address(employee, street, house_no, city)
 - address decomposed
- Phone(employee, phone_number)
 - phone_numbers (originally an array) took into a new table (one row per phone number)
- Course(faculty_code, cource_code, title, credits)
 - the composed value of course_code decomposed and faculty code extracted into a new attribute.

address				
employee	address	phone_numbers	5	
Zdeněk	Plotní 30, Brno	{549 49 1810, 73	6 09 1809}	
Petr	Horní 12, Brno {727 49 1911, 549 49 1911			
course				
<u>course code</u>	title		credits	
FI:PB154	Základy databá	3		
PřF:Bi8150	Evoluční biologi	3		

Second normal form (2NF)

- Definition: A relational schema R is in 2NF if it is in 1NF, and for each attribute A of R, any of the following statements are true:
 - □ A is part of a candidate key (CK) (so trivially $CK \rightarrow A$), or
 - □ *A* is not partially dependent on any candidate key.
 - $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ is *partial dependency*, if $\exists \gamma \subset \alpha$ such that $\gamma \rightarrow \beta$
- Task: Decide if the relation thesis is in 2NF

thesis	student_id	first_name	last_name	program	spec	thesis_title	supervisor	supervisor_dept	guarantor
	12345	Jan	Novák	Inf.	Mat. inf.	Teorie sčítání	Brázdil	КТР	Hliněný
	67890	Lenka	Šťastná	Apl. inf.	Bioinf.	Život brouka	Lexa	КТР	Brim

This relation records data necessary for the application for the defense of the final thesis. A student can study more than one program, then he or she has their own final thesis for each study.

Second normal form (2NF)

- Solution: Decompose into 2NF
 - State candidate keys:
 - A. student_id, program
 - B. student_id, spec

hesis	student_id	first_name	last_name	program	spec	thesis_title	supervisor	supervisor_dept	guarantor
	12345	Jan	Novák	Inf.	Mat. inf.	Teorie sčítání	Brázdil	КТР	Hliněný
	67890	Lenka	Šťastná	Apl. inf.	Bioinf.	Život brouka	Lexa	КТР	Brim

- F = { student_id, program → spec, supervisor, thesis_title spec → guarantor, program supervisor → supervisor_dept student_id → first_name, last_name }
- first_name, last_name depend on a part of a candidate key, so break 2NF
 - since student_id → first_name, last_name
- guarantor depends on a part of a candidate key, so breaks 2NF.
 - since spec \rightarrow guarantor, program
- supervisor_dept is OK
 - since supervisor \rightarrow supervisor_dept and supervisor depends on a whole candidate key.
 - by analogy thesis_title
- the others are part of a candidate key
- Resulting relations:
 - student(student_id, first_name, last_name)
 - specialization(spec, program, guarantor)
 - **thesis**(student_id, program, spec, thesis_title, supervisor, supervisor_dept)

Third normal form (3NF)

- Definition: The relation schema R is in 3NF, if it is in 2NF and for each A in R, any of the statements hold:
 - □ A is part of a candidate key, or
 - □ A is not transitively dependent on any candidate key.
 - A is transitively dependent on α , if $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$, $\beta \rightarrow A$, and $\beta \not\rightarrow \alpha$, where A is not part of β either α .

Third normal form (3NF)

Task: Decide if the following relations are in 3NF

thesis

student_id	program	spec	thesis_title	supervisor	supervisor_de pt
12345	Inf.	Mat. inf.	Teorie sčítání	Brázdil	KTP
67890	Apl. Inf.	Bioinf.	Život brouka	Lexa	KTP

 $F_{1} = \{ student_id, program \rightarrow spec, supervisor, thesis_title spec \rightarrow program \\ supervisor \rightarrow supervisor_dept \}$

student

student_id	first_name	last_name
12345	Jan	Novák
67890	Lenka	Šťastná
$F_2 = \{ stude$	ent_id \rightarrow fir	st_name, l

specialization

spec	program	guarantor
Mat. inf.	Inf.	Hliněný
Bioinf.	Apl. Inf.	Brim

 $F_3 = \{ \text{spec} \rightarrow \text{program, guarantor} \}$

Third normal form (3NF)

- Solution: Decide if the following relations are in 3NF
- student(student_id, first_name, last_name)
 - trivially in 3NF, since student_id \rightarrow first_name, last_name
- **specialization**(spec, program, guarantor)
 - trivially in 3NF, since spec \rightarrow program, guarantor
- thesis(student_id, program, spec, thesis_title, supervisor, supervisor_dept)
 - not in 3NF because of supervisor_dept, so decompose into:
 - thesis(student_id, program, spec, thesis_title, supervisor)
 - supervisor(supervisor, supervisor_dept)

Boyce-Codd normal form (BCNF)

- Definition: The relation schema *R* is in BCNF w.r.t. *F*, if it is in 1NF and for each f. dep. $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ in *F*⁺ the following holds:
 - □ $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ is a trivial dependency (i.e., $\beta \subseteq \alpha$), or
 - \square α is a super-key in *R*.

Usage: If we verify that a relation is in BCNF, it is sufficient to verify the listed properties only for $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ in F. After the decomposition, it is necessary to consider F⁺ to verify the resulting relations.

Task: Decide whether the following relation is in BCNF

thesis	student_id	program	spec	thesis_title	supervisor
	12345	Inf.	Mat. inf.	Teorie sčítání	Brázdil
	67890	Apl. Inf.	Bioinf.	Život brouka	Lexa

Decomposition to BCNF

- Algorithm: Let be *R* not in BCNF. Then there is at least one non-trivial functional dependence $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ such that α is not a super-key of *R*. Relation *R* gets replaced by:
 - $\square \quad \mathsf{R}_1 = (\alpha \cup \beta)$
 - $\square \quad \mathsf{R}_2 = (\mathsf{R} (\beta \alpha))$

Caution: After executing the algorithm, it is necessary to check whether R_1 , R_2 already meet BCNF – see the previous procedure, this time it is necessary to verify for all dependencies in F⁺!

Task: Convert the following relation to BCNF.

Does the result preserve all functional dependencies?

thesis	student_id	program	spec	thesis_title	supervisor
	12345	Inf.	Mat. inf.	Teorie sčítání	Brázdil
	67890	Apl. Inf.	Bioinf.	Život brouka	Lexa

F = { student_id, program → spec, supervisor, thesis_title
 spec → program }

Decomposition to BCNF

- Solution: Convert the following relation to BCNF.
 - Check each f.d.
 - student_id, program \rightarrow spec, supervisor, thesis_title
 - ok, since student_id, program is a candidate key.
 - □ spec \rightarrow program
 - break BNCF, since spec is not a super-key.
 - Extract program for the relation and create a new table.
- thesis(student_id, spec, thesis_title, supervisor)
 - \Box F={student_id, spec \rightarrow thesis_title, supervisor}
- **Spec_program**(Spec, program) (Ensures no redundancy in storing spec and program pair, as was in original thesis.)
 - $\Box F=\{spec \rightarrow program\}$
- Solution: Does the result preserve all functional dependencies?
 - □ No, student_id, program → spec cannot be verify unless join of thesis and spec_program is done.

thesis	student_id	program	spec	thesis_title	supervisor
	12345	Inf.	Mat. inf.	Teorie sčítání	Brázdil
	67890	Apl. Inf.	Bioinf.	Život brouka	Lexa

F = { student_id, program → spec, supervisor, thesis_title
 spec → program }

Final result in BCNF

- student(student_id, first_name, last_name)
 - $\Box F=\{student_id \rightarrow first_name, last_name\}$
- supervisor(supervisor, supervisor_dept)
 - $F=\{supervisor \rightarrow supervisor_dept\}$
- thesis(student_id, spec, thesis_title, supervisor)
 - $\Box F=\{student_id, spec \rightarrow thesis_title, supervisor\}$
- **specialization**(spec, program, guarantor) (It removes redundancy by factoring out dependencies related to spec.)
 - □ F={spec \rightarrow program, guarantor)
- spec_program(spec, program)
- (Ensures no redundancy in storing spec and program pair, as was in original thesis.)

• $F=\{\text{spec} \rightarrow \text{program}\}$

• Mind student_id, program \rightarrow spec is not preserved!

(Attributes are not part of one relation.)

Example (voluntary)

- Design a database in BCNF/3NF according to the following requirements:
 - We keep records of books and their physical copies in the library.
 - Each book has its own unique ISBN, title, one or more authors (the order of authors matters), publication number and category.
 - We keep records of the first and last names of the authors of the books.
 - Each book can be present in the library in the form of several copies. For each copy, the library keeps track of its condition ("new", "damaged", "under repair", ...).
 - Books in the "new" category can be borrowed for a maximum of a week, books in the "archival materials" category are not borrowed, others can be borrowed for a month.
 - i.e., there are special categories of books that have a loan period limited to a specific period.